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Abstract

The Earth’s core is comprised mostly of iron and nickel, but it also contains several weight percent of one or more unknown light elements, which may include silicon. Therefore it is important to understand the high pressure, high temperature properties and behavior of alloys in the Fe-FeSi system, such as their phase diagrams. We determined melting temperatures and subsolidus phase relations of Fe-9wt\%Si and stoichiometric FeSi using synchrotron X-ray diffraction at high pressures and temperatures, up to ~200 GPa and ~145 GPa, respectively. Combining this data with that of previous studies, we generated phase diagrams in pressure-temperature, temperature-composition, and pressure-composition space. We find the B2 crystal structure in Fe-9Si where previous studies reported the less ordered bcc structure, and a shallower slope for the hcp+B2 to fcc+B2 boundary than previously reported. In stoichiometric FeSi, we
report a wide B2+B20 two-phase field, with complete conversion to the B2 structure at ~42 GPa.

The minimum temperature of an Fe-Si outer core is 4380 K, based on the eutectic melting point of Fe-9Si, and silicon is shown to be less efficient at depressing the melting point of iron at core conditions than oxygen or sulfur. At the highest pressures reached, only the hcp and B2 structures are seen in the Fe-FeSi system. We predict that alloys containing more than ~4-8 wt% silicon will convert to an hcp+B2 mixture and later to the hcp structure with increasing pressure, and that an iron-silicon alloy in the Earth’s inner core would most likely be a mixture of hcp and B2 phases.
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### 1. Introduction

Earth’s core is an iron-rich alloy containing some nickel and several weight percent of elements lighter than iron (Birch, 1952). This light element component is likely a mixture of silicon, oxygen, sulfur, carbon, and/or hydrogen (e.g., Allègre et al., 1995; McDonough, 2003; Poirier, 1994). Understanding phase relations in systems containing iron and one or more light elements is critical for deducing the composition and structure of the Earth’s core. Binary phase diagrams are a necessary step before more realistic phase diagrams containing two or more light elements can be studied. Extrapolations of high pressure-temperature (P-T) phase diagrams allow prediction of the crystal structure of the inner core, which may help explain its observed anisotropy (e.g., Deguen, 2012; Mattesini et al., 2010; Stixrude and Cohen, 1995). Phase diagrams reveal which phases’ equations of state and other properties should be compared to those of the core (e.g., Fischer et al., 2011). In this study, we determine the phase diagrams of iron-silicon alloys.

Estimates of the core’s maximum silicon content vary, ranging from less than 4 wt% (Antonangeli et al., 2010), to ~6-7 wt% (Allègre et al., 1995, Brosh et al., 2009; Javoy et al., 2010; McDonough, 2003; Shahar et al., 2009), to 8-12 wt% (Alfè et al., 2002; Asanuma et al., 2011; Fischer et al., 2012; Lin et al., 2003; Ricolleau et al., 2011; Rubie et al., 2011), to up to 20 wt% (Balchan and...
Cowan, 1966; Ringwood, 1959), based on a wide range of experimental and modeling techniques. Pure iron has the hexagonal close-packed (hcp) structure at Earth’s inner core conditions (Tateno et al., 2010), but the effects of light elements need to be studied systematically as a function of composition. Some work has previously been done on high \( P-T \) phase diagrams of iron-silicon alloys. Fischer et al. (2012) studied the subsolidus phase relations and melting curve of Fe-16wt\%Si alloy (Fe-16Si) to 140 GPa (Figure 1). Asanuma et al. (2008) investigated Fe-3.4Si, finding a mixture of face-centered cubic (fcc) and hcp structures, and only the hcp structure at lower temperatures and higher pressures. Sakai et al. (2011) studied Fe-4.8Ni-4.0Si, finding only the hcp structure at pressures above \( \sim 150 \) GPa. Lin et al. (2002, 2009) studied Fe-7.9Si, discovering a mixture of fcc and body-centered cubic (bcc) structures at low pressures and high temperatures, hcp+bcc at intermediate pressures, and hcp at the highest pressures. Hirao et al. (2004) performed room temperature compression on Fe-8.7Si, finding a conversion from bcc to hcp structures at 16-36 GPa, consistent with results of Lin et al. (2003) on Fe-7.9Si. Kuwayama et al. (2009) investigated the phase diagrams of Fe-9.9Si and Fe-6.4Si, while Zhang and Guyot (1999a, 1999b) studied Fe-8.9Si.

Stoichiometric FeSi is known from quench studies and theory to transform from the B20 (FeSi-type) structure to the B2 (CsCl-type) structure at higher pressures (Caracas and Wentzcovitch, 2004; Dobson et al., 2002, 2003; Lord et al., 2010; Vočadlo et al., 1999), but the location and slope of this boundary have been uncertain. Guyot et al. (1997) and Whitaker et al. (2008, 2009) report thermal equations of state and elastic properties of B20-FeSi, respectively. Knittle and Williams (1995) and Lin et al. (2003) compressed B20-FeSi at 300 K, while Dobson et al. (2003), Sata et al. (2010), and Ono et al. (2007) studied compression of B2-FeSi at 300 K. The melting curve of FeSi was measured by Lord et al. (2010) and Santamaria-Pérez and Boehler (2008). Melting curves of other Fe-Si alloys have also been studied (Asanuma et al., 2010; Morard et al., 2011; Fischer et al., 2012). Phase relations in the Fe-FeSi system were reported by Massalski (1986) at one bar, and by Kuwayama and Hirose (2004) at 21 GPa. The system has also been studied computationally (e.g., Brosh et al., 2009; Côté et al., 2010; Zhang and Oganov, 2010).
In various studies, different compositions of Fe-Si alloys have been reported to have the bcc, B2, and D0₃ crystal structures, which are highly related. The B2 structure is an ordered version of the bcc structure, containing two sites in equal abundance. In Fe-Si alloys with the B2 structure, one of the sites is preferentially occupied by Fe and the other site is preferentially occupied by Si. The D0₃ structure can be thought of as a doubling of the B2 unit cell in each direction, with a higher degree of ordering. Again, in Fe-Si alloys with the D0₃ structure, iron atoms will prefer one site and Si atoms will prefer the other site. There cannot be perfect ordering in Fe-Si alloys unless the stoichiometry precisely matches the structure (FeSi for the B2 structure, Fe₃Si for the D0₃ structure), but the alloys may be partially ordered, with Fe and Si atoms showing a site preference.

In this study, we determine the high P-T phase diagrams of Fe-9Si and FeSi, using synchrotron X-ray diffraction in a laser-heated diamond anvil cell, up to pressures and temperatures approaching those of Earth’s outer core. We synthesize our results with other recent studies on the Fe-FeSi system to determine how phase relations in this system evolve as a function of pressure, temperature, and composition. This allows prediction of the crystal structure of the Earth’s inner core and identification of the phase(s) whose properties are most relevant to the inner and outer core, assuming a predominantly Fe-Si core. These phase diagrams can be applied to studies of other planetary cores, and will serve as a basis for future studies on multi-component systems of candidate core materials.

2. Experimental methods

The Fe-9Si starting material (Goodfellow Corporation) was analyzed by electron microprobe (Miller, 2009) and found to be chemically homogeneous, containing 8.75 ± 0.40 wt% silicon (Fe₀.₈₄Si₀.₁₆ by mole, hereafter Fe-9Si). Fe-9Si or stoichiometric FeSi (Alfa Aesar) was pressed in a diamond cell to produce a foil ~3-5 μm thick. Rhenium gaskets, initially 250 μm thick, were preindented to 25-30 GPa, then a hole up to 100 μm in diameter was machined in the
center of the indentation to serve as the sample chamber. The diamond anvils had 300 or 400 μm culets, or they were beveled, with 120, 150, or 250 μm flats and an 8° bevel out to 300 μm. Sample foils were loaded into a diamond anvil cell between two layers of KBr, each ~10 μm thick. A few experiments on the melting curve of Fe-9Si were loaded in NaCl instead of KBr. The KBr (or NaCl) served as the pressure medium, thermal insulator, and pressure standard. The entire sample assembly was oven-dried at ~90°C for approximately one hour after cell loading but before the cell was closed to remove any moisture.

Laser-heating angle-dispersive X-ray diffraction experiments were performed at beamline 13-ID-D (GeoSoilEnviroCARS) of the Advanced Photon Source (APS) at Argonne National Laboratory (Prakapenka et al., 2008; Shen et al., 2005). The incident X-ray beam was monochromatic (λ = 0.3344 Å) and measured ~4 μm x 4 μm. Diffracted X-rays were collected using a MAR165 CCD detector, with exposure times of 5 seconds. Sample-to-detector distance was calibrated by 1 bar diffraction of CeO$_2$. The diffraction patterns were integrated to produce 2θ plots using Fit2D (Hammersley et al., 1996), then peak fitting of the integrated patterns was performed using PeakFit (Systat Software). The 2D diffraction images were also examined for evidence of small diffraction peaks that indicate ordering of the alloy phases.

Pressures were determined from the volume of the pressure standard and its temperature using the thermal equation of state of KBr (or NaCl) in the B2 structure (Fei et al., 2007; Fischer et al., 2012). The lattice parameter of KBr and its uncertainty were determined as the average and standard error of four to thirteen d-spacings. Uncertainties in pressure were derived from uncertainties in temperature and lattice parameter. The KBr temperature during laser-heating was less than the measured sample temperature, due to axial thermal gradients through the insulator, so the KBr temperature was corrected downward following Campbell et al. (2009). By using KBr as the pressure standard, we assume that the sample and pressure medium are in mechanical equilibrium.

The sample was laser-heated by 1064 nm Yb fiber lasers with “flat top” profiles created by pi-shaping optics, with the laser power on each side being independently adjustable to allow
minimization of axial temperature gradients through the sample (Prakapenka et al., 2008).

Diffraction patterns were collected on heating and cooling. Temperatures were determined by
spectroradiometry (Heinz and Jeanloz, 1987) using the graybody approximation, and were
measured simultaneously with the diffraction patterns. Laser-heated spots were ~20 µm in
diameter, much larger than the X-ray beam to minimize radial temperature gradients in the region
probed by X-rays. Temperatures were simultaneously measured on the upstream and downstream
sides of the sample from an area 5 µm in diameter in the center of the laser-heated spot,
comparable to the size of the X-rayed region. X-ray-induced fluorescence of KBr was used to align
the optical axes of the laser heating and temperature measurement system with the X-ray beam.
Upstream and downstream temperatures were averaged to obtain the measured sample
temperature. For subsolidus measurements, the measured temperatures were then corrected
downward by 3% to estimate the sample temperature, accounting for a small axial temperature
gradient through the sample (Campbell et al., 2007, 2009). Reported temperature uncertainties
include an analytical uncertainty of 100 K from the temperature measurement system (Shen et al.,
2001), the difference between the upstream and downstream temperatures, and uncertainty from
the correction for the thickness of the sample (Campbell et al., 2007, 2009).

Temperature measurements in the diamond cell were benchmarked by analyzing a sample
of iron in an MgO pressure medium to check the location of the hcp-fcc transition in iron (Fischer
et al., 2011, 2012). The sample was compressed to ~45 GPa based on the equation of state of hcp-
Fe (Dewaele et al., 2006) and laser-heated. The phase transition was bracketed between volumes
and temperatures of 5.862 ± 0.026 cm³/mol (hcp volume), 1769 ± 120 K; and 6.039 ± 0.021
cm³/mol (fcc volume), 1820 ± 109 K. These values agree with the published phase diagram of
Komabayashi and Fei (2010).

Samples for room temperature compression were loaded in a quasi-hydrostatic neon
pressure medium using the COMPRES/GSECARS gas-loading system at the APS (Rivers et al.,
2008). The sample was loaded with a few grains of KBr and ruby for pressure standards (Fischer et
al., 2012; Mao et al., 1986). Room temperature compression of FeSi was performed at the APS,
while compression of Fe-9Si was done at beamline 12.2.2 of the Advanced Light Source (ALS), Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory (Kunz et al., 2005). At the ALS, LaB₆ was used to calibrate the sample-to-detector distance. A MAR345 image plate or Bruker CCD detector was used, with a monochromatic incident beam (\(\lambda = 0.41323 \, \text{Å}\)) measuring 30 \(\mu\text{m} \times 30 \, \mu\text{m}\). Exposure times at the ALS were typically 20 seconds.

3. Results

3.1. Fe-9Si phase diagram

We determined the high \(P-T\) phase diagram of Fe-9Si to 200 GPa and over 4000 K, as shown in Figure 1b (the phase diagram of pure iron is shown in Figure 1a for comparison). This alloy has the D0₃ crystal structure at ambient conditions (Massalski, 1986) and under compression at 300 K to 29 GPa. The D0₃ structure, with space group \(Fm3m\), was previously reported for Fe-16Si (Fischer et al., 2012) and for Fe-5Ni-10Si (Morard et al., 2011). At high pressures and temperatures, the Fe-9Si alloy took on the hcp structure. With increasing temperature, it converted to an hcp+B2 mixture, then to fcc+B2. At the highest temperatures, sometimes three phases (fcc+hcp+B2) were present in the experiments. The \(P-T\) data and the phase(s) observed are recorded in Supplemental Table S1.

Figure 2 shows two diffraction patterns of Fe-9Si in KBr. The lower pattern was collected at 30 GPa and 1490 K on heating, with peaks corresponding to B2 KBr, fcc Fe-9Si, and B2 Fe-9Si. The alloy is shown to have the B2 structure, and not the bcc structure as previously reported (Lin et al., 2002, 2009), due to the presence of the 111 peak. The upper pattern in Figure 2 was collected on a different sample of Fe-9Si at 87 GPa and 2760 K, also on heating. All observed peaks correspond to B2 KBr, hcp Fe-9Si, or B2 Fe-9Si. In this pattern, the 100 peak of B2 Fe-9Si is visible, indicating that the alloy has the B2 (not bcc) structure. Supplemental Figure S1 shows a series of diffraction patterns at ~200 GPa. The B2 peaks appear on heating and increase in intensity with progression into the two-phase loop as the temperature is raised.
We measured high pressure melting of Fe-9Si, based on the appearance of diffuse X-ray scattering from the liquid alloy with increasing temperature (e.g., Dewaele et al., 2007; Fischer et al., 2012; Morard et al., 2008, 2011). Figure 3 shows an example of diffuse scattering during laser heating at ~74 GPa. These diffraction patterns were all obtained with the same exposure time, and they were offset (by <1%) to match intensities at $2\theta = 5.0^\circ$. Beginning at 3390 K, a broad elevated bump in the background appears at approximately $2\theta = 8-12^\circ$, due to X-ray scattering by the liquid. The diffuse scattering intensity increases with increasing temperature, indicating a higher fraction of partial melt. Peaks from one phase persist with the liquid while peaks from the other phase shrink when diffuse scattering appears, indicating eutectic melting. Pressures and temperatures of bounds on melting from X-ray diffuse scattering are listed in Supplemental Table S2.

We also detected melting by analyzing the relationship between laser power and temperature in cases where laser power data were recorded during the experiment, to compare to the data on diffuse scattering. Figure 4 illustrates this relationship for two heating cycles on Fe-9Si, at ~88 GPa and ~101 GPa. Below the melting point, temperature increases monotonically and linearly with laser power, then there is a discontinuity at the melting temperature. As laser power is increased further, the temperature plateaus, fluctuating unstably around the melting point. The melting temperature is taken as the average of temperatures within the plateau (Lord et al., 2010), with its uncertainty calculated as the standard deviation of these values. Pressures and temperatures of melting from laser power-temperature discontinuities are reported in Supplemental Table S3.

3.2. FeSi phase diagram

We determined the high $P-T$ phase diagram of stoichiometric FeSi to ~145 GPa and over 3400 K, as shown in Figure 1d. FeSi is stable in the B20 structure at ambient conditions. It maintained the B20 structure under room temperature compression to ~36 GPa. At high temperatures between 14 GPa and 42 GPa a two-phase region was observed, with coexisting B2
and B20 structures. Figure 5 shows a diffraction pattern of FeSi in KBr collected at 39 GPa and 1210 K. It shows coexistence of the B2 and B20 structures of FeSi under these conditions.

From 42 GPa to 145 GPa, the highest pressure reached in this study for this composition, FeSi maintained the B2 structure (Figure 1d). A single melting experiment was performed on FeSi, at ~80 GPa. The melting point was bracketed between 3410 K and 3610 K, based on the appearance of diffuse scattering in the X-ray diffraction pattern. The P-T data and the corresponding phase(s) observed in FeSi are recorded in Supplemental Table S4.

4. Discussion

4.1. Fe-9Si subsolidus phase diagram

Recent studies on compositions similar to Fe-9Si (Hirao et al., 2004; Lin et al., 2003; Zhang and Guyot, 1999a, 1999b) did not report the D0₃ structure at ambient conditions or under room temperature compression, possibly because it is an ordered version of the bcc structure, and the diffraction peaks indicating this ordering are small and difficult to detect (Fischer et al., 2012). While we observed this structure to 29 GPa at room temperature, other studies reported a gradual transition to the hcp structure beginning at 16 GPa (Hirao et al., 2004; Lin et al., 2003). This difference may be due to slow kinetics at room temperature, with an even more sluggish transformation expected under the more hydrostatic conditions used in the present study. Due to this kinetic effect, we were unable to constrain the P-T conditions under which the D0₃ structure is the equilibrium phase.

Silicon partitions between coexisting phases, as discussed in Section 4.4 and in previous studies (Kuwayama et al., 2009; Lin et al., 2002). Our phase diagram is generally consistent with those reported by Lin et al. (2002, 2009) and Kuwayama et al. (2009) for Fe-7.9Si and Fe-9.9Si, respectively, but with several minor differences. First, we observe the B2 structure at high P-T conditions where Lin et al. (2002, 2009) reported the bcc structure. It may be technological advances in sample preparation and data analysis that permitted the detection of B2 ordering in the
present study. Second, our phase boundary between hcp+B2 and fcc+B2 has a different slope from that of Lin et al. (2002). We find a slope of ~28 K/GPa, while the earlier study found a steeper slope of ~52 K/GPa. Our phase boundary will intersect the melting curve at ~110 GPa.

Third, our phase boundary between hcp and hcp+B2 diverges from that of Lin et al. (2002, 2009) at pressures below ~55 GPa. Lin et al. (2002, 2009) reported a highly curved phase boundary to match the transition at 300 K (Lin et al., 2003). We do not report a curved phase boundary, because it implies that the phase(s) on one side of the boundary has a very different compressibility than the phase(s) on the other side (such as solid and melt), which is unlikely for different structures of iron-silicon alloy. There may be a kink in the phase boundary instead of a curve, due to complexities of the phase diagram at lower pressures. Lin et al. (2003) may have also overestimated the transition pressure at 300 K due to kinetic hindrance of the transition.

Kuwayama et al. (2009) report a similar slope for the hcp to hcp+B2 phase boundary as in the present study, though slightly steeper and shifted upward by a few hundred degrees. The appearance and disappearance of phases is difficult to detect near phase boundaries, sometimes requiring close examination of the 2D diffraction image rather than the integrated pattern, making it possible that Kuwayama et al. (2009) overestimated the transition temperature. This study, Lin et al. (2009), and Kuwayama et al. (2009) report fairly similar hcp to hcp+B2 phase boundaries where well-constrained by data, though they differ in their extrapolations to core pressures (Section 4.5; Figure S2).

At high temperatures, we sometimes observe coexisting fcc+hcp+B2 phases instead of fcc+B2, which may represent slow kinetics in the disappearance of the hcp structure. An analogous transition occurs in pure iron, in which hcp iron commonly persists at temperatures above the hcp-fcc phase boundary (e.g., Komabayashi et al., 2009), lending support to this explanation. A three-phase region is forbidden by Gibbs’ phase rule, so it is not the equilibrium assemblage. It could have been caused by a thick sample supporting a large axial temperature gradient, but our samples were very thin. It could also be caused by diffusion of carbon into our sample (Prakapenka et al., 2003), allowing for a third equilibrium phase, though we see no
evidence of carbides in our diffraction patterns. An identical three-phase assemblage was seen by Lin et al. (2002) in an alloy of similar composition, suggesting a problem with kinetics rather than sample preparation. Furthermore, in Fischer et al. (2012), we performed identical experiments on Fe-16Si, and saw no effects of temperature gradients in crossing the hcp+B2 to D03 phase boundary, again suggesting a kinetic problem in this case. The hcp diffraction peaks rapidly decay in intensity after crossing into the fcc+B2 field (Figure 3).

4.2. Fe9Si melting

The high pressure melting curve of Fe-9Si is illustrated in Figure 6. There is good agreement between data obtained from diffuse scattering and from laser power-temperature discontinuities. To extrapolate the melting curve, we fit the data to the Simon equation, $T_m = T_{ref}([P_m - P_{ref}] / a + 1)^{1/c}$, where $T_m$ and $P_m$ are the temperature and pressure of melting; $T_{ref}$ and $P_{ref}$ are a reference temperature and pressure of melting, set equal to the melting point at one bar; and $a$ and $c$ are fitted parameters (Simon and Glatzel, 1929). We fit both the data obtained by the laser power-temperature method and by the diffuse scattering method, averaging each set of upper and lower bounds. Using an unweighted least squares routine, we find $a = 23.6$ and $c = 1.89$. The Simon equation fit to our data is shown in Figure 6.

Kuwayama and Hirose (2004) measured melting in the Fe-FeSi system at 21 GPa, including experiments on Fe-10Si that agree with our results on Fe-9Si (Figure 6). Morard et al. (2011) bracketed melting points of Fe-5Ni-10Si using X-ray diffuse scattering at 34 GPa and 52 GPa (Figure 6), also consistent with our results. In some cases we could identify which solid phase coexisted with the melt (the liquidus phase) in Fe-9Si (Supplemental Table S2). At pressures of 20 to 63 GPa, the liquidus phase has the fcc structure, while from 74 to 101 GPa, it has the B2 structure.

4.3. FeSi phase diagram

This study represents the highest pressure to which FeSi has been investigated with in situ
X-ray diffraction in a laser-heated diamond anvil cell. Therefore, the present study more accurately reflects the equilibrium phase assemblage of FeSi than previous studies, in which samples were quenched from high temperatures (Lord et al., 2010), or from both high temperatures and pressures (Dobson et al., 2002), or were only compressed at 300 K (Dobson et al., 2003; Ono et al., 2007; Sata et al., 2010).

We observed the B20 structure in FeSi to at least 36 GPa at room temperature, but this may not accurately represent its stability field due to slow transition kinetics at 300 K. In our lowest pressure laser-heating experiments (~14 GPa), we observed a B2+B20 mixture over all temperatures investigated, with this mixture stable to ~42 GPa at high temperatures. This is consistent with many, but not all, earlier data on the FeSi phase diagram, in which a B2+B20 mixture was often quenched (Dobson et al., 2002; Lord et al., 2010). While this earlier data was usually interpreted as a back-conversion from the B2 structure to the B20 structure upon quench or polishing (Dobson et al., 2002), our results demonstrate that a wide two-phase loop exists in the FeSi phase diagram. We observe coexisting phases over an extensive pressure-temperature range, and our samples were sufficiently thin that the observation of two phases cannot be attributed to temperature gradients within the sample. The two-phase assemblage was seen in seven distinct heating and cooling cycles on multiple samples. Relative proportions of the two phases change across this region, with X-ray diffraction patterns dominated by B20 peaks at lower pressures and by B2 peaks as the pressure approached 42 GPa (e.g., Figure 5), consistent with a two-phase loop. Frequently one phase was only visible in the 2D diffraction image as a few faint reflections, too weak to appear in the integrated pattern. This may explain why earlier studies (Dobson et al., 2002, 2003; Lord et al., 2010) sometimes report only one phase in this region of P-T space.

At higher pressures, FeSi converts to the B2 structure only (Figure 1d), as reported in previous experimental studies (Dobson et al., 2002, 2003; Lord et al., 2010) and predicted theoretically (Vočadlo et al., 1999). We did not cross this phase boundary in any heating cycle, so it must be very steep (slope of greater than approximately ±180 K/GPa) and located at ~40-43 GPa, notably steeper and at a higher pressure than previously reported for a direct B20-to-B2
transition (Lord et al., 2010). FeSi has the B2 structure to at least ~145 GPa, the highest pressure reached for this composition, in agreement with calculations (Brosh et al., 2009; Zhang and Oganov, 2010). We have extended the subsolidus phase diagram to higher pressures than previous high-temperature experimental studies, which reached maximum pressures of 35-40 GPa (Dobson et al., 2003; Lord et al., 2010). Lin et al. (2003) performed a single laser-heating X-ray diffraction experiment on FeSi at 2219 K and 54 GPa and reported the B20 structure, which is inconsistent with our results and with other experimental studies (Dobson et al., 2002; Lord et al., 2010).

We performed one melting experiment on FeSi at ~80 GPa, finding agreement with the melting curve of Lord et al. (2010) (Figure 1d), which was based on discontinuities between laser power and temperature. However, the melting curve of Santamaría-Pérez and Boehler (2008), based on changes in sample texture determined by shining a laser on the sample, lies ~500 K lower. This lower melting curve conflicts with our result at 80 GPa and some of our experiments at lower pressures (e.g., at ~24 GPa), in which we reached temperatures above the melting curve of Santamaría-Pérez and Boehler (2008) but did not observe melting.

**4.4. Phase diagrams in the Fe-FeSi system**

Figure 1 illustrates pressure-temperature phase diagrams in the Fe-FeSi system with varying silicon contents. The addition of Si stabilizes B2 and related structures in the Fe-FeSi system, whereas increasing pressure promotes stability of the hcp structure. This suggests that at pressures higher than those achieved in Fischer et al. (2012) and the present study, Fe-16Si and FeSi might eventually also transform to the hcp structure. The fcc structure was only observed in two-phase fields in Fe-FeSi alloys, and only those with low silicon contents (Asanuma et al., 2008; Figure 1b). Ordered versions of the bcc structure (D03 and B2) are stable to high pressures in Fe-16Si and FeSi (Fischer et al., 2012) (Figure 1c and d). The appearance of the D03 structure only in the Fe-16Si phase diagram at high pressures and temperatures may be due to its composition, which is very close to Fe3Si, having the preferred stoichiometry of the D03 structure. The B20 structure appears only in the phase diagram of FeSi, again likely driven by the stoichiometry of
Figure 7 shows a series of temperature-composition ($T$-$X$) phase diagrams at 50, 80, 125, and 145 GPa, based on the compilation of this study with earlier subsolidus phase diagrams of iron (Komabayashi and Fei, 2010) and Fe-16Si (Fischer et al., 2012). Most of the compositions of triple points shown in Figure 7 are estimated but are bracketed by the available data. Data from Asanuma et al. (2008) on Fe-3.4Si are shown, but are incompatible with the constraints imposed by other studies. Therefore, in constructing $T$-$X$ plots we do not match the temperatures reported by Asanuma et al. (2008), only the structures they report as stable at 3.4 wt% silicon. Experiments by Asanuma et al. (2008) likely suffered from large temperature gradients, since the laser-heated spot was similar in size to the X-ray beam. With large temperature gradients and the persistence of the hcp phase outside of equilibrium (such as in the Fe-9Si phase diagram), Asanuma et al. (2008) may have reported coexisting fcc+hcp phases over a wider temperature range than the mixture would occupy at equilibrium. This is supported by Brosh et al. (2009), who were also unable to fit the data of Asanuma et al. (2008) in their thermodynamic modeling of the Fe-FeSi system.

Subsolidus data on Fe-Si-Ni alloys are not included in Figure 7 (e.g., Morard et al., 2011; Sakai et al., 2011) due to uncertain and inconsistent effects of Ni on the crystal structures of these alloys.

Melting points for Fe (Ma et al., 2004); Fe-10Si-5Ni and Fe-15Si-5Ni (Morard et al., 2011); Fe-16Si (Fischer et al., 2012); Fe-18Si (Asanuma et al., 2010); and FeSi (Lord et al., 2010) are also shown in Figure 7. The melting curve of pure iron predicted by our $T$-$X$ phase diagrams is similar to or slightly higher than that reported by Ma et al. (2004), but is compatible within uncertainty.

The melting temperatures that we report for Fe-9Si and Fe-16Si most likely represent solidus temperatures, since the appearance of diffuse scattering probably coincides with the first appearance of melt. As shown in Figure 7, the melting points of Fe, Fe-9Si, Fe-10Si-5Ni, Fe-15Si-5Ni, Fe-16Si, and Fe-18Si are indistinguishable within uncertainty up to 80 GPa, in contrast to the conclusions of Morard et al. (2011) (also see Figure S3). This suggests that silicon does not strongly depress the melting point of iron, and that low concentrations of nickel also have no
detectable effect. The melting point of FeSi (Lord et al., 2010) is higher than those of the other alloys at lower pressures, but is more similar by 125 GPa (Figure 7d). This necessitates a narrow phase loop on the iron-rich side of the eutectic, and a fairly narrow phase loop on the FeSi side that shrinks with increasing pressure. However, this interpretation depends on the melting curve of iron chosen as the basis for comparison.

At 50 GPa, the fcc-B2 eutectic lies between 9 and 16 wt% silicon (Figure 7a), based on the observed structures of the liquidus phases (Si-poor fcc phase for Fe-9Si; Si-rich B2 for Fe-16Si). By 80 GPa, the eutectic has shifted to less than 9 wt% Si, while the fcc+B2 field has shrunk to a narrow region just below the melting point. By 125 GPa, the fcc phase disappears. The eutectic at 125 GPa is between the hcp and B2 phases, located somewhere lower than 16 wt% silicon. The hcp+B2 field exists at all pressures shown in Figure 7 and expands with increasing pressure. At 50 GPa, the D0₃ structure is stable near stoichiometric Fe₃Si. Figure 7a depicts this region as not converging with higher temperature phase boundaries, allowing for a single B2 field surrounding it. This type of topology in T-X phase diagrams involving ordering transitions occurs in other systems at 1 bar, such as the Fe-Pt and Co-Pt systems (Massalski, 1986). It is also possible that the D0₃ region lies tangent to the fcc+B2 field, creating two distinct B2 fields at lower pressures. The ordered region shrinks to lower temperatures with increasing pressure as the D0₃ structure is replaced by the less ordered B2 structure at high temperatures, and is gone from the phase diagram by 80 GPa. Kuwayama and Hirose (2004) reported the existence of a phase with 25.1 wt% silicon at 21 GPa, corresponding to stoichiometric Fe₃Si₂. However, we do not have data near this composition to evaluate its stability at these higher pressures, so we do not include it in our phase diagrams. Further in situ work is needed to clarify that part of the phase diagram at pressures below 50 GPa. Figure 7 illustrates that phase diagrams of iron-silicon alloys contain fewer phases at higher pressures. We only report the hcp and B2 structures at 125 and 145 GPa, though other structures may exist at other compositions.

Figure 8 shows a series of pressure-composition (P-X) phase diagrams at 1500, 1800, 2100, and 2400 K, based on the same datasets shown in Figure 7, with one bar phase relations from
Massalski (1986). As in Figure 7, data from Asanuma et al. (2008) are shown but conflict with the other datasets. While we do predict an fcc+hcp field at 3.4 wt% silicon, it does not generally match the pressures (or equivalently, temperatures) of that study’s data. We also include data from Kuwayama et al. (2009) on Fe-6.4Si, which are consistent with our data. Morard et al. (2011) performed a crossing of the hcp+B2 to fcc+B2 boundary in Fe-5Ni-10Si and found a transition at ~50 GPa and 2150 K, in agreement with our results (Figures 1b, 7a, 8c).

The bcc structure is stable only in a thin lens-shaped field near one bar at 1500 K. At 1800 K, this field has shrunk towards the iron-rich, 1 bar corner of the phase diagram, disappearing by 2100 K. The Fe$_2$Si structure is only stable at low pressures and at 1500 K. As in the $T$-X plots of Figure 7, the D0$_3$ structure is stable over a narrow compositional range but more extended pressure range. Though the width of this field is not precisely constrained, it becomes stable over a smaller pressure range with increasing temperature. As mentioned above, the range of $P$-$T$-$X$ stability of the Fe$_5$Si$_2$ phase discovered by Kuwayama and Hirose (2004) remains uncertain, so this phase is not included in Figure 8.

The composition of the fcc-hcp-B2 triple point (where the hcp+B2, fcc+hcp, and fcc+B2 fields meet) is only constrained by the available data to fall between 3.4 and 8.8 wt% silicon, so the composition of this triple point is set at 7 wt% Si in Figure 8. For this case, the hcp+B2 field expands with increasing temperature. The hcp to hcp+B2 and the hcp+B2 to B2 phase boundaries both have positive slopes in $P$-$X$ space at all temperatures. When extrapolated to higher pressures, Figure 8 illustrates that alloys containing more silicon than the triple point composition will exist as an hcp+B2 mixture then transform to a pure hcp structure with increasing pressure. This is seen in Fe-9Si at 1500 K and 1800 K (Figure 8a and b). Conversion from an hcp+B2 mixture to the hcp structure with increasing pressure was predicted for Fe-16Si (Fischer et al., 2012), but the $P$-$X$ phase diagrams illustrate that this series of transitions should occur for a wide compositional range of Fe-Si alloys extending from ~4-8 wt% silicon, possibly to FeSi or even more silicon-rich alloys at sufficiently high pressures.

Kuwayama et al. (2009) produced a $P$-$X$ phase diagram in the Fe-FeSi system at 2100 K.
They report an hcp+B2 region with a compositional gap of only 3 wt% silicon based on microprobe analysis of a recovered sample from 61 GPa, but our observation of hcp+B2 mixtures in both Fe-9Si and Fe-16Si requires that the two-phase loop be wider than this (Figure 8c). This minor discrepancy could be due to Kuwayama et al. (2009) probing an activation volume within their sample that was larger than its grain size, so that their compositional determination for each phase included some averaging over both phases.

Brosh et al. (2009) calculated a P-X phase diagram in the Fe-FeSi system at 300 K. Their results are in approximate agreement with our findings, though they do not differentiate between different bcc-like structures (bcc, B2, D0₃). They predict a very wide hcp+B2 compositional gap, extending all the way to FeSi by ~130 GPa, which is not incompatible with our phase diagram. However, they draw an hcp to hcp+B2 boundary whose slope has the opposite sign from ours, where our slope is constrained by data at Fe-9Si and knowledge of the fcc-hcp-B2 triple point location.

4.5. Iron-silicon alloys in the Earth

It has been proposed that FeSi occurs in the Earth’s D” layer, either as a reaction product between silicates and the outer core (Knittle and Jeanloz, 1991), or as an exsolution product of the outer core formed during secular cooling (Buffett et al., 2000). Recovered B2-FeSi is an electrical conductor at 1 bar (Dobson et al., 2002), so Dubrovinsky et al. (2003) proposed that B2-FeSi could explain the electrically conductive layer at the base of Earth’s mantle (e.g., Buffett, 1992). Based on our phase diagram (Figure 1c), FeSi at these pressures should have the B2 structure. Since our melting data are consistent with those of Lord et al. (2010), we similarly conclude that solid FeSi could be stable within some region of the D” layer, with the thickness and depth of this region depending on the thermal structure of the D” layer.

The melting curve of Fe-9Si can be used to constrain core temperatures, for a core of this composition. Using the Simon equation parameterization, we can extrapolate to melting at core-mantle boundary (CMB) conditions (136 GPa), finding a melting point of 4380 K. This is a
minimum core temperature, if the outer core is Fe-9Si alloy. There may be a kink in the melting
curve where it intersects the hcp+B2 to fcc+B2 phase boundary at ~110 GPa; this would raise the
melting temperature at 136 GPa. We can also extrapolate the melting curve of Fe-9Si to the inner
core boundary (329 GPa), finding a temperature of 6650 K. However, this calculation requires
very large extrapolations in pressure and temperature, and neglects phase transitions in the solid
that could change the melting curve.

Silicon is relatively inefficient at depressing the melting point of iron-rich alloys at core
pressures (Figures 7 and S3). The light element in Earth’s core is thought to depress the melting
point by ~700-1100 K at core conditions (Anderson, 1998), but our results show that silicon may
be a poor candidate for this. The melting point of Fe-FeSi alloys is no more than ~200 K less than
that of pure iron (Ma et al., 2004) at 70-80 GPa, for example. This is less than the ~500 K melting
point depression in the Fe-FeO system (Fischer and Campbell, 2010; Seagle et al., 2008) and the
1000-1100 K depression in the Fe-S system (Campbell et al., 2007) at similar pressures relative to
the iron melting curve of Ma et al. (2004). Comparing to a different melting curve of iron changes
these numbers (Figure S3), but does not change the relative differences in melting point depression
for these various elements. An Fe-Si core would therefore require higher temperatures than an Fe-
S or Fe-O core. The melting curve of Fe-9Si is also much steeper than the slope of the iron-sulfide
eutectic (Campbell et al., 2007), and somewhat steeper than that of the Fe-FeO eutectic (Seagle et
al., 2008), so an Fe-Si core would crystallize more slowly and drive compositional convection less
efficiently for a given cooling rate.

Our phase diagrams in the Fe-FeSi system can be used to determine which phases are
stable in the Earth’s core. Figure 7d illustrates a T-X phase diagram near core-mantle boundary
pressures. It shows that for a compositional range spanning at least 9 to 16 wt% Si, an hcp+B2
mixture is the stable assemblage at temperatures near melting in the outer core. We find
approximately the same slope for the hcp to hcp+B2 phase boundary as Lin et al. (2009) and
Kuwayama et al. (2009) (Figure S2), though our denser P-T coverage resolves a slight curvature of
the phase boundary at high pressures. Extrapolating these phase boundaries to 329 GPa, Fe-9Si
will exist as a mixture of hcp and B2 phases for an inner core boundary temperature greater than
~3500 K (Figure S2), whereas the phase boundaries of Lin et al. (2009) and Kuwayama et al.
(2009) require higher temperatures for the B2 phase to be stable. However, there is a high degree
of uncertainty inherent to such large extrapolations of phase diagrams. At temperatures closer to
6650 K, the phase loop is much wider, and an inner core alloy containing 6-8 wt% Si (Brosh et al.,
2009; Fischer et al., 2012) or less will also exist as an hcp+B2 mixture at inner core pressures, in
agreement with the results of Brosh et al. (2009) and Dubrovinsky et al. (2003). The possibility of
a two-phase mixture in Earth’s inner core should motivate future studies on the thermal evolution,
geodynamics, and anisotropy of a heterogeneous core. For example, an iron-rich alloy may begin
crystallizing as an hcp+B2 mixture, but evolve to crystallize a pure hcp structure as secular cooling
proceeds, depending on the composition, thermal history, and temperature structure of the core.
This could relate to layered anisotropy variations in the inner core (e.g., Deguen, 2012; Mattesini
et al., 2010).

The effect of nickel on the melting point of Fe-Si alloys appears negligible within the
resolution of our data and that of Morard et al. (2011) (Figures 6, 7, and 8). Effects of nickel on the
crystal structures of iron-silicon alloys are unclear (e.g., Kuwayama et al., 2008), but recent studies
suggest that Fe-Si alloys with ~5-10 wt% nickel have the hcp structure at inner core pressures and
room temperature (Asanuma et al., 2011; Sakai et al., 2011). Further study on Fe-Ni-Si alloys at
high pressures and temperatures is needed to clarify effects of nickel on the phase diagram at inner
core conditions.

5. Conclusions

The pressure-temperature phase diagrams of Fe-9Si and stoichiometric FeSi have been
determined to high temperatures and pressures using synchrotron X-ray diffraction. The phase
diagram of Fe-9Si reported here is similar to those of prior studies (Kuwayama et al., 2009; Lin et
al., 2002), although a melting curve had not previously been determined for this composition. The
Fe-9Si phase diagram also includes the B2 crystal structure, representing a higher degree of
ordering than the bcc structure reported previously (Lin et al., 2002, 2009). In situ study of the B20
to B2 phase transition in FeSi shows a wide two-phase region where these structures coexist,
completely converting to the B2 structure at higher pressures. At the highest pressures reached in
this study, Fe-FeSi phase diagrams become simpler, containing only the hcp and B2 structures.
Alloys containing more than ~4-8 wt% silicon will convert to an hcp+B2 mixture, and later to the
hcp structure, with increasing pressure. For a postulated Earth's core comprised of Fe-Si alloy,
4380 K is a minimum outer core temperature, based on the eutectic melting point of Fe-9Si.
Melting temperatures of Fe-FeSi alloys are very similar at core conditions, indicating that silicon is
inefficient at depressing the melting point of iron. For Fe-Si alloys with plausible inner core
compositions, our phase diagrams suggest the stability of an hcp+B2 mixture under P-T conditions
of the Earth’s inner core.
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Figure captions


Figure 2: Diffraction patterns of Fe-9Si, showing different phase assemblages. Data were collected on heating. Lower: pattern collected at 30 GPa and 1490 K, with peaks corresponding to B2 KBr, fcc Fe-9Si, and B2 Fe-9Si. Upper: pattern collected at 87 GPa and 2760 K, with peaks corresponding to B2 KBr, hcp Fe-9Si, and B2 Fe-9Si. The 100 and 111 peaks of B2 Fe-9Si indicate that the alloy has the B2 (not bcc) structure.

Figure 3: Diffuse X-ray scattering, indicating melting of Fe-9Si alloy at ~74 GPa. An elevated background appears between 2θ = 8-12° (Q ~ 33 nm⁻¹) at temperatures between 3180 K and 3390 K, bracketing the melting point. This signal continues to increase with increasing temperature, indicating a higher fraction of partial melt. Metastable hcp peaks diminish rapidly with increasing temperature. Upon melting, B2 peaks persist while fcc peaks shrink, indicating that B2 is the liquidus phase for Fe-9Si at this pressure.

Figure 4: Laser power-temperature relationships during two heating cycles on Fe-9Si. Upper: Heating cycle at ~88 GPa. Lower: ~101 GPa. Below the melting point, temperature increases...
linearly and monotonically with laser power; once the melting point is reached, the temperature
fluctuates around a plateau as laser power is increased further. Shaded grey regions indicate the
melting point with width showing uncertainty. Laser powers are rounded to the nearest whole
number. Black dashed lines indicate the bounds on the melting temperature from X-ray diffuse
scattering during the same experiments.

Figure 5: X-ray diffraction pattern of FeSi collected at 39 GPa and 1210 K. Peaks correspond to
B2 KBr, B20 FeSi, and B2 FeSi, with one faint peak from the rhenium gasket.

Figure 6: High pressure melting curve of Fe-9Si: lower bounds (filled blue circles) and upper
bounds (filled black circles) on melting temperatures from X-ray diffuse scattering. Black ×s:
melting points from laser power-temperature relationships. Grey ×: 1 bar melting point, from
Massalski (1986). Small solid blue and black circles (at 21 GPa): lower and upper bounds on
melting, respectively, from Kuwayama and Hirose (2004). Small open blue and black circles (34
and 52 GPa): lower and upper bounds on melting of Fe-5Ni-10Si (Morard et al., 2011). Black line:
Simon equation fit to the data of this study.

Figure 7: Composition-temperature phase diagrams in the Fe-FeSi system at varying pressures. A:
Other data symbols are as in Figure 1. Data points indicate actual constraints on the phase
diagrams; black lines (phase boundaries) and triple points are estimated. Some two-phase fields are
unlabeled for clarity. Data from three phase regions (fcc+hcp+B2) in Figure 1 are labeled as
fcc+B2 (see text for details). Data are shown for Fe (Komabayashi and Fei, 2010; Ma et al., 2004);
Fe-3.4Si (Asanuma et al., 2008); Fe-9Si (this study); Fe-10Si-5Ni and Fe-15Si-5Ni (Morard et al.,
2011); Fe-16Si (Fischer et al., 2012); Fe-18Si (Asanuma et al., 2010); and FeSi (this study; Lord et
al.; 2010).
Figure 8: Composition-pressure phase diagrams in the Fe-FeSi system at varying temperatures. A: 1500 K. B: 1800 K. C: 2100 K. D: 2400 K. Symbols are as described in Figures 1 and 7. Data points indicate actual constraints on the phase diagrams; black lines (phase boundaries) and triple points are estimated. Some two-phase fields are unlabeled for clarity. Black crosses: melting points and other phase boundaries with estimated 1σ uncertainties. Asanuma et al. (2008) do not report uncertainties in pressure (Fe-3.4Si data). References are the same as in Figure 7, with one bar phase relations from Massalski (1986) and data for Fe-6.4Si from Kuwayama et al. (2009).

**Supplemental tables**

Table S1: \(P-T\) data for Fe-9Si alloy and the phase(s) observed under each set of conditions.

Table S2: Pressures and temperatures of upper and lower bounds on the melting curve of Fe-9Si, based on X-ray diffuse scattering. The stable phase coexisting with the melt is identified where possible.

Table S3: Pressures and temperatures of melting of Fe-9Si, based on discontinuities in the samples’ laser power-temperature relationships.

Table S4: \(P-T\) data for FeSi and the phase(s) observed under each set of conditions.

**Supplemental figure captions**

Figure S1: Diffraction patterns of hcp Fe-9Si in KBr at \(~200\) GPa during heating, showing the appearance of B2 peaks between 2566 K and 2696 K, which increase in intensity and becomes sharper with increasing temperature. B2 Fe-9Si peaks are marked with arrows, from left: 100, 110, 200, and 211.
Figure S2: Phase diagram of Fe-9Si, extrapolated to core pressures. Symbols are as in Figure 1b; lines indicate the hcp to hcp+B2 phase boundary. Black lines: this study. Dark grey lines: Lin et al. (2009). Light grey lines: Kuwayama et al. (2009). Solid lines are constrained by data, while dashed lines are extrapolations. Purple vertical line indicates inner core boundary pressure. There is a high degree of uncertainty in extrapolating phase boundaries over such a large $P$-$T$ range.

Figure S3: Melting data for Fe-Si alloys, compared to proposed melting curves of pure iron. Solid blue and black circles: lower and upper bounds on melting of Fe-9Si from X-ray diffuse scattering. Black xs: melting temperatures of Fe-9Si from laser power-temperature relationship. Open blue and black circles: lower and upper bounds on melting of Fe-16Si from X-ray diffuse scattering, from Fischer et al. (2012). Solid red triangle and open grey triangle: lower and upper bound on melting on FeSi, from X-ray diffuse scattering. Grey line: melting of FeSi (Lord et al., 2010). Solid black line: melting curve of pure Fe from Williams et al. (1991). Dashed black line: melting curve of iron from Ma et al. (2004). Dot-dashed black line: melting curve of Fe from Boehler et al. (1990, 1993). Dotted black line: melting curve of iron from Alfè et al. (2002).
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Figure 6:

Graph showing the relationship between temperature (K) and pressure (GPa). The data points are represented by different markers, with error bars indicating variability. A trend line is drawn to illustrate the correlation between the two variables.