Radial transport in the solar nebula: Implications for moderately volatile element depletions in chondritic meteorites
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Abstract—In this paper, we explore the possibility that the moderately volatile element depletions observed in chondritic meteorites are the result of planetesimals accreting in a solar nebula that cooled from an initially hot state (temperatures >1350 K out to ~2–4 AU). A model is developed to track the chemical inventory of planetesimals that accrete in a viscously evolving protoplanetary disk, accounting for the redistribution of solids and vapor by advection, diffusion, and gas drag. It is found that depletion trends similar to those observed in the chondritic meteorites can be reproduced for a small range of model parameters. However, the necessary range of parameters is inconsistent with observations of disks around young stars and other constraints on meteorite parent body formation. Thus, counter to previous work, it is concluded that the global scale evolution of the solar nebula is not the cause for the observed depletion trends. Instead, it appears that localized processing must be considered.

INTRODUCTION

Chondritic meteorites have long been recognized to be relatively unaltered products of our protoplanetary disk, the solar nebula. The relative abundances of the elements they contain closely match that which is observed in the photosphere of the Sun (e.g., Lodders 2003). The meteorites as a whole, as well as their individual components—chondrules, refractory inclusions, metal grains, and matrix—record a wide variety of chemical and physical environments that existed within the solar nebula. Understanding what these environments were and how they formed in the solar nebula is a challenge, and must be done in the context of our understanding of how protoplanetary disks evolve. Doing so will provide insight as to what the properties of and processes taking place inside other protoplanetary disks are like, which would be valuable since these regions cannot be directly probed by telescopic observations, particularly at small heliocentric distances.

One of the long-standing and as of yet unsolved mysteries in the study of these meteorites is the cause of the depletion of moderately volatile elements (MOVEs, which condense between ~650–1350 K under solar nebula conditions). This has been the focus of many research efforts over the last four decades (Anders 1964; Larimer and Anders 1967; Wasson and Chou 1974; Wai and Wasson 1977; Wasson 1977; Palme 1988; Palme and Boynton 1993; Cassen 1996, 2001; Huss 2004; Alexander 2005; Bland et al. 2005; Yin 2005). Specifically, the depletion of these elements is correlated with condensation temperature—that is, the relative abundance of an element with a lower condensation temperature is less than that of an element with a higher condensation temperature. The depletion trends for the CM, CO, and CV meteorites are shown in Fig. 1 (note that the trends for CM chondrites is included, although it has been argued by Huss et al. [2003] that the depletion trends in these chondrites are fundamentally different than in other chondrites). The trends are to first order monotonic, with each chondritic type exhibiting a unique depletion trend (except CI chondrites, which are depleted in only the most volatile elements when compared to the composition of the solar photosphere). Deviations from the monotonic trend can be attributed to uncertainties in the relative abundances or condensation temperatures of the elements, or they may be due to the actual evolution of the materials in the solar nebula (Cassen 1996). Here the focus is on understanding the first order depletion trend, which is shared by the different meteorite types.

Anders (1964) originally attributed the MOVE depletion trend as due to the mixing of two different meteoritic components: a volatile-rich component (matrix) and a volatile-poor component (chondrules). Later, Wasson and coworkers (Wasson and Chou 1974; Wai and Wasson 1977; Wasson 1977) suggested instead that the trend was due to incomplete condensation of the nebular gas that was dissipated as it cooled,
meaning that the inventory of volatile elements in the gas would diminish over time and there would thus be less of a given element once the gas had cooled below the corresponding condensation temperature. More recently, it has been suggested that the MOVE depletion was inherited from the natal molecular cloud from which the solar system was born, as the gas in molecular clouds is depleted in refractory elements that have condensed out as grains (Yin 2005).

While the exact cause for the observed trend has been hotly debated and continues to be so today, the incomplete condensation model of Wasson and coworkers has generally been the favored explanation (Bland et al. 2005). Specifically, Palme et al. (1988) and Palme and Boynton (1993) identified a number of reasons why the two-component model failed to explain the observed trend, including the fact that chondrules contain a significant amount of volatiles and therefore are not “volatile-depleted” as required by the Anders model (although parent body effects may have played a role [e.g., Grossman and Brearley 2005]). Palme (2001) also argued that the Rb/Sr inventory of chondritic meteorites is inconsistent with the molecular cloud inheritance theory, though Yin (2005) outlines counter arguments.

The incomplete condensation model is not without problems, either. For example, Huss (2004) discussed how the high temperatures required by these models would have destroyed the presolar grains that are found in chondritic meteorites (also see Davis 2006). Additionally, the near-uniform isotopic composition of chondritic materials cited by Palme (2001) does not extend to CAIs, which are fractionated in some isotopes (e.g., Davis et al. 2005). Thus the actual processes by which these different materials came together to be accreted by the meteorite parent bodies must be more

---

Fig. 1. The relative abundances of moderately volatile elements for the CM, CO, and CV chondrites as a function of condensation temperature. The relative abundances are normalized to Si in each type and then divided by the abundances in CI chondrites. Condensation temperatures are taken from Lodders (2003). The plotted elements, in increasing order of volatility, are Ni, Co, Mg, Fe, Pd, Si, Cr, P, Mn, Li, As, Au, Cu, K, Ag, Sb, Ga, Na, Cl, B, Ge, Rb, Cs, Bi, F, Pb, Zn, Te, Sn, Se, S, and Cd. Data courtesy of Conel Alexander.
complicated than simply accumulating solids in a monotonically cooling solar nebula. The individual components in chondritic meteorites record a variety of pre-accretional thermal evolution, which argues that materials from different environments and locations were mixed prior to the formation of the chondritic meteorite parent bodies.

Among the reasons that the incomplete condensation theory has gained a significant amount of support is that astrophysical models of solar nebula evolution were able to qualitatively reproduce the observed depletion trends seen in chondritic meteorites (Cassen 1996, 2001). In Cassen’s models, the elemental inventory of planetesimals were tracked as they formed in a cooling nebula that evolved as mass was transported inward and accreted by the young Sun and angular momentum was transported outward to compensate. As the nebula cooled at a given region, the elements in the gas phase with condensation temperatures above the local temperature were incorporated into the planetesimals that were present. However, because the cooling was associated with mass loss from the disk, the gas was constantly removed, meaning that the more volatile species were depleted in comparison to the elements with higher condensation temperatures. In order for this trend to be observed in elements such as Si, with a condensation temperature of ~1350 K, the chondrite formation region (out to ~4 AU) had to have initially been above this temperature before planetesimals (meteorite parent bodies) began to form. This would imply the nebula was initially very massive and compact to achieve such high temperatures at these heliocentric distances. Such a situation is thought to be consistent with the uniform isotopic composition (except for those of oxygen) of chondritic materials (Palme 2001).

Despite the successes of the Cassen models, issues raised by Huss et al. (2003) still exist, such as the presence of presolar grains in chondrites, which could not have experienced the very high temperatures predicted to exist in the asteroid belt region of the solar nebula. In this paper, a new model is developed to evaluate whether the incomplete condensation theory for the origin of the MOVE depletion could be due to the dynamical evolution of the solar nebula. In particular, the goal is to evaluate whether the depletion trends can be produced while primitive, unprocessed materials (that would presumably include pre-solar grains) are mixed in with the materials processed at high temperatures. This new model is similar to those used to describe the structure and evolution of protoplanetary disks observed around young stars, and it tracks the radial migration of solids and vapor due to advection, diffusion, and gas drag. In the next section, the details of the model used here are described and are directly compared to those used by Cassen (1996, 2001). Results of the new model and the implications for the compositions of meteoritic components and planetesimals are discussed in the sections that follow. Issues that have not been considered in previous models for the MOVE depletion are then presented, with a summary and ideas for future work outlined at the end.

**SOLAR NEBULA MODEL**

The nebula models developed by Cassen (1994, 1996, 2001) were motivated by the desire to understand chondritic meteorites and materials in the context of astrophysical processes similar to those observed or inferred to occur in other disks around young stars. Specifically, these models were used to explain the chemical and isotopic properties of solar system materials as the result of their dynamical evolution in a protoplanetary disk. The disk began as a hot, compact object that cooled over time as it thinned as a result of mass and angular momentum transport. The rate of mass transport also diminished with time, leading to less thermal energy being generated at later stages of evolution. In addition, solids coagulated into larger objects, reducing the opacity of the disk, allowing radiative energy to escape more easily, which also aided in the cooling of the disk. As these solids grew in the disk, they decoupled from the gas, and their dynamical evolution differed from that of the gas. Cassen’s models tracked the different environments that solids would be exposed to during the lifetime of the nebula and compared these results to what was observed in meteorites. As such, these models represent major steps toward linking the fields of meteoritics and astrophysics.

The work presented here builds on of Cassen’s pioneering work to further investigate how the MOVE depletion trend may have developed in the solar nebula. The evolution of the solar nebula is described by a similar set of equations as those used to describe disks around young stars. While many of the treatments here are similar to, or based on, those of Cassen (1996, 2001), new effects are considered. The reasons for considering these effects and how they are treated in the model are described below. The model used here is based on the model of Ciesla and Cuzzi (2006), which tracked the dynamical evolution of water-bearing species in a viscous protoplanetary disk. A qualitative discussion of the model and the key differences between it and those of Cassen are described below. (The interested reader is directed to Ciesla and Cuzzi [2006] for the details of the model and specific description of how the calculations are performed.)

**Disk Evolution**

To describe the mass and angular momentum transport, the disk is assumed to have a viscosity given by \( \nu = \alpha cH \) at each location in the disk, where \( c \) is the local speed of sound, \( H \) is the disk scale height, and \( \alpha \) is the turbulence coefficient (a free parameter) which represents the level of turbulence in the disk and is assumed to have a value less than 1 (Shakura and Sunyaev 1973; Lynden-Bell and Pringle 1974; Ruden and Pollack 1991; Stepinski 1998). The orbital velocity of the gas falls off as \( \sim r^{−1/2} \), thus at a given location in the disk, the gas immediately inward will have a slightly larger velocity than the gas immediately outside that location. As a result of this
The surface density evolution of a viscous protoplanetary disk is described by Equation 1:

$$\frac{\partial \Sigma}{\partial t} = \frac{3}{r} \left[ r^{1/2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r^{1/2} \nu \Sigma \right) \right]$$

where $\Sigma$ is the surface density of the disk (mass per area), $r$ is the heliocentric distance, and $\nu$ is the viscosity as described above. The viscosity is dependent on the local speed of sound, and therefore the local midplane temperature, $T_m$, which is given by:

$$T_m = \frac{3}{4} \tau T_e$$

where $\tau$ is the optical depth from the midplane of the disk to the surface, and $T_e$ is the temperature of the disk surface, which is set by balancing the energy gained through viscous dissipation, stellar illumination, and absorption of radiation from an ambient field with the energy lost through radiation. The optical depth is given by $\tau = \kappa \Sigma / \nu$, where $\kappa$ is the opacity of the solids, taken here to be 1000 cm$^2$/g, which in a gas of solar composition gives an opacity of the gas equal to 5 cm$^2$/g, roughly equal to the value found by Pollack et al. (1994) for a solar composition gas at pressures and temperatures expected in the terrestrial planet region of the solar nebula. A similar value was used by Cassen (1994, 1996, 2001). The factor of $\Sigma_r$ represents the surface density of dust particles, meaning that as the dust is removed through coagulation, the optical depth decreases, and the interior of the disk will cool.

**Growth of Solids**

As in Cassen (1996, 2001) the solids considered here are assumed to be predominantly Mg, Si, and Fe minerals. These minerals constitute the majority of mass in chondritic meteorites (generally $>90\%$), and the condensation of MOVEs takes place when those elements react with these solids. While water ice can make up a significant fraction of the solids in the cool regions of the disk, its condensation temperature ($\sim 160 \text{ K}$) is well below the temperature range of interest for MOVE condensation ($500-1350 \text{ K}$). Thus the dynamics of water ice are not considered in this model.

Throughout the time that the disk evolves, the solids contained within it grow through collisions. Cassen (1996, 2001) considered two different sizes of solids: dust and planetesimals. Solids were initially uniformly dispersed as dust, and Cassen (1996, 2001) defined a coagulation time scale which set the rate at which planetesimals grew from the available dust at a given location of the disk. Here, as in Ciesla and Cuzzi (2006), a third species of solids is considered, migrators, which represents the size range of solids that fall between the two extremes. This third set of solids is so named as they are strongly affected by gas drag migration, which can be a major mechanism for redistributing elements in a protoplanetary disk.

To account for the particle growth, a similar approach as used in Cassen (1996, 2001) is adopted: time scales are still set that determine the rate at which larger bodies form from smaller ones. Here, however, two time scales are needed. The coagulation time scale, $t_c$, defines the rate at which migrators form from the dust population, and the accretionary time scale, $t_a$, defines the rate at which planetesimals form from the migrator population. The time scales are assumed to vary with location as in the Cassen models in that:

$$t_a(r) = t_a(1 \text{ AU}) \Omega(1 \text{ AU}) / \Omega(r)$$

where $\Omega$ is the local Keplerian orbital period at a given location in the disk. The same relation is used for the coagulation time scale.
young stars may remain optically thick for millions of years, >10^6 yr (Haisch et al. 2001). As a result, a wide range of values is considered in this work for the different growth time scales. The stopping times, \( t_s \), which is given by:

\[ t_s = \frac{a \rho_p c}{\rho_g \Omega} \]

where \( a \) is the radius of the particle, \( \rho_p \) is the mass density of the particle, and \( \rho_g \) is the local gas density in the disk. This relation holds for those particles whose radius is less than the mean-free path in the gas (\( a < \sim 10 \text{ cm} \) for the inner disk). When the stopping time is much less than the orbital period (\( t_s / \Omega \ll 1 \)) the particle will generally follow the motions of the gas. Cassen (1996, 2001) accounted for this by determining the net advective flow of the gas at each location in the disk based on the rate of mass transport, either inward or outward depending on the location, and allowed the dust (or vapor if above the condensation temperature) to move with that same velocity. Here, the dust and vapor dynamics are described by the same equation that describes the dynamics of the disk gas, meaning that they also follow the large-scale flows associated with disk evolution, moving inward or outward in accord with how mass and angular momentum are transported.

Planetesimals are assumed to be large enough that \( t_s \Omega \gg 1 \). This means that the stopping times are so large that the planetesimals are relatively unaffected by the gas. Thus once planetesimals form, they are assumed to remain at the location of their formation. This was also assumed to be the case by Cassen (1996, 2001).

The major difference in the handling of the solid bodies between this model and that of Cassen (1996, 2001) is in the way that the radial transport of the migrators, which are those bodies with \( t_s \Omega \sim 1 \), is accounted for. These bodies are strongly affected by gas-drag migration which arises due to the fact that the gas in the disk is partially supported against gravity by a radial pressure gradient, causing the gas to orbit the central star at a less than Keplerian rate (Weidenschilling 1977). As a result, solids in the disk move through the gas with a relative velocity, and thus feel a drag force that impedes their orbital motion. The bodies thus lose energy and momentum to the gas, and migrate inward over time. Those bodies with \( t_s \Omega = 1 \) are most strongly affected, and migrate inward at rates of \( \sim 1 \text{ AU/century} \), meaning they would migrate from 10 AU to the inner edge of the disk in 1000 years. This is a small time compared to many other time scales of interest in protoplanetary disk evolution.

To account for the redistribution of material by gas drag, Cassen (1996, 2001) assumed some fraction of solids was lost at every time interval to migration into the Sun, and removed the corresponding amount of solids from the nebula over time. Recent work, however, has demonstrated that very few migrators actually survive their transit to the Sun and thus tracking their detailed dynamical evolution is critical to understanding the chemical evolution of the solar nebula. Cuzzi et al. (2003) showed that the influx of silicate and

Radial Transport of Material

As the gas, predominately H₂ and He, in the disk is transported due to the viscous stresses described above, the material suspended in the disk will be moved about as well. Dust particles are so small that they tend to be coupled to the gas and therefore behave dynamically the same as the gas. More specifically, this is true for solids with relatively short stopping times, \( t_s \), which is given by:

\[ t_s = \frac{a \rho_p c}{\rho_g \Omega} \]

where \( a \) is the radius of the particle, \( \rho_p \) is the mass density of the particle, and \( \rho_g \) is the local gas density in the disk. This relation holds for those particles whose radius is less than the mean-free path in the gas (\( a < \sim 10 \text{ cm} \) for the inner disk). When the stopping time is much less than the orbital period (\( t_s / \Omega \ll 1 \)) the particle will generally follow the motions of the gas. Cassen (1996, 2001) accounted for this by determining the net advective flow of the gas at each location in the disk based on the rate of mass transport, either inward or outward depending on the location, and allowed the dust (or vapor if above the condensation temperature) to move with that same velocity. Here, the dust and vapor dynamics are described by the same equation that describes the dynamics of the disk gas, meaning that they also follow the large-scale flows associated with disk evolution, moving inward or outward in accord with how mass and angular momentum are transported.

Planetesimals are assumed to be large enough that \( t_s \Omega \gg 1 \). This means that the stopping times are so large that the planetesimals are relatively unaffected by the gas. Thus once planetesimals form, they are assumed to remain at the location of their formation. This was also assumed to be the case by Cassen (1996, 2001).

The major difference in the handling of the solid bodies between this model and that of Cassen (1996, 2001) is in the way that the radial transport of the migrators, which are those bodies with \( t_s \Omega \sim 1 \), is accounted for. These bodies are strongly affected by gas-drag migration which arises due to the fact that the gas in the disk is partially supported against gravity by a radial pressure gradient, causing the gas to orbit the central star at a less than Keplerian rate (Weidenschilling 1977). As a result, solids in the disk move through the gas with a relative velocity, and thus feel a drag force that impedes their orbital motion. The bodies thus lose energy and momentum to the gas, and migrate inward over time. Those bodies with \( t_s \Omega = 1 \) are most strongly affected, and migrate inward at rates of \( \sim 1 \text{ AU/century} \), meaning they would migrate from 10 AU to the inner edge of the disk in 1000 years. This is a small time compared to many other time scales of interest in protoplanetary disk evolution.

To account for the redistribution of material by gas drag, Cassen (1996, 2001) assumed some fraction of solids was lost at every time interval to migration into the Sun, and removed the corresponding amount of solids from the nebula over time. Recent work, however, has demonstrated that very few migrators actually survive their transit to the Sun and thus tracking their detailed dynamical evolution is critical to understanding the chemical evolution of the solar nebula. Cuzzi et al. (2003) showed that the influx of silicate and
carbon-rich migrators to inside the silicate evaporation front (where temperatures exceed the condensation temperature of forsterite, taken to be \( \sim 1350 \) K) could create an environment that allowed calcium-aluminum-rich inclusions (CAIs) to form over a period of a few hundred thousand years. This idea was expanded upon by Cuzzi and Zahnle (2004), who envisioned evaporation fronts for all major chemical species and predicted that the inward flux of migrators would be high during the early stages of evolution and would lead to species becoming enhanced in the vapor phase inside their evaporation front, followed by being depleted as the inward flux diminished over time. Ciesla and Cuzzi (2006) explicitly modeled this evolution for water, and found that the timing and magnitude of the concentration fluctuations inside the snow line (the water ice evaporation front) were sensitive to the assumed disk structure and that the mass of the disk beyond the snow line would severely limit the level to which the inner disk was enhanced in water vapor during the early stages of evolution. The inward migration of water ice has also been invoked as a way of carrying \(^{17}\text{O}\) and \(^{18}\text{O}\) released from CO molecules as a result of self-shielding processes to the region where chondritic materials formed, explaining the observed oxygen isotope evolution in these meteorites (Yurimoto and Kuramoto 2004; Lyons and Young 2005; Krot et al. 2005). Ciesla and Lauretta (2005) also demonstrated that the sluggish dehydration kinetics of phyllosilicates would allow those minerals that originated in the outer asteroid belt to be delivered to the region where the Earth accreted by gas drag migration, even though they would migrate through environments that exceeded their formation temperature. This would allow the planetesimals there to acquire a minor amount of hydrated minerals, offering a possible explanation for the presence of water on Earth. Thus, the dynamics of the migrators must be taken into account when considering the chemical evolution of the solar nebula.

In addition to gas drag, the migrators are redistributed by the large-scale flows associated with disk evolution. Their evolution due to these flows is described by the same equation as that which describes the evolution of the nebular gas and dust, but the viscosity is given by:

\[
v_m = \nu(1 + t_s \Omega)
\]

or \( v_m = \nu/2 \) for the specific case considered here, meaning that these larger objects are less coupled to the gas than the smaller bodies due to their larger stopping times (Cuzzi and Weidenschilling 2006). (The value of \( t_s \Omega \) is also referred to as the Stokes number, \( St \), in a turbulent disk, as the largest eddies at a given location are expected to overturn on time scales comparable to \( \Omega^{-1} \).) As a result of gas drag, these bodies also move inward at a rate given by (Weidenschilling 1977):

\[
V_r = \frac{\nu}{\rho_g} \left( \frac{r}{GM} \right)^{1/2} \frac{dP}{dr}
\]

where \( M_\odot \) is the mass of the Sun and \( dP/dr \) is the local pressure gradient in the disk.

In reality, the inward migration rate of bodies is a strong function of size, with the maximum rate given by bodies with \( t_s \Omega = 1 \). Thus, here it is assumed that bodies move inward at the maximum rate. The actual mass flux of material is what is important, and this will be set by the combination of inward migration rate and the surface density of migrators. The surface density of the migrator population is determined by the interplay of dust coagulation and planetesimal accretion, and therefore the time scales that these processes operate on are what control the inward mass flux. Thus, while the migrating population is intended to include bodies that migrate inward at slower rates than identified above, the different mass fluxes that would arise as a result are accounted for by considering a range of coagulation and accretionary time scales.

### Evolution of Elemental Concentrations

While the various solids are redistributed due to the processes described above, they can carry trace species such as the moderately volatile elements with them. The kinetics of chemical reactions, while possibly important, are ignored in this work. The effects that non-instantaneous reactions may have are discussed in detail below. Following Cassen (1996, 2001), it is assumed that an element is located in the solids when the local temperature is below the condensation temperature of that element and released to the vapor when solids reach an area that exceeds the condensation temperature.

Allowing immediate release of the element to the gaseous phase is reasonable if the element has an unimpeded path from the solid containing it, which would be likely for small dust particles. This may not be the case when an element is located at the center of a migrator and must make its way through \( \sim 1 \) meter of material before being released to the nebula gas. If the body was porous, then the element would likely escape more easily than if the body was more consolidated. Two extreme cases are considered here: first where the release of an element from a migrator is prohibited by the solids in the body and therefore released only when the silicates are vaporized, and second where the release of the element is not inhibited and therefore is incorporated into the gas as the migrator crosses the corresponding evaporation front.

Specific elements are not considered here; rather, hypothetical elements with condensation temperatures of 1200, 1000, 800, and 600 K are tracked. As the MOVE depletion trends that are the focus of this work simply correlate with temperature, this treatment is well justified. These elements add negligible mass to the solids, which are predominately composed of Mg-rich silicates and Fe metal. When the element vapor diffuses outward in the disk to regions below its condensation temperature, it is assumed to condense on the silicate dust present there, regardless of how
Table 1. Parameters used in each of the cases presented here.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Case 1</th>
<th>Case 2</th>
<th>Case 3</th>
<th>Case 4</th>
<th>Case 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\Sigma (1$ AU) (g/cm$^2$)</td>
<td>14,000</td>
<td>14,000</td>
<td>14,000</td>
<td>14,000</td>
<td>7000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_0$ (AU)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p$</td>
<td>$-1$</td>
<td>$-1$</td>
<td>$-1$</td>
<td>$-1$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha$</td>
<td>$10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$10^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t_c$ (yr)</td>
<td>$10^5$</td>
<td>$10^5$</td>
<td>$10^5$</td>
<td>$10^6$</td>
<td>$10^5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t_a$ (yr)</td>
<td>$10^{-1}$</td>
<td>$10^3$</td>
<td>$10^3$</td>
<td>$10^3$</td>
<td>$10^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Element diffusion in migrators</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>Rapid</td>
<td>Slow</td>
<td>Rapid</td>
<td>Rapid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial disk mass ($M_\odot$)</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

much dust is available—the specific reaction pathways are not considered. This treatment is probably not a significant stretch as silicate dust can diffuse outward along with the element vapor and in no cases is the silicate dust significantly depleted with respect to that vapor, meaning that the condensation should not be limited by available reaction sites.

**RESULTS: PLANETESIMAL COMPOSITIONS**

Each model that was investigated was defined by a set of parameters that determined the initial disk structure, the turbulence parameter, and the rates at which solids grew within the disk. The initial disk structure is assumed to be described by the relation:

$$\Sigma(r < R_0) = \Sigma(1$ AU$) \left(\frac{r}{1$ AU$}\right)^p$$

where $R_0$ represents the initial radius of the disk. For $r > R_0$, the surface density was set to zero. Once the initial structure of the disk was established, the disk was allowed to evolve viscously as determined by the value of $\alpha$ used. While the disk evolved, the migrators and planetesimals formed as described above using the time scales given by $t_c$ and $t_a$. A wide parameter space was explored to understand how different disk structures and evolutionary rates could lead to changes in the moderately volatile element depletion in those planetesimals that formed at various locations in the disk. Despite wide parameter space and the relatively unconstrained nature of these parameters, the outcomes of these models fell into three categories: (1) those that produced depletion trends in planetesimals outside of 2 AU (where chondritic meteorite parent bodies are thought to have formed) at the end of the runs that are similar to those observed in chondritic meteorites, (2) those that produced depletion trends after a short period of time ($\sim 10^3$ yr) only to have the trends diluted after subsequent evolution, and (3) those that failed to produce significant depletion trends in the planetesimals that formed outside of 2 AU. Here, only a small subset of cases is presented, with those shown here being used to illustrate the possible outcomes that could be produced and how the outcomes vary with different parameter choices. The parameters for the different cases presented here are given in Table 1. Below are the specifics of these cases, along with discussion how changes to the chosen parameters would impact the results of the model.

The first case presented here is analogous to those simulations done by Cassen (1996, 2001) where dust was incorporated rapidly into planetesimals (the lifetime of migrators, and thus the transport associated with their presence is suppressed by the short accretionary time scale). The evolution of the disk and the depletion trends that result can be seen in Fig. 3. These parameters were chosen to illustrate that, despite using a slightly different model for the nebular evolution, the model here produces results similar to those found by Cassen (1996, 2001). Specifically, in the inner disk, there is a general trend of the relative abundance of an element contained in planetesimals decreasing as the condensation temperature of the element decreases, similar to the MOVE depletions seen in chondritic meteorites. The initial temperature of the disk at a particular location defines the temperature at which the depletion begins. That is, when planetesimal formation begins at a given location, planetesimals incorporate all of those elements that have locally condensed. For example, if a planetesimal forms in a region initially at $T = 800$ K, it will begin with a full inventory (CI-like abundance) of elements with condensation temperatures greater than 800 K. As the disk evolves due to mass loss and the opacity decreases due to dust coagulation, the local temperature drops (Fig. 3a), allowing solids to become more volatile enriched with time. However, the amounts of these more volatile species that are available to be accreted diminish with time as a result of this evolution. Thus, there is a relative depletion of these more volatile species (Fig. 3b). In order to reproduce the MOVE depletion trend, which begins with Si, the temperature at a given location of the disk must have exceeded the forsterite condensation temperature ($\sim 1350$ K). Such high temperatures are only reached inside of $\sim 2$ AU in this particular model, meaning that the complete MOVE depletion trend is reserved only for those bodies that formed interior to this distance.

In cases 2 and 3, the same disk structure is used as in case 1, but now transport via gas drag is allowed, as a longer accretionary time scale is adopted. In case 2, it is assumed that vaporizing species are able to easily escape from the migrators, whereas in case 3 it is assumed that the species are not released to the gas on time scales less than the dynamical time scales. There is little change in the evolution of the disk
surface density or temperature structure in these cases versus that in case 1. The reason for this is that the only way in which solids affect the evolution of the disk in a viscous disk is by determining the optical depth, which plays a role in determining the temperature and the local viscosity (in an $\alpha$-viscosity model). As such, the only way that the distribution of dust in the disks vary from that in case 1 is by the inward transport of the migrators across the silicate evaporation front, where they vaporize and the resultant vapor diffuses outward to condense as dust. This has a significant effect only near the silicate evaporation front, and because of the relatively short accretionary time scale does not lead to drastic changes in the evolution of the disk. Longer accretionary time scales would allow for greater transport, and thus greater shifts in the dust distribution.

The bulk compositions of the planetesimals that form in cases 2 and 3 are strongly affected by the inward transport of elements in migrators. In the case of rapid escape of a given element from the migrators (case 2), the concentration of that element is enhanced in the vapor phase inside its evaporation front, similar to what is expected for water inside the snow line (Cuzzi and Zahnle 2004; Ciesla and Cuzzi 2006). As the disk cools, the element condenses locally at a slightly higher concentration than solar, meaning more of it is incorporated into the planetesimals than in the case where gas drag migration is inhibited. A depletion trend is still evident at small heliocentric distances, though it is not as steep as in case 1.

Essentially no depletion trend develops if it takes long periods of time for elements to diffuse outward from the migrators as found in case 3 (Fig. 5). This is because the migrator population at a given location is dominated by migrators that formed at greater heliocentric distances. This is due to the rapid rate at which these bodies move inward over time—for the accretionary time scales used here ($\sim 10^3$ yr), a migrator can travel $\sim 10$ AU before being incorporated into a planetesimal. Thus, the compositions of the planetesimals that accrete in this scenario are not representative of the material that forms locally, but rather more representative of those materials that form further out in the disk. These materials are thus more primitive in composition because they formed at much lower temperatures, leading the planetesimals to develop CI-like compositions (as materials formed at low temperatures are assumed to be unfractonated).

A similar result was found in the model runs in which the accretionary or coagulation time scales were longer than used above, regardless of the diffusion rate of the elements within the migrators. For longer accretionary time scales ($t_c > 10^3$ yr), the migrators that were incorporated into planetesimals were composed of materials that formed much further out in the disk at lower temperatures. Longer coagulation time scales kept the dust in the disk for longer periods of time. As a result, the disk was able to cool significantly through mass accretion, resulting in lower temperatures, and less fractionated materials, in the asteroid belt region as planetesimals formed. Additionally, more primitive dust was brought inward with time because, even though gas drag is not important on these small particles, their net motion is inward due to the large-scale flows associated with mass transport in the disk. Thus, planetesimals form from a larger fraction of CI-like material. This is illustrated by the results of case 4 (Fig. 6). In this particular case, the same conditions were used as in case 2, except the coagulation time scale was increased by an order of magnitude.

Figure 7 illustrates the results for a model run (case 5) which begins with a disk that is more extended than considered
Fig. 4. Same as Fig. 3, but for case 2.

Fig. 5. Same as Fig. 3, but for case 3.

Fig. 6. Same as Fig. 3, but for case 4, with the fifth line in Panel A representing the temperature profile after 1 million years. The abundance curves in Panel B are plotted after 1 million years of evolution.
in the previous cases (though less so than typically observed in disks around other stars). Because of its structure, the rate of mass transport through the disk and its optical thickness are both diminished compared to the previous cases considered. This results in a cooler disk at all locations. As a result, temperatures in the asteroid belt start too low in order to exhibit the depletion trends for all MOVEs, and instead, the depletions are limited to the most volatile of these elements. This result is similar to what was found by Cassen (1996, 2001).

It should be noted that in all cases presented here, except for case 4, the depletion trends are shown after $5 \times 10^5$ yr of disk evolution (in case 4, the results are shown after $10^6$ yr). Later additions of mass to the planetesimals would generally come in the form of CI-like material, as the disk would have cooled sufficiently for MOVEs to have condensed in the inner solar nebula. Figure 8 illustrates the temporal evolution of the depletion trends for the planetesimals at 2 AU in case 2. While the mass of dust that remains at the later stages of disk evolution is small compared to the mass in the planetesimals, the dust can dilute the depletions that form. It cannot be ruled out that the chondritic meteorite parent bodies formed from a generation of planetesimals that formed early and then avoided incorporating the CI-like dust at later stages of disk evolution. However, as will be argued below, such a situation is inconsistent with other properties of chondritic materials.

The model results shown here demonstrate that it is possible to produce depletion trends that are similar to the MOVE depletions observed in chondritic meteorites and similar to the results found by Cassen (1996, 2001), even when allowing for the redistribution of materials by gas drag. However, it is not a robust result. Certain conditions must be met in order for the depletion trends to be produced in bodies located in what would become the current-day asteroid belt (2–4 AU). First, because all moderately volatile elements must start in the vapor phase, this requires temperatures above ~1350 K beyond at least 2 AU. Second, in order for the planetesimals to develop a chemical “memory” of the cooling history of the disk, they must accrete rapidly so that they can preserve significant amounts of material from every temperature interval on the cooling curve.

The necessary conditions to produce these trends can only be achieved by using a narrow range of parameters in the model developed here. The high temperatures in the asteroid belt region can be achieved by a combination of rapid mass transport leading to the generation of thermal energy in the disk and by maintaining a high dust surface density so that the disk remains optically thick and does not radiate away its energy too quickly. In practice, these two situations are found to go together, as high surface densities provide, locally, a large amount of mass to be transported. This can be seen by looking at the relation between midplane temperature and mass transport ($dM/dt$) given by (Cassen 1994):

$$T_m = \frac{3\pi GM_s}{64\pi \sigma r^2} \frac{dM}{dt}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

where $\sigma$ is the Boltzmann constant. The mass transport rate can be written as

$$\frac{dM}{dt} = 2\pi r \Sigma V$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

where $V$ is the advective velocity and is equal to $3v/2r$ (under steady-state conditions). The optical depth, $\tau$, can be written so that $\tau = \kappa/\Sigma$, where $\kappa$ is the dust-to-gas mass ratio. Remembering that $v = \alpha c H = \alpha c^2/\Omega = \alpha(\gamma k T_m/m_H)(GM_H)^{-1/2} r^{-3/2}$, the midplane temperature is found to have the relation

$$T_m = \alpha \Sigma^2 fr^{-3/2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

Thus, starting with temperatures above 1350 K at a given
distance requires the right combination of $\alpha$ and $\Sigma$. In those cases in which the all material was vaporized out beyond 2 AU, it was found that the disk evolved very quickly due to the fact that the viscosity was high ($\alpha > 10^{-3}$) or all the mass was concentrated at small heliocentric distances ($\Sigma > 5000$ g/cm$^2$ at 2 AU). As a result, the disk would cool rapidly, meaning that the volatile content of the dust in the disk would change on short time scales, and thus the planetesimals would have to form rapidly to preserve the fractionated materials from the early high-temperature stages. This generally required coagulation and accretion time scales $< 10^5$ yr, with shorter time scales limiting radial mixing and therefore leading to steeper depletion patterns. It should be noted that the short coagulation time scales further aided disk cooling as it reduces the dust-to-gas mass ratio, $f$, and therefore lowers the local opacity in the disk, allowing radiation to escape more easily. Higher values of $f$ would lead to faster dynamical evolution, and therefore require shorter coagulation and accretion time scales to produce depletion patterns.

The required conditions to produce the MOVE depletion trends appear to be inconsistent with other data and constraints on protoplanetary disk evolution and meteorite parent body formation. Around solar mass stars, temperatures above the silicate condensation temperature are difficult to produce and maintain for any significant time at distances beyond 2 AU. There is little, if any, observational evidence to suggest temperatures that high are present in disks around solar mass stars at distances equivalent to the current-day asteroid belt (Woolum and Cassen 1999). This by itself does not pose a problem for this model, as the possibility that the solar nebula evolved differently from those disks that we are able to observe cannot be ruled out. However, the meteoritic data does prove more problematic. The time scales of planetesimal formation that are required here are very short when compared to the half-lives of $^{26}$Al (730,000 yr) and $^{60}$Fe (1.5 million years). These radionuclides are expected to be major heat sources for the bodies into which they are accreted. Both of these nuclides would condense into the solids at temperatures near $1350$ K and therefore would be incorporated into all solids in this model. It would then be expected that those planetesimals that formed on the time scales given above would differentiate (Ghosh and McSween 1998) rather than preserve pristine nebula material as is done by chondritic meteorites. This effect would vary with planetesimal size, with larger bodies experiencing greater degrees of differentiation as they retain heat more efficiently, and thus could be avoided if chondrite parent bodies were kept <10 km in size. However, given the large number of planetesimals present, growth of bodies beyond this size is likely to happen relatively quickly (Chambers 2004). In addition, these rapid accretion time scales are difficult to reconcile with chondrules forming 1–4 million years after CAIs (Kita et al. 2000; Amelin et al. 2002) unless a significant amount of recycling through catastrophic collisions and reaccretion took place.

Not only do these incompatibilities exist, but also, qualitatively, it appears that the planetesimals that would form in these models would be structured very differently than the chondritic meteorite parent bodies. The planetesimals in these models grow through the gradual accretion of more and more volatile materials. Thus, they would start with a core of silicates and metal. As the nebula cooled a bit, the planetesimals would then accrete silicates and metals with
MOVEs with condensation temperatures above the ambient temperature. This material would be accreted onto the core of silicates and metal. As the nebula cooled even further to a lower temperature, another layer would be added that contained all the elements that condensed at temperatures above ambient. Within each of these layers that are added to the planetesimals, the elements that would be incorporated would be present at their solar (CI) relative abundances. It is only the bulk planetesimal that exhibits a depletion trend. However, the observed depletion trends are measured in centimeter-sized samples of chondrites. It is unclear how the model planetesimals would become homogenized on such a scale. This again, is not by itself absolute proof that this model is inconsistent with meteorite observations, as the details of the accretion process and the post-accretion evolution of the parent body are not considered here. However, no process has been proposed to produce the homogenization required. Also, the fragile organics and nanometer-scale diamonds found within meteorites would have to be late additions to the meteorite parent bodies, and survived the homogenization process. When these issues are combined with the other incompatibilities raised above, it suggests that chondrite properties were not directly determined by the cooling of the solar nebula from an initially hot state.

The conclusion that the MOVE depletion trend cannot be explained by the dynamical evolution of the solar nebula from an initially hot phase is the opposite of that reached by Cassen (1996, 2001), despite similar models and model results. Part of the reason for this is likely that the chronology of chondritic meteorites and their components was still uncertain at the time these previous models were developed. While an age difference between CAIs and chondrules had been hinted at prior to the time of that work, these ages were based on the $^{26}$Al chronometer, which relied on the uncertain assumption that the isotope was homogeneously distributed throughout the solar nebula. It was after the development of these models that Amelin et al. (2002) reported their lead isotopic measurements, which supported the $^{26}$Al ages.

In terms of the heat budgets of the planetesimals, Cassen (1996, 2001) was aware that the short formation times of the planetesimals required to produce the MOVE depletions in his models imply that they incorporated a significant amount of short-lived radionuclides. Woolum and Cassen (1999) suggested that the differentiation of the chondritic parent bodies could be avoided if these objects represented those planetesimals that were not accreted into objects $>10$ km in size. Differentiation is likely restricted to bodies in excess of $\sim10$ km (if formed at such a early stage), and thus if chondrite parent bodies were kept below that size, or grew to that size over an extended period of time, then they may have been able to preserve their nebular signatures. However, such a scenario is still unable to explain the million year age differences in chondrules and the age differences between CAIs and chondrules. (It should be noted that Cassen [2001] suggested that the volatile depletion pattern of the Earth could be explained in this model as well, as the planetesimals from which the Earth formed would have evolved in the same manner described here. This is still possible as these planetesimals need not have avoided differentiation and many would have formed closer to the Sun than the asteroid belt distances considered here, where higher temperatures are easier to reach and maintain for significant time in disk models.)

Thus, while MOVE depletion trends similar to those found in chondritic meteorites can be reproduced in these models, allowing for the radial transport of solids in the disk reduces the parameter space in which such trends can be produced compared to those found in the models of Cassen (1996, 2001). The required parameters are at odds with other constraints for the formation of meteorite parent bodies. As such, a different source for the depletion trends is required.

**DUST COMPOSITION**

Another possibility that must be considered is that the chondritic meteorite parent body did not begin immediately, but rather was delayed for a period of more than 1 million years, in agreement with the age differences between the CAIs and chondrules. The bulk compositions of chondrites are determined by the sum of their components: matrix, chondrules, and refractory inclusions. These components have very different origins and compositions, but all formed directly from dust particles in the solar nebula and came together in different proportions to form the chondrites. Not only do the components have different origins and compositions, but there are also chemical and isotopic variations within the individual components as chondrule compositions vary greatly in a given meteorite and there are a variety of different types of refractory inclusions.

In order to disentangle how these different components achieved their respective compositions, it is necessary to first identify what materials these objects would have been created from. Here the focus is on matrix and chondrules as that material was likely processed in the chondrite formation region and makes up the bulk ($>90\%$) of a given chondritic meteorite. Refractory inclusions, such as CAIs, likely formed near the Sun, and were then transported outward to be mixed with chondrules and matrix and accreted into the final meteorite parent bodies (Cuzzi et al. 2003).

During the time of chondrule formation, the dust located in the chondrite formation region would have been a mixture of materials that originated closer to the Sun—at high temperatures—and diffused outward (“fractionated”) and materials that originated further out—at lower temperatures—and were carried inward by the advective flows associated with disk evolution and gas drag (“unfractionated”). The relative amounts of these two
components at a given location varied with time and depended on such factors as the assumed disk viscosity and the disk structure. Figure 9 shows how this mixture varies in disks of the same structure with various assumed values of $\alpha$. Plotted are the fractions of dust that were never exposed to temperatures in excess of 600 K (approximately the lowest temperature for the MOVE depletion trend) at different times during disk evolution.

The disk was assumed to have a structure similar to that used in cases 1–4, where the high starting surface densities in the chondrite formation region allowed for temperatures to exceed 1350 K for $\alpha > 10^{-3}$. No coagulation or accretion of solids into larger bodies was considered; here the focus is strictly on the dynamical evolution of the dust in the disk. Initially, the dust particles are not mixed and the boundary between the two species (where $T = 600$ K) is seen in Fig. 9a. As expected, this boundary initially exists at larger heliocentric distances for higher values of $\alpha$, since this leads to higher viscosities and therefore more internal heat generation. As disk evolution proceeds, the disk cools, allowing the unfractionated dust to exist at smaller heliocentric distances. Some amount of fractionated material does diffuse outward to mix with the unFractionated materials; however, the amount of fractionated material that remains diminishes with time, particularly for large values of $\alpha$. This is because the fractionated material must survive by diffusing upstream against the net advective flow of the disk. The distance material will diffuse in the disk in a given time, $t$, is given by $x_D = (a c H t)^{1/2}$ (assuming very small particles with $St \ll 1$). In that same amount of time, the net advective flow of the disk will cause materials to drift inward $x_A = (3 a c H t)/2r$ (under steady-state conditions). Fractionated particles that originate closer to the Sun can move outward when $x_D > x_A$, or for a time period of $t < 4r^2/(9a c H)$. This means that the amount of time for particles to survive decreases with increasing $\alpha$. Thus those conditions that most easily produce the “hot inner nebula” (high values of $\alpha$) are the same that lead to rapid evolution and advection, causing materials that originated at high temperatures to be accreted onto the Sun and leaving materials that originated at low temperatures to be the dominant dust component in the disk. Similar results were found by Cuzzi et al. (2003) who showed that while turbulent diffusion can help prevent the total loss of CAIs over times $>10^5$ yr due to gas drag, the surviving particles constituted just a small fraction of the solids between 2–4 AU during the expected time of chondrite accretion. Cuzzi et al. (2003) also found that lower values of $\alpha$ provided a better opportunity for these CAIs to be retained in the disk.

Thus, a larger majority of the dust located between 2–4 AU, particularly after a million years of disk evolution
(when chondrule formation is thought to begin), likely originated at larger heliocentric distances, and therefore cooler temperatures, and would contain the full complement of moderately volatile elements. This material would have been carried inward from larger heliocentric distances by the net advective flows associated with disk evolution and by gas drag. Even dust that was processed in the inner disk and then diffused outward may have had whatever volatiles it lost recondense on its surface as it entered cooler regions as the volatiles in the gas would diffuse outward as well.

This means that if chondrules and chondrite matrix formed as products of purely nebular processes instead of planetary, and that the radiometric ages of chondrules do represent a formation period of millions of years, the precursors to chondrules and matrix were likely relatively pristine in terms of their elemental compositions, and therefore CI-like in their elemental abundances. This would be consistent with the suggestion of Alexander (2005) who argued that all chondritic meteorites accreted a matrix that was dominated by a CI-like component. Alexander (2005) argued that the elemental depletions observed in chondrites could be explained by the mixing of such a component with chondrules that lost their volatiles during the heating associated with the chondrule-formation event. Bland et al. (2005) countered that, based on their measurements, matrix in chondrites was not CI-like. However, Alexander (2005) suggested that chondrule fragments may be polluting the matrix and were not recognized. In addition, Alexander (2004) found that the different compositions of chondrules can be explained by considering the kinetic effects of heating and recondensing CI-like materials. This scenario also allows temperatures to be low enough for water ice to accrete with the planetesimals, allowing for aqueous alteration to occur. In this scenario, MOVE depletions would be tied to localized thermal processing of chondritic components.

A NOTE ON CHEMICAL KINETICS

Ignored in this work has been the potentially important issue of chemical kinetics. Here it had been assumed that the vaporization and condensation reactions were short compared to the dynamical time scales of interest, the conclusions of this work would not change. In the case of slow vaporization, as solids entered warmer regions of the nebula, they would retain their volatiles more efficiently than considered here. That would mean that the planetesimals that accreted in the warmer regions of the nebula would have had higher volatile contents than considered here, making the depletion trends even shallower, and supporting the conclusion that the MOVE depletion trend could not be directly inherited from a cooling, evolving nebula.

In the case of slow condensation, it would become possible for solids from the inner disk to diffuse outward without incorporating volatiles along the way. This would mean that volatile-depleted solids would be available to be incorporated into planetesimals in cooler regions of the nebula. A volatile-depleted component (the outwardly diffusing, high-temperature materials) would then be mixed together with a volatile-rich component (the pristine materials that never experienced T > ~600 K). The story would actually be more complex than a two-component model, as the accreted material would actually be composed of a variety of components: a component that never experienced a temperature in excess of 600 K, a component that never experienced a temperature of 800 K, and so on, with the most common component being the more pristine material and the rarest being that which reached temperatures in excess of ~1350 K (because it would have to diffuse outward the greatest distance and survive in the nebula for the longest period of time). However, as demonstrated in the previous section, the amount of material that originated in the high temperature region of the nebula and was transported out to be accreted in the chondrule formation region is expected to make up only a small fraction of the total mass of solids. Thus in the absence of localized processes, it would be expected that the material in the chondrite formation region would be dominated by a pristine, CI-like component.

DISCUSSION AND SUMMARY

The objective of the modeling effort presented here was to evaluate whether the depletion of moderately volatile elements observed in chondritic meteorites could be due to the global evolution of the solar nebula and the solids it contained. The thermal evolution of the solar nebula was calculated using the same models used to describe the evolution of protoplanetary disks around young stars, and the dynamical evolution of the solids in the disk were calculated as they grew from dust to planetesimals and were subjected to advective flows, turbulent diffusion, and gas drag migration. A variety of disk structures,
levels of turbulence, and coagulation and accretion rates were investigated. It was found that, for a range of parameters, depletion trends of the type observed in chondritic meteorites could be produced in the theoretical planetesimals formed in these models. This is in agreement with the findings of Cassen (1996, 2001).

While the depletion trends can be reproduced in these models, there is a finite range and combination of parameters that produce these trends. In particular, rapid accretion of planetesimals is required, which would appear to lead to differentiated bodies rather than chondrite parent bodies, and would be inconsistent with the measurements indicating that chondrules formed over a period of ~2 million years. Thus, it appears that forming the chondritic depletion trends through the gradual accumulation of material in a solar nebula that begins with globally hot inner disk would be inconsistent with other observations of meteorites and their components. Instead, the dusty precursors of chondrules and matrix would likely have been CI-like in composition, which is consistent with the models of Alexander (2004, 2005).

It should also be noted that depletion trends are much easier to develop at smaller heliocentric distances, inside about 1 AU. While Bottke et al. (2006) demonstrated that meteorite parent bodies can be gravitationally scattered outward from small heliocentric distances to the inner edge of the asteroid belt, it is difficult to imagine that this was the case with all meteorite parent bodies. In particular, the fact that many meteorites were aqueously altered implies that the parent bodies accreted in the presence of water ice, which likely was incorporated into solids outside of ~2.5 AU, as temperatures inward of this location were likely too high for water to exist as a solid. However, this result may still be important for the chemical compositions of the planetesimals that were accreted by the young Earth, as noted by Cassen (2001). This should be looked at more carefully in future work.

As with all theoretical efforts, the conclusions of this work are only as good as the assumptions and treatments that were involved in the model. One of the biggest uncertainties in developing models of disk evolution is identifying the cause for the mass and angular momentum transport. The $\alpha$-viscosity method used here is controversial, as only the MRI has been identified as a process that may generate the kind of viscosity needed to drive disk evolution, yet, it is unclear whether the MRI will generate this turbulence at the midplane of a protoplanetary disk. Despite this uncertainty, the particulars of the cause of the mass transport are not critical to this work. What is important is that mass is transported by some mechanism, so that the disk is heated by the loss of gravitational potential energy and viscous dissipation. In the absence of mass transport, temperatures at the disk midplane would be less than those found here, making it difficult to achieve the high temperatures needed to vaporize all materials in the chondrite formation region. This would only strengthen the conclusion that the evolution of the nebula could not be responsible for the depletion trends observed in chondrites.

This work has also ignored what effects, if any, gravitational interactions with massive clumps or protoplanets may have had on the disk structure and the migration of materials within it. In fact, these types of interactions are possibly responsible for the formation of chondrules, as they could generate shock waves in the disk (Boss and Durisen 2005). In addition, the torques may generate a non-uniform surface density distribution and pressure gradients which could lead particles to move outward due to gas drag rather than inward (Haghighipour and Boss 2003), making it somewhat easier for materials to move from hotter regions of the disk to cooler ones. However, even if this were the case, it is likely that the inward movement of materials from the outer disk would also be increased, providing more CI-like material and averaging out to similar results as those shown here. This should still be looked at closely in future work.

Additionally, this work has assumed that chondrules were formed within the nebula, prior to the formation of the chondrite parent bodies. Should chondrules have formed in an X-wind (Shu et al. 1996), an additional level of mixing and processing not accounted for in this modeling would occur. Also, if short-lived radionuclides, such as $^{26}$Al and $^{60}$Fe, were heterogeneously distributed in the solar nebula, then the inferred ages for these components based on such chronometers would not necessarily represent an actual difference in the time of formation. Planetesimals could also form with low concentrations of such nuclides, limiting the amount of heat that would be generated after accretion, and therefore allow them to avoid differentiating. The agreement of Pb ages with $^{26}$Al suggests such heterogeneities did not exist (Amelin 2002), though there is still debate regarding these issues (see Bizzarro et al. 2004). Finally, it was assumed that chondritic meteorite parent bodies formed directly from the primitive materials in the solar nebula. If materials were significantly recycled or chondrules were formed in planetesimal collisions (Sanders and Taylor 2005), then subsequent evolution of primitive materials after their initial accretion would take place. It is unclear how much the signatures of a globally hot inner nebula would be affected in such collisions. These issues should be considered in the future.

While there are many other ways to improve the model used in this investigation, the simplified scenarios outlined here do provide insight into the general evolution that likely took place in the solar nebula. More complicated scenarios may be envisioned, such as allowing $\alpha$ to vary with time and location in the disk or investigating disk structures that do not have the smooth distributions assumed here. However, it is not obvious why such complications should significantly change the results and conclusions. It is true that we have much more to learn about the evolution of protoplanetary
disks, and there certainly are effects that have been neglected that could leave their imprints on the meteoritic record. Future astronomical observations will serve as guides to what other effects must be considered.
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