Petrography, composition, and origin of large, chromian spinels from the Murchison meteorite
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Abstract—Most spinel grains in Murchison acid residues are Mg-, Al-rich, 16O-rich (δ18O = -50%), small (10-30 μm) and probably from refractory inclusions. They are quite unlike spinels we have recovered from Murchison by freeze-thaw disaggregation, density separation, and handpicking. As reported here, the latter spinels contain up to 37 wt% Cr2O3 and up to 17 wt% FeO, and are not δ18O-enriched (δ18O = 1.9 ± 2.4%). We are coarse (60-325 μm), and are not from refractory inclusions. From backscattered electron images of fifty-seven such grains, we recognize five zoning types defined by variations in Cr2O3 contents: patchy (56%); homogeneous (21%); chevron (10.5%); gradational (9%); and core-rim (3.5%). Many grains have silicate inclusions, the most common being small, anhedral grains of diopside with 12-24 wt% Al2O3 and up to 3.7 wt% TiO2. Eleven spinel samples occur with forsteritic (Fo80) olivine; in most cases, the spinel partially encloses the olivine. Cr-bearing spinel was found in situ in two Al-rich chondrules (one with homogeneous spinel, the other with homogeneous, gradational and core-rim spinel, and both with forsterite and aluminous diopside); in two irregularly shaped, olivine-bearing inclusions (one with homogeneous spinel, the other patchy); and attached to an isolated olivine grain (patchy). Observation of homogeneous, gradational, and core-rim type spinels in chondrules and basalts shows that grains with these zoning patterns can crystallize from liquids, although, in Murchison, chondrules with the appropriate compositions and sufficiently coarse textures to yield the separated spinels are exceedingly rare. Chevonn-zoned grains also could have formed in chondrules; alternatively, they may have acquired their oscillatory zoning patterns by cycling through different P-T-fO2 regimes in the solar nebula during their formation. The patchy spinel grains were probably never molten and they most likely formed by sintering of aggregates of smaller spinel grains which were enriched in Cr and Fe to varying degrees. In spite of their various crystallization and thermal histories, the spinels all have normal oxygen and chromium isotopic compositions, consistent with formation from a single, well-mixed nebular reservoir. Based on the known slow rates of diffusion of oxygen in MgO, Al2O3, and MgAl2O4, it is unlikely that the spinels of this study formed from an isotopically anomalous reservoir and later re-equilibrated with a normal one: it is more likely that they have retained their original isotopic compositions. We see no evidence for anomalous 40Cr, which had been reported by others.

INTRODUCTION

Spinel grains from the Murchison (CM2) meteorite have been of interest ever since Clayton and Mayeda (1984) reported large 16O enrichments (δ16O = -40%) in a bulk, spinel-rich aliquot of acid residue 2C10c. Zinner and Epstein (1986) found large 13C excesses in spinel grains from Murchison acid residue CFOc and, at the time, could not rule out the possibility that the anomalous C was truly incorporated in the spinel. This led Kuehner and Grossman (1987) to study spinel grains recovered from Murchison by freeze-thaw disaggregation, heavy liquid (density) separation, and handpicking, with the goal of petrographically characterizing the spinels with isotopically anomalous carbon. Ion probe analysis of the grains described by Kuehner and Grossman (1987) showed, however, that they have isotopically normal carbon and oxygen (Grossman et al., 1988). An additional, important difference between the handpicked spinel grains and those in the acid residues is that the former are coarse: 60-325 μm vs. 3-50 μm (but mostly 3-10 μm) in 2C10c and 10-30 μm in CFOc. Unlike Clayton and Mayeda (1984), who analyzed a bulk separate, Virag et al. (1991) used an ion probe to measure oxygen isotopic compositions of individual grains of spinel recovered from Murchison acid residue CFOc. They found that grains of pure Mg-, Al-spinel had even greater 18O enrichments (δ18O = -50%) than the residue analyzed by Clayton and Mayeda (1984). Virag et al. (1991) concluded that the Mg-rich spinel grains which they analyzed belong to an 18O-rich population, the relatively Cr-rich (up to 37 wt% Cr2O3 vs. <5900 ppm in the CFOc grains) spinel analyzed by Grossman et al. (1988) represent an 18O-poor group, and the spinel analyzed by Clayton and Mayeda (1984) is a mixture of the two.

Chromium isotopic analyses may also indicate that there are two types of spinel in Murchison. Spinels in common refractory inclusions are characterized by modest but well-established and endemic 54Cr excesses of 5-10 ε-units (parts in 104) and 53Cr deficits of 1-2 ε-units, relative to assumed normal 53Cr/54Cr (Papanastassiou, 1986; Birck and Allegre, 1988). In contrast, Esat and Ireland (1989) reported much larger (several permil) and variable chromium...
isotopic anomalies in Murchison spinels of the type considered here, which would suggest that these spinels formed from a much narrower class of presolar materials than the spinels from refractory inclusions.

The $^{16}$O-rich, Mg-, Al-spinels are probably from refractory inclusions (MacPherson et al., 1983, 1984a; Ireland et al., 1992), but little is known about the origin of the $^{18}$O-poor, Cr-bearing spinels. These grains are the subject of the present study, in which we use detailed petrographic, mineralogical, and isotopic (O and Cr) data to constrain their origins and histories. Some preliminary results of this study were reported by Kuehner and Grossman (1987), Grossman et al. (1988), Podosek et al. (1991), and Simon and Grossman (1992). Also, MacPherson et al. (1983) studied samples SP2-SP7, which are included in the present suite of samples.

ANALYTICAL TECHNIQUES

Sample Preparation, Scanning Electron Microscopy, and Electron Probe Microanalysis

Chips of Murchison were disaggregated using the freeze-thaw method described by MacPherson et al. (1980). Spinel grains were handpicked from the densest fraction ($p > 3.50$), examined with a binocular microscope, and identified on the basis of color (pink, red, or purple), high refractive index, and, where possible, octahedral shape (Kuehner and Grossman, 1987). Some grains were split, with separate chips used for ion microprobe and thermal ionization mass spectrometric analyses in another mounted in epoxy for petrographic study. Other grains (SP1-SP8) were not split and were only mounted in epoxy. Six grains (SP22, SP25, SP26, SP32, SP34, and SP35) were either plucked or not sufficiently polished for petrographic study. Polished sections of all remaining fifty-seven grains were examined with a JEOI JSM-35 scanning electron microscope (SEM) operated at 10 kV and 2-3 nA. This relatively high current was used to obtain increased contrast in backscattered electron images (BEI), revealing smaller composition variations within spinel grains than can be observed at normal operating currents. Wavelength-dispersive analyses of spinel and attached phases were performed with a fully automated Cameca SX-50 electron microprobe. Synthetic glass and crystal (spinel, shortvite, rutile, Cr$_2$O$_3$) and natural mineral (P: olivine, andradite) standards were used. Except for the analyses plotted in Fig. 5, which were all collected at 15 kV and 40 nA, data for major elements were collected at 15 kV with a beam current of 25 nA, and for minor elements (e.g., Ti and V in spinel and olivine) at 25 kV and 200 nA. This was done with an automated routine in which each point was analyzed for major elements, then the accelerating voltage and beam current settings needed for minor element analysis were loaded automatically, and the same point was analyzed for trace elements without moving the stage. Background count rates were measured at offsets below and above each peak position for a total counting time equal to that used for the peak. Data were reduced via the modified ZAF correction procedure PAP (Pouchou and Pichoir, 1984).

Ion Microprobe Analysis

For the oxygen isotopic measurements, fragments of spinel crystals were pressed into gold foil along with Burma spinel (USNM#135273), a terrestrial standard whose isotopic composition had previously been determined to be $\delta^{18}O_{SMOW} = +11.6\%$ and $\delta^{16}O_{SMOW} = +22.1\%$ by conventional gas mass spectrometry (R. N. Clayton and T. K. Mayeda, pers. commun.). The technique for oxygen isotopic analysis with the Washington University ion microprobe, a modified Cameca IMS 3F, has previously been described by McKeehan (1987), Fahey et al. (1987), and Virag et al. (1991). Oxygen was detected as negative secondary ions produced by Cs$^+$ bombardment. A mass resolving power of 6500 was sufficient to resolve $^{16}$O from $^{18}$O. Measurements on Murchison spinel crystals were interspersed with measurements on the terrestrial standard. The meteoritic data were normalized by comparing the average of the Burma spinel data to the known composition of this standard.

Thermal Ionization Mass Spectrometry

Splits of some of the same grains that were analyzed for oxygen isotopes were also analyzed for chromium isotopes. For chromium isotopic analysis we used a "direct-load" procedure in which spinel grain fragments were loaded with silica gel and nitric acid onto V-shaped Re mass spectrometer filaments. Isotopic analyses were performed in the Washington University VG-354 thermal ionization mass spectrometer; all measurements were made with a Daly detector operated in pulse-counting mode. As calibrations for Cr, we used the same Burma spinel employed for calibration of the ion probe oxygen analyses and also a reagent Cr solution, both loaded the same way as the Murchison spinels. All data were acquired with a beam intensity of $\sim 10^3$ cps of $^{54}$Cr. Ion isotopic ratios were corrected for instrumental discrimination assuming terrestrial normal $^{54}$Cr/$^{52}$Cr as reported by Sheeley et al. (1966) and the "exponential" mass dependence described by Russell et al. (1978). Results for $^{52}$Cr and $^{53}$Cr abundances are reported as $+\sigma$-unit deviations from the terrestrial normal composition reported by Papapanastassiou (1986).

Potential isobaric interferences from $^{50}$Ti and $^{54}$Fe were monitored at masses 49 and 56 amu, respectively, with formal corrections made assuming normal titanium and iron isotopic compositions. In practice, no Ti signal above a few counts per second was identifiably detected in any of these analyses, and the formal corrections based on mass 49 are trivial ($<0.2\%$). An Fe ion signal, monitored at mass 56, was generally found to be present. In some analyses, the corresponding correction to $^{54}$Cr was substantial (several hundred $\sigma$). Even in such cases the corrected $^{54}$Cr/$^{52}$Cr agreed as well as could be determined (i.e., within several $\sigma$) with data acquired for the same sample during other parts of the analysis when the Fe interference was much lower, which gives us confidence that the 56 signal is correctly identified as Fe. The data reported do not include any analyses involving an Fe correction greater than 10$\sigma$ and, for most of these data, the correction is substantially smaller (no more than a few $\sigma$ and in many cases $<1\sigma$). We consider the uncertainty in the Fe correction not to be a significant contribution to overall uncertainty.

Data acquisition and reduction were organized into "sets," where each set comprises five cycles through the chromium isotopes, integrating for five seconds at each field setting, plus baseline and interference measurements. Beam intensity regulation, ion source focusing, magnetic field adjustments, and various diagnostics were performed between sets. A "run" is a collection of sets, up to a maximum of 100 sets, for which the isotope ratios of interest ($^{52}$Cr/$^{54}$Cr, $^{52}$Cr/$^{53}$Cr, and $^{54}$Cr/$^{52}$Cr) are taken as the means of the ratios calculated for each set, with uncertainties ("internal" errors) based on the variance of the set ratios about their mean. Under ideal circumstances, when no other sources of error are important, precision in isotopic ratio measurement is limited by Poisson statistics for the number of ions detected. For the protocol described above, the one-sigma Poisson limits for one set, including the errors in the $^{52}$Cr and $^{54}$Cr measurements, are $1\sigma$ for $^{52}$Cr and $3\sigma$ for $^{54}$Cr. Set-to-set variations agree well with Poisson statistics, indicating no sources of error that are significant compared to internal statistics and that operate on a set-to-set timescale.

The samples studied here were generally run to exhaustion, i.e., until they could no longer produce a satisfactory ion beam. This generally involved multiple runs, including repeat runs on the same filament load and more than one filament load for the same sample. The data reported in this paper, including those for reagent Cr and Burma spinel, are weighted means, and errors of the means, for all runs on a given sample. In aggregate, the data for Burma spinel are normal within formal errors, but the data for reagent Cr are not (Table 8). We take this as evidence for the presence of some source(s) of analytical error at a level which is not prominent compared to statistical errors for a single run but which becomes prominent when the statistical errors are formally reduced by convergence of the mean for a large number of runs (thirty-one runs for reagent Cr). The nature of such sources of error is unknown; one possibility is second-order mass dependence of instrumental discrimination, which might
be especially relevant in comparing reagent on the one hand with
direct-loaded solid crystals on the other. Probably the best estimate
for systematic errors in this procedure for Cr analysis is provided by
the degree to which the reagent Cr deviates from assumed normal,
i.e., around 2σ for ⁵⁸Cr and 5σ for ⁵⁹Cr, less than single-run statistical
errors and much less than the size of the effects we wish to study
here.

SAMPLE DESCRIPTIONS

Zoning Patterns in Spinel

When viewed with the binocular microscope, each spinel
grain is translucent, uniform in color and luster, and com-
pletely homogeneous from one edge to the other. Some of
these spinel grains are bounded by crystal faces, but not on
all sides. When viewed at higher magnification with the pet-
rographic microscope and SEM, the interiors of most samples
are seen to contain few cracks or cavities whose presence
would indicate polycrystallinity. Although the isotropism of
spinel prevents optical confirmation, there is no indication
that these grains are anything but single crystals, although
some have attached grains and/or inclusions of phases other
than spinel. There are perhaps four samples with inclusion
and V₂O₅, but there is no systematic correlation with Mg/
the zoning types are patchy (56%), homogeneous (21%).

Patchy

Four examples of this type are shown in Fig. 1a-d, and
they illustrate some of the differences among grains of this
type. Patchy grains are characterized by numerous islands,
typically 10–20 μm (but up to 100 μm) across, of contrasting
⁵⁸Cr₂O₃ contents. In addition, in most grains, increases in
⁵⁹Cr₂O₃ are accompanied by increases in Ti (reported as TiO₂)
and V₂O₃, but there is no systematic correlation with Mg/
Fe. Petrographic and chemical features of each grain are
summarized in Table 1. In order of decreasing abundance,
the zoning types are patchy (56%), homogeneous (21%),
chevron (10%), gradational (9%), and core-rim (15%).
Each type is described below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample No.</th>
<th>Cr₂O₃ (range, wt %)</th>
<th>FeO (range, wt %)</th>
<th>Al-Diop</th>
<th>Olivine</th>
<th>Glass</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patchy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP2</td>
<td>23.25-35</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP4</td>
<td>7.2-13</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP6</td>
<td>3.5-5.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>NiFe</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP7</td>
<td>12.0-16.5</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>Ca, Fe-sil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP23</td>
<td>7.5-10.4</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP24</td>
<td>6.0-9.5</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP30</td>
<td>6.5-30</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>Fe-sil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP31</td>
<td>9.0-16.0</td>
<td>4.0-7.0</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>Fe-sil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP41</td>
<td>2.5-10.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP43</td>
<td>16.0-20.0</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP46</td>
<td>2.6-6.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP51</td>
<td>0.8-8.4</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP53</td>
<td>14.0-21.6</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>NiFe</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP54</td>
<td>10.5-12.0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP55</td>
<td>6.5-14.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP56</td>
<td>7.9-10.7</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>NiFe</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP57</td>
<td>3.7-11.2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>Fe-sil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP60</td>
<td>9.5-12.1</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>NiFe</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP63</td>
<td>16.1-18.8</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP64</td>
<td>4.6-12.3</td>
<td>2.7-4.2</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP66</td>
<td>5.2-9.5</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>An</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP69</td>
<td>11.5-16.3</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP70</td>
<td>19.0-24.2</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Fe-sil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP71</td>
<td>6.7-12.1</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>Fe-sil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP72</td>
<td>14.9-27.8</td>
<td>1.6-21.6</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>Fe-sil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP74</td>
<td>19.6-23.2</td>
<td>4.5-5.5</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>Fe-sil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP75</td>
<td>20.6-23.0</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>Fe-sil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP77</td>
<td>19.4-25.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>Fe-sil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP78</td>
<td>20.1-23.8</td>
<td>3.5-4.3</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>Fe-sil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP79</td>
<td>23.1-25.5</td>
<td>4.4-4.9</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>Fe-sil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP80</td>
<td>25.8-28.8</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>Ca-sil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP81</td>
<td>7.3-11.6</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>Ca-sil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Homogeneous

SP21       | 8.0-10.0              | 0.8               | --      | --      | --    | --    |
| SP29       | 6.0-7.0               | 0.7               | X       | --      | --    | --    |
| SP33       | 3.0-5.0               | 0.25              | --      | --      | --    | --    |
| SP36       | 1.5-2.0               | 0.5               | --      | --      | --    | --    |
| SP47       | 14.5-15.7             | 5.5               | --      | --      | --    | --    |
| SP52       | 35.3-41.6             | 16-23.0           | 7.5     | X       | --    | --    |
| SP57       | 22.0-23.6             | 6.7               | X       | --      | Fe-sil |
| SP59       | 2.0                   | 0.1               | --      | --      | NiFe  | --    |
| SP61       | 16.0-16.4             | 6.0               | X       | --      | NiFe  | --    |
| SP65       | 15.4-15.6             | 5.7               | X       | --      | Fe-sil |
| SP67       | 7.5-10.7              | 0.7               | X       | --      | Fe-sil |
| SP73       | 3.7-4.3               | 0.5               | X       | --      | Fe-sil |

Gradational

SP3        | 14.5-24.2             | 6.0-7.0            | --      | --      | --    | --    |
| SP27       | 6.1-14.3              | 2.5-6.0            | --      | --      | Ca-sil |
| SP42       | 4.0-5.9               | 1.0               | --      | --      | --    | --    |
| SP45       | 2.2-4.3               | 0.2               | --      | --      | --    | --    |
| SP48       | 18.20                  | 5.5-6.0            | --      | --      | Fe-sil |

Chevron

SP44       | 0.3-0.6               | 0.8               | X       | --      | --    | --    |
| SP49       | 16.2-20.2             | 6.4-7.8            | --      | --      | --    | --    |
| SP50       | 15.4-21.6             | 6.0               | X       | --      | NiFe  | --    |
| SP62       | 3.0-7.8               | 0.7               | X       | X       | Fe-sil |
| SP63       | 2.0-9.0               | 1.4               | X       | --      | Fe-sil |
| SP76       | 1.3-4.7               | 0.2-0.5            | --      | --      | Fe-sil |

Core-rim

SP5        | 1.2-5.5               | 0.65              | X       | --      | NiFe  | --    |
| SP28       | 1.0-7.0               | 0.7               | X       | --      | --    | --    |

Ranges determined by energy dispersive analysis have fewer
significant figures than those determined by wavelength
dispersive analysis.

An "X" indicates that the phase is present. Al-diop:
aluminous diopside; En: enstatite; An: anorthite; Fe-sil:
Fe-silicate; Ca-sil: Ca-silicate.

Homogeneous

Twelve of the fifty-seven grains we studied appear homo-

```
FIG. 1. Backscattered electron images (BEI) of spinel grains which represent the various zoning types. Lighter regions within spinel are zones of relatively high Cr$_2$O$_3$ content. (a) Patchy spinel. Very bright grains within the spinel are inclusions of aluminous diopside. (b) Patchy spinel, with relatively large, regularly shaped patches. (c) Patchy spinel, with diffuse, irregularly shaped patches. (d) Patchy spinel, with Cr-rich patches concentrated in one part of the crystal. (e) Gradational spinel. Cr decreases from upper left to lower right. (f) Chevron spinel. Note the several straight, angular bands of differing Cr contents, which crystallized around a forsterite grain. (g) Chevron spinel, in which the bands are parallel to crystal faces. (h) Core-rim spinel, which has a Cr-poor core and several outer Cr-rich bands parallel to crystal faces. The boundary between the core and the Cr-rich spinel is highly irregular and embayed. Al-dio. Aluminous diopside. Fo.: mole percent forsterite in olivine.

**Gradational**

In this type, Cr$_2$O$_3$ varies fairly smoothly across the grains, by as much as 10 wt%. An example is shown in Fig. 1e. Because these are crystal fragments, and the zoning is not concentric, we cannot be certain that straight edges are crystal faces. If we assume they are, then we can infer core-rim relationships. It appears that in three of the grains, Cr$_2$O$_3$ increases toward the rim of the crystal, and, in two others, it decreases. In sample SP27, FeO covaries with Cr$_2$O$_3$ and ranges from 2.5 to 6 wt%.

**Chevron**

Six samples fall into this category, in which the grains have as many as ten angular, concentric, sharply defined bands with differing Cr$_2$O$_3$ contents (Fig. 1f,g). In this type and the core-rim type (see below), there is concentric zoning, so we can identify the cores and rims of crystals with more confidence than we can for the gradational grains. In at least two cases, including the sample shown in Fig. 1g, the layers are parallel to crystal faces. Band widths range from ~5 to ~30 μm, with typical composition differences of 2-3 wt% Cr$_2$O$_3$. One sample, SP44, has a core with ~5 wt% Cr$_2$O$_3$, a low-Cr$_2$O$_3$ zone (0.4 wt%), and an outermost layer with ~4 wt%. In four other chevron-type grains, the core is relatively low in Cr$_2$O$_3$, the layer adjacent to it is the most Cr$_2$O$_3$-rich one, and outward from this layer are alternating bands of high and low Cr$_2$O$_3$ contents superimposed on a general trend of decreasing Cr$_2$O$_3$.

**Core-rim**

The two grains of this type have low-Cr$_2$O$_3$ (1-2 wt%) cores completely enclosed by high-Cr$_2$O$_3$ (5-6 wt%) rims.
and, unlike the chevron-type grains, the boundaries between the two zones are irregular and embayed, as in SP5 (Fig. 1b). Like some chevron-type grains, the mantle of SP5 has thin layers of alternating (high and low) Cr₂O₃ contents. The other core-rim sample, SP28, does not have discrete layers in its rim.

**In-situ Spinel**

Because the freeze-thaw process may have separated most of the spinel grains from any coexisting phases, we have searched optically for occurrences of analogous spinels in their original setting in a total of \( \sim 8 \times 10^3 \text{ mm}^2 \) in 40 Murchison thin sections, eight of which were examined in detail by SEM. Despite the large number of spinel grains obtained by freeze-thaw disaggregation, this exhaustive search of thin sections revealed only two grains which fall within both the size and composition ranges of the separated spinel grains. Taking into consideration the mass disaggregated (50–200 g; MacPherson et al., 1980), the number of spinel grains recovered (\( \sim 100 \)), and the relatively small mass sampled by a thin section (17 mg), we estimate that there should be 0.3–1.4 spinel grains (100 \( \mu \text{m} \) across) per 40 thin sections, roughly consistent with the number we found.

One spinel-bearing inclusion, in section M1, consists of an \( \sim 250 \times 100 \mu \text{m} \), patchy, pale pink spinel enclosed on three sides by anhedral olivine and an Fe-rich alteration product (Fig. 2a). One of the olivine-spinel contacts is straight but the other two are curved, with the spinel concave relative to the olivine. The remaining edge is in contact with the matrix of the meteorite. The spinel has inclusions of olivine, aluminous diopside and the Fe-rich alteration product. The olivine contains Cr-bearing, aluminous diopside inclusions up to \( \sim 10 \mu \text{m} \) across.

The other occurrence of a large spinel in an inclusion, in section 4377-1 (Fig. 2b), is similar to that in M1, consisting predominantly of coarse, pale pink spinel and anhedral olivine, with the spinel partially enclosing an adjacent olivine crystal. Also, as in M1, there is an Fe-rich alteration product adjacent to both the olivine and spinel. In some places, it appears to have replaced whole lath-shaped crystals. In 4377-1, however, the spinel is homogeneous (not patchy as in M1): the inclusion in 4377-1 contains exsolved high- and low-Ca pyroxene; and the olivine contains inclusions of Ca-rich pyroxene and stringers and isolated blebs of Fe metal in a texture similar to that observed in ureilites (Neuvonen et al., 1972). There is also Ca-rich pyroxene adjacent to some of the metal blebs. The spinel and olivine grains are \( \sim 100 \mu \text{m} \) across but...
the pyroxene is much finer (< 20 µm). Neither M1 nor 4377-1 is round, and they probably are fragments of once-larger objects.

In addition to M1, the only other patchy spinel grain which we have found in a thin section of Murchison is a small, 30 × 20 µm grain in sample 7-20 (Fig. 2c,d). As in the in-situ occurrences previously described, the spinel wraps around rounded olivine crystals. In this sample, the spinel is also adjacent to a subhedral olivine crystal that is ~100 × 85 µm and whose contact with the spinel is also curved, but along part of the boundary the olivine curves around the spinel. Aluminous diopside is present between the two smaller olivine crystals and as inclusions in the spinel. The large olivine crystal contains µm-sized blebs of Fe metal. In this sample, as in M1, the patchy spinel is in contact with the matrix of the meteorite, but there is no relationship between these contacts and the patches.

In our density separates, we found a chondrule, M92SP1, 200 µm in diameter, consisting of anhedral to subhedral Cr-bearing spinel (20–50 µm across) and anhedral to euhedral
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FIG. 2. (Continued)

olivine (30–75 μm long) in a matrix of aluminous diopside. In addition, it contains phyllosilicate and FeS, and has a higher olivine/pyroxene ratio than M92SP1. The olivine, Fo99, is coarse (25–150 μm) and subhedral to euhedral. Spinel occurs as small, homogeneous grains ~20 μm across, and is also subhedral to euhedral. In one place (at left in Fig. 2f), as in M92SP1, it has crystallized around the end of an olivine crystal, indicating that spinel crystallized after olivine.

Inclusions in Spinel

In addition to their relatively large sizes and various zoning patterns, another distinctive feature of the separated spinel grains is their inclusions. As indicated in Table 1, the most common inclusion by far is aluminous diopside (12–24 wt% Al2O3), which occurs in twenty-six grains. Of these, twenty-one have patchy zoning, three have chevron zoning, and two are core-rim grains. The diopside inclusions are anhedral, typically 2–10 μm across and, in many cases, are associated with circular voids, as if pre-existing cavities were partially filled by diopside. There are several exceptions to this mode of occurrence. One grain, SP6, has a 25 μm-thick rind of aluminous diopside which partially encloses both the spinel and an adjacent forsterite grain. Sample SP23 has an irregularly shaped, ~50 μm inclusion, and SP4 has a very thin, discontinuous layer of aluminous diopside between forsterite and the spinel that partially encloses it.

Eleven spinels (seven patchy, two chevron, two homogeneous) occur with olivine, and in all but two of them, SP6 and SP7, spinel partially to completely encloses the olivine, providing clear textural evidence that, in those nine samples, olivine formed before spinel. An example is SP62, shown in Fig. 1f. In six cases (SP4, SP6, SP23, SP62, SP73, and SP79), the olivine is pure forsterite, in one (SP72) it is Fo0.98 and in four others (SP50, SP61, SP70, and SP71), it is Fo0.58. The olivine ranges in size from 10 μm (SP71) to ~100 μm (SP90 and SP70) and in shape from anhedral (SP4, SP23, SP50, SP61, SP71, and SP79) through subhedral (SP62, SP70, SP72, and SP73) to nearly euhedral (SP6). In SP50 and SP61, the olivine contains micrometer-sized blebs of NiFe metal. The olivine in SP50 also has several anhedral, ~5 μm inclusions of Cr-spinel.

Five spinel grains have 5–10 μm-sized inclusions of Ca-Al-Si-rich glass. Some are shown in Fig. 3. These glass inclusions tend to be relatively angular, unlike those found in olivine crystals in Murchison (Fuchs et al., 1973; Roedder, 1981). Sample SP64 is unusual in that it has a two-phase inclusion consisting of aluminous diopside and glass. Of the five spinels with glass, four have patchy zoning and one has chevron zoning.

Other inclusions observed in spinel are Fe silicate (probably an alteration product), FeS, FeNi metal, and, in SP66, ~10 μm grains of anorthite or glass of anorthite composition. SP7, SP27, and SP8 contain grains of a Ca-silicate that are too small to identify.

PHASE COMPOSITIONS

Spinel

The suite of separated spinel grains exhibits a wide range of Cr2O3 (0.3–37 wt%) and FeO (0.2–17 wt%) contents.
Representative analyses are given in Table 2. So little TiO₂ is present in the spinel that compositions can be represented by \((\text{Mg}, \text{Fe})(\text{Al}, \text{Cr})₂\text{O}_4\) and plotted on the base of the multicomponent spinel prism (e.g., Hakagury, 1972). Because many individual crystals have variations in Cr₂O₃ contents but virtually none in FeO, the effective substitutions are simply Fe for Mg and Cr for Al, and not FeCr for MgAl. Nearly perfect anticorrelations between Mg and Fe and between Cr and Al (Fig. 4) are observed, illustrating the dominance of these substitutions over others, such as Cr for Mg.

V₂O₃ ranges from 0.01 to 0.4 wt% and is positively correlated with Cr₂O₃ contents \((r = 0.72)\), which is understandable because both elements are compatible in normal spinel (Irving, 1978). TiO₂ contents are typically 0.2–0.4 wt% and are also correlated with Cr₂O₃ contents. But not as strongly

![Fig. 3. Glass inclusions in SPS4. Note their angular shapes, which are unlike the rounded inclusions found in olivine. Scale bar is 10 μm.](image)

![Fig. 4. Summary of Fe-Mg (a) and Cr-Al (b) relationships in electron microprobe analyses of spinel. The best fit lines through the data have slopes very close to \(-1\), showing that these are the dominant substitutions in these spinels.](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2. Representative analyses of spinel.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cations/4 ox</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mg/(Mg+Fe)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cr/(Cr+Al)</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
as V$_2$O$_3$ is. MnO contents are <0.2 wt% in most spinels, but are ∼0.4–0.8 wt% in the two spinels (SP7 and SP52) with >10 wt% FeO. In two other samples, SP49 and SP56, MnO contents are positively correlated with FeO contents. ZnO contents are low (∼0.015 wt%) in all samples.

Tables 1 and 2 show that the compositions of the low-Cr and high-Cr patches in the patchy spinels vary from grain to grain. While the FeO contents are typically uniform from patch to patch within individual grains, the observed maximum differences in Cr$_2$O$_3$ concentrations between patches range from 1.5 to 12.9 wt% from grain to grain, and average 4.7 wt%. Analyses of the patchy spinels SP41 (Fig. 1a) and SP51 (Fig. 1c) are shown in Fig. 5. In both spinels Cr/(Cr + Al) (henceforth Cr#) ranges from ∼0.02 to ∼0.10 but Fe/(Fe + Mg) (henceforth Fe#) has a much narrower range. In SP41, in which most of the contacts between patches are sharp, the Cr# varies continuously, showing no hiatus >0.014. In SP51, in which the contacts are diffuse, a hiatus in Cr# of 0.021 is seen. In SP41 the Cr-rich patches tend to be higher in TiO$_2$ and lower in V$_2$O$_3$ than the low-Cr patches, but there is much overlap between patches in the contents of these oxides. In the patches in SP51, TiO$_2$ and V$_2$O$_3$ contents are positively correlated with Cr#, unlike SP41.

Although individual homogeneous spinel grains have uniform compositions, taken as a group, the homogeneous spinels have a very wide range of Cr$_2$O$_3$ contents, from 1.5 to 37 wt% (Table 1). The grain with the most Cr$_2$O$_3$, SP52, also has the highest FeO. Individual gradational grains have variations in Cr$_2$O$_3$ of up to 10 wt%, and in two of the samples, SP27 and SP42, Fe# covaries with Cr#, as shown in Fig. 6.

Data from electron probe traverses on the chevron grains shown in Fig. 1 are given in Fig. 7. The data for SP62 show that the bands visible in the BEIs (Fig. 1f) are due to variations in Cr#; the Fe# is virtually constant across the grain. In this sample and SP67 (not shown), the outermost layer is very Cr-poor, and TiO$_2$ and V$_2$O$_3$ also drop off sharply. SP49 has
Fig. 7. Results of electron probe traverses for the chevron and core-rim grains shown in Fig. 1. "A" and "B" correspond to the end points of each traverse and are also indicated in Fig. 1. Cr# = atomic Cr/(Cr + Al); Fe# = atomic Fe/(Fe + Mg). (a) SP62, chevron type. Note uniformity of Fe/(Fe + Mg) ratio despite variations in Cr#. (b) SP49, chevron type. Fe/(Fe + Mg) increases from core to rim, while Cr/(Cr + Al) increases, decreases, then increases again. (c) SP5, core-rim type. The data reflect a Cr-poor core enclosed by alternating Cr-rich and Cr-poor layers. Note the high V2O5/TiO2 ratio of the core (0-20 µm) relative to the outer parts of the crystal.

Pyroxene

Representative analyses are given in Table 3. Pyroxene associated with the separated spinels is aluminous diopside, with at least 11 wt% Al2O3 and up to 3.7% TiO2. MgO, Al2O3, and TiO2 contents are within the ranges for low-Ti pyroxene from refractory inclusions (Ca-, Al-rich inclusions, or CAIs) in Murchison (MacPherson et al., 1983, 1984a), although some of the latter have pyroxene containing higher TiO2 and Al2O3, as does fassaitte from Allende Type B CAIs. In SP6, pyroxene forms a partial ring of uniform composition around the spinel. In the other sample (SP23) with pyroxene coarse enough to permit more than one analysis per grain, the pyroxene is also uniform in composition. Almost all pyroxene in the separated spinels has <1 wt% FeO, with the exception of that in SP7. In this sample, spinel has ~14 wt% FeO and pyroxene has 6-8 wt%.

Table 3. Compositions of pyroxene enclosed in spinel or occurring in spinel-bearing assemblages.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SP</th>
<th>MgO</th>
<th>Al2O3</th>
<th>SiO2</th>
<th>CaO</th>
<th>Cr2O3</th>
<th>TiO2</th>
<th>V2O5</th>
<th>FeO</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SP5</td>
<td>12.41</td>
<td>24.80</td>
<td>43.89</td>
<td>24.00</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>99.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP6</td>
<td>13.15</td>
<td>22.79</td>
<td>45.88</td>
<td>23.07</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>100.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP7</td>
<td>10.07</td>
<td>14.69</td>
<td>44.86</td>
<td>23.17</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>99.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP23</td>
<td>12.42</td>
<td>24.85</td>
<td>45.85</td>
<td>23.17</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP41</td>
<td>11.02</td>
<td>22.42</td>
<td>45.88</td>
<td>23.17</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP51</td>
<td>24.69</td>
<td>24.30</td>
<td>44.77</td>
<td>23.57</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>99.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4377-1</td>
<td>10.49</td>
<td>13.04</td>
<td>44.10</td>
<td>23.94</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>99.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-20</td>
<td>11.10</td>
<td>12.47</td>
<td>45.32</td>
<td>24.16</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>100.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>615</td>
<td>12.39</td>
<td>13.25</td>
<td>45.79</td>
<td>25.03</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>101.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SI | 1.596 | 1.580 | 1.579 | 1.578 | 1.577 | 1.576 | 1.575 | 1.574 | 1.573 |
| IVAl | 0.402 | 0.402 | 0.403 | 0.404 | 0.404 | 0.404 | 0.404 | 0.404 | 0.404 |
| Tel. Sum | 2.000 | 2.000 | 2.000 | 2.000 | 2.000 | 2.000 | 2.000 | 2.000 | 2.000 |
| VAl | 0.350 | 0.350 | 0.350 | 0.350 | 0.350 | 0.350 | 0.350 | 0.350 | 0.350 |
| Mg | 0.674 | 0.674 | 0.674 | 0.674 | 0.674 | 0.674 | 0.674 | 0.674 | 0.674 |
| Ca | 0.940 | 0.940 | 0.940 | 0.940 | 0.940 | 0.940 | 0.940 | 0.940 | 0.940 |
| Ti | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| V | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Mn | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Fe | 0.017 | 0.017 | 0.017 | 0.017 | 0.017 | 0.017 | 0.017 | 0.017 | 0.017 |
| Co | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Sc | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| TiO2 | 0.041 | 0.041 | 0.041 | 0.041 | 0.041 | 0.041 | 0.041 | 0.041 | 0.041 |
| V2O5 | 0.020 | 0.020 | 0.020 | 0.020 | 0.020 | 0.020 | 0.020 | 0.020 | 0.020 |
| MgO | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.100 |
| Al2O3 | 4.001 | 4.001 | 4.001 | 4.001 | 4.001 | 4.001 | 4.001 | 4.001 | 4.001 |
| SiO2 | 50.00 | 50.00 | 50.00 | 50.00 | 50.00 | 50.00 | 50.00 | 50.00 | 50.00 |
| CaO | 20.00 | 20.00 | 20.00 | 20.00 | 20.00 | 20.00 | 20.00 | 20.00 | 20.00 |
| Cr2O3 | 0.44 | 0.44 | 0.44 | 0.44 | 0.44 | 0.44 | 0.44 | 0.44 | 0.44 |
| TiO2 | 1.10 | 1.10 | 1.10 | 1.10 | 1.10 | 1.10 | 1.10 | 1.10 | 1.10 |
| V2O5 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |

BLD: below limit of detection of 0.005 wt% MnO. Spinel was BLD (0.005 wt%) in all pyroxene analyzed. a: not analyzed.
Pyroxene included in and occurring with in-situ spinel is also mostly aluminous diopside, with the exception of 4377-1, which has both high- and low-Ca pyroxene with only ~2 wt% Al₂O₃, in contrast to the ~17% that is typical of the pyroxene found included in the separated spinels and occurring with the other in-situ spinels.

Olivine

Analyses of olivine occurring with spinel are given in Table 4. Among the separated spinel grains, there is a bimodal distribution of olivine compositions. With the exception of two samples, spinel either occurs with pure forsterite (Fo₉₉) or with Fo₈₅₋₉₀. The pure forsterites all have high (~1 wt%) CaO contents, whereas the grains with more FeO tend to have lower CaO but higher MnO and Cr₂O₃ contents than the former (Fig. 8).

Also shown in Fig. 8 are analyses of olivine that occurs with in-situ spinel. Unlike the unzoned olivine in the separates, some olivine in the in-situ occurrences is weakly zoned. The largest crystal in 4377-1 is zoned from Fo₇₉ to Fo₉₉, and the large subhedral grain in 7-20 is reversely zoned, from Fo₈₅ to Fo₇₈. The latter olivine is relatively rich in CaO (0.6–0.7 wt%). Cr₂O₃ contents are high and tend to increase with FeO, but MnO contents are low and increase slightly with FeO. In 4377-1, the olivine has high Cr₂O₃ and MnO contents and low CaO. MnO is anticorrelated with FeO, consistent with loss of iron by reduction (MIYAMOTO et al., 1993). Analyses of the other samples plot along the normal MnO-FeO trend for olivine from CM2 chondrites (STEELE, 1990). Olivine in M1 has Cr₂O₃ contents which range from ~0.4 to 0.8 wt% and do not covary with FeO or MnO. In the chondrules (6-15 and M92SP1), olivine has ~1–2 wt% FeO, very low MnO, and lower CaO than the pure forsterite found with some of the spinel in the separates.

Table 4. Compositions of olivine occurring with spinel.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SP4</th>
<th>SP50</th>
<th>SP61</th>
<th>SP62</th>
<th>M1</th>
<th>4377-1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>55.03</td>
<td>51.85</td>
<td>53.53</td>
<td>56.45</td>
<td>53.14</td>
<td>56.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>42.36</td>
<td>41.01</td>
<td>41.80</td>
<td>41.80</td>
<td>41.73</td>
<td>41.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CrO</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V₂O₅</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr₂O₃</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>99.49</td>
<td>99.39</td>
<td>100.64</td>
<td>100.55</td>
<td>100.27</td>
<td>100.74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Si 0.999 0.992 0.992 0.982 0.996 0.984
Mg 1.938 1.803 1.894 1.976 1.890 1.976
Al 0.015 0.008 0.009 0.008 0.003 0.003
Ca 0.010 0.007 0.007 0.026 0.008 0.006
Ti 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.001
V 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.001
Cr 0.009 0.011 0.012 0.005 0.012 0.011
Mn 0 0.002 0.001 0 0.001 0.004
Fe 0.006 0.106 0.077 0.013 0.083 0.021
Total 2.988 2.997 2.993 3.012 2.996 3.006

BLD: below limit of detection of 0.004 wt % MnO. NiO and Sc₂O₃ were looked for but not detected.

Fig. 8. Plots of MnO, Cr₂O₃, and CaO vs. FeO contents in olivine associated with spinel.

Glass

Five spinel grains have inclusions of Si-, Al-, Ca-rich glass (Table 5). Four of the spinels are patchy and one has chevron-type zoning. The glasses are generally similar to, but have less MgO than, glass inclusions found in isolated olivine crystals in Murchison (FUCHS et al., 1973) whose average composition is also given in Table 5. The glasses found in spinel also bear some similarity to glasses found in ferromagnesian chondrules in ordinary chondrites (JONES and SCOTT, 1989; JONES, 1992), but the glasses reported here have lower MgO and Na₂O contents than chondrule glass.

Other Associated Phases

Many of the spinel grains either enclose or are attached to small amounts of Fe-rich silicate, analyses of which are given in Table 6. Low totals indicate that it is a hydrous phase and,
Fe-, S-, O-, Ni-bearing phyllosilicate found in CM2 matrices. Phyllosilicates in SP71 and SP78 are fairly S-rich, however, and are probably mixtures of cronstedtite, serpentine, and an Fe-\text{,} S-\text{,} O-\text{,} Ni-bearing phyllosilicate found in CM2 matrices (TOMEOKA and BUSECK, 1985).

### ISOTOPIC COMPOSITION OF SPINEL

#### Oxygen

The oxygen isotopic compositions measured in the Burma spinel standard and twelve separated spinel grains are given in Table 7 and are plotted in Fig. 9, along with those of the Burma spinel standard (this work) and those from Murchison. The Murchison spinel data points are comparable to that shown by those obtained from individual Burma spinel grains. The Murchison spinel data points are thus compatible with a single oxygen isotopic composition.

#### Table 6. Compositions of Fe-rich alteration products.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>SP70</th>
<th>SP71</th>
<th>SP78</th>
<th>M1</th>
<th>4377-1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>10.19</td>
<td>16.83</td>
<td>7.15</td>
<td>5.59</td>
<td>7.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al2O3</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>5.22</td>
<td>3.85</td>
<td>2.95</td>
<td>4.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO2</td>
<td>25.32</td>
<td>25.39</td>
<td>13.52</td>
<td>22.48</td>
<td>23.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe2O3</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr2O3</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>49.85</td>
<td>30.88</td>
<td>48.85</td>
<td>53.67</td>
<td>49.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>59.61</td>
<td>68.63</td>
<td>91.20</td>
<td>85.91</td>
<td>86.83</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Bold values indicate the total analysis.*

#### Table 7. Oxygen isotopic compositions of individual grains of the Burma spinel standard and separated Murchison spinels (Relative to SMOW).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grain</th>
<th>δ18O (‰)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SP21</td>
<td>-1.1 ± 2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP22</td>
<td>-2.3 ± 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP24</td>
<td>-0.5 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP27</td>
<td>2.6 ± 4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP28</td>
<td>13.5 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP50</td>
<td>4.0 ± 7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP53</td>
<td>-0.1 ± 4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP56</td>
<td>2.0 ± 3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP57</td>
<td>2.9 ± 5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP65</td>
<td>-4.5 ± 6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP66</td>
<td>14.3 ± 6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP67</td>
<td>8.4 ± 5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP81</td>
<td>9.2 ± 4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP82</td>
<td>8.5 ± 4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP83</td>
<td>4.4 ± 4.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Fig. 9. Oxygen isotopic compositions of Murchison spinels. Analysis of the Burma spinel standard are also given. The stippled circle represents the average of the individual Burma spinel analyses. The solid diamond represents the average of nine spinels from acid residue CFOC. The spinels analyzed for this study have oxygen isotopic compositions that plot on or near the terrestrial fractionation line, unlike those found in acid residues 2C10c and CFOC.
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What is most striking is that this composition is essentially normal, in pronounced contrast to the $^{18}O$-rich compositions found in Mg-, Al-rich spinel from Allende and Vigarano inclusions (CLAYTON et al., 1977; ZINNER et al., 1991), in the spinel-rich acid residue, 2C10c, from Murchison (CLAYTON and MAYEDA, 1984), in individual spinel grains from another Murchison acid residue, CFOc (McKEEGAN, 1987; VIRAG et al., 1991), and in hibonite and corundum samples from Murchison (FAHEY et al., 1987; VIRAG et al., 1991; IRELAND et al., 1992).

Previous indications of a wide range of oxygen isotopic compositions of Murchison spinel come from ion microprobe studies of individual spinel grains from the CFOc acid residue. ZINNER and EPSTEIN (1987) reported $\delta^{18}O$ values ranging from +9 to $-55\%_0$ from individual Mg-spinel grains identified on the basis of qualitative analysis of energy-dispersive X-ray spectra. McKEEGAN (1987) used energy-dispersive spectra to distinguish spinel from chromite grains in this residue and measured both $\delta^{18}O$ and $\delta^{17}O$ in individual grains. Most of the grains lie along the unit-slope oxygen isotope mixing line, with some individual spinel grains plotting at lower $\delta^{18}O$ and some at higher $\delta^{18}O$ values than that of the bulk acid residue 2C10c of CLAYTON and MAYEDA (1984). The only chromite grain reported by McKEEGAN (1987) plots at $\delta^{18}O = -22$, much closer to the terrestrial fractionation line than all of the Mg-spinel grains except one. The CFOc grains whose oxygen isotopic compositions were measured by VIRAG et al. (1991) were selected to be Mg-, Al-spinel by energy-dispersive spectra, and their Cr and Fe concentrations, 80–1500 and 500 ppm, respectively, were measured by ion microprobe. Not included in the average of the spinel grains from CFOc in Fig. 9 is one data point that plots at $\delta^{18}O = 0\%_0$, in the region of the spinels of the present study (see Fig. 2b of VIRAG et al., 1991). It is noteworthy that the Cr and Fe concentrations in this grain, 4050 and 6100 ppm, respectively (A. Virag, pers. commun.), are substantially higher than in the other CFOc grains, and within the ranges of the spinel grains of the present study.

Chromium

Results for $^{53}Cr$ and $^{54}Cr$ abundances are reported in Table 8 as r-unit (parts in $10^4$) deviations from the terrestrial normal compositions reported by PAPANASTASSIOU (1986). The data are also plotted in Fig. 10. Within rather variable error limits the data for the individual Murchison spinel grains are consistent, or very nearly so, with normal chromium isotopic composition. It is evident that these data do not support any arguments for isotopic anomalies in chromium in these spinels. The data indicate that chromium in these spinels is isotopically uniform, within less than one %.

**DISCUSSION**

**Origins and Sources**

*Homogeneous and gradational grains*

Spinel grains of these types are found in igneous settings. EL GORESY et al. (1971), for example, illustrated gradational...
zoning in very Ti-, Cr-rich spinel grains in Apollo 12 basalts. In addition, chondrules crystallized from liquids, and our observation of homogeneous spinel in two chondrules (Fig. 2e, f) suggest chondrules are a possible source for this type of spinel, even though the spinel grains in the chondrules are smaller than the separated spinels. In SP61 and SP73, the two homogeneous spinel samples that occur with olivine, there is clear textural evidence that olivine crystallized before spinel. Igneous crystallization of spinel after olivine might appear to be a problem because most chondrules have very high normative olivine contents and, in melts with these compositions, olivine is typically followed in the crystallization sequence by pyroxene or chromite, but not Mg-, Al-, Cr-spinel. The mineralogy and textures of two spinel-bearing chondrules from Murchison, M92SP1 (Fig. 2e) and 6-15 (Fig. 2f), indicate, however, that liquids did exist from which spinel could crystallize after forsteritic olivine. Both of these chondrules contain spinel crystals that grew around the end of an olivine crystal, and a fragment with a similar texture was found in the separates. The similarity of these occurrences is evident from the close-up views in Fig. 11. To better understand these chondrules, we calculated their bulk compositions from the average mineral compositions and their abundances, and the results are given in Table 9. Note that the compositions are Al$_2$O$_3$-rich and FeO-poor relative to those of typical chondrules in CM2 chondrites (column 3 in Table 9), which average -2 wt% Al$_2$O$_3$ and -14 wt% FeO (OSBORN et al., 1974). These features of the compositions of the latter chondrules cause their residual liquids at the end of olivine crystallization to be Al$_2$O$_3$-poor and FeO-rich, and chromite, not aluminous spinel, follows olivine (e.g., JOHNSON and PRINZ, 1991).

Phase equilibria clarify why, in M92SP1 and 6-15, aluminous spinel crystallized after olivine. For early stages of crystallization, we refer to Fig. 12a, which shows the relations for the 15 wt%-Al$_2$O$_3$ plane in the CaO-MgO-Al$_2$O$_3$-SiO$_2$ (CMAS) system, because the composition of M92SP1 falls on this plane, and the composition of the residual liquid in 6-15 enters this plane after 45% crystallization of olivine. The samples plot low in the forsterite field. Crystallization of forsterite from melts with these compositions would enrich the residual liquids in Al$_2$O$_3$ and drive their compositions

Fig. 11. BEI of spinel partially enclosing subhedral olivine in assemblages which have not been recrystallized. Note the angular olivine-spinel contacts. Extent of spinel crystals is indicated in (a) and (b). Scale bars are 10 μm. Abbreviations as used previously. (a) Close-up of chondrule 6-15. (b) Close-up of chondrule M92SP1. (c) Separated sample SP73.
directly away from forsterite toward the forsterite-spinel cotectic, as shown by the arrows through the data points in Fig. 12a. With increasing Al2O3 contents in the system, the spinel field expands, effectively causing the forsterite-spinel cotectic to move to the right in Fig. 17a. The dashed lines in the forsterite field give the approximate locations of the forsterite-spinel cotectic at 16, 17, and 18 wt% Al2O3 and are labelled accordingly. For M92SP1, crystallization of only ~10 wt% forsterite yields a residual liquid with ~17.5 wt% Al2O3, where it reaches the spinel-forsterite cotectic. The residual liquid composition in 6-15 shown in Fig. 12a reaches forsterite saturation after crystallization of an additional 7 wt% olivine.

Figure 12b is the gehlenite-anorthite-forsterite plane in CMAS, projected from spinel according to the method of Stolper (1982). On it we plot the compositions of residual liquids in M92SP1 and 6-15 from which spinel should begin to crystallize. As shown by the lines through the data points in Fig. 12b, the equilibrium phase relations indicate that forsterite + spinel should be joined first by anorthite and then by pyroxene. From the petrography of the chondrules, however, we know that pyroxene followed forsterite + spinel. Apparently the crystallization of anorthite was suppressed and crystallization of forsterite + spinel continued until the liquid composition reached a metastable extension (dashed line in anorthite field) of the pyroxene-spinel cotectic. This situation is analogous to late-stage crystallization in some Type B CAIs, in which melilite + spinel crystallization proceeded until a metastable extension of the melilite-pyroxene-spinel cotectic was encountered (MacPherson et al., 1984b), causing pyroxene to crystallize before anorthite. Yang (1975) found that pyroxene crystallizing at invariant point R on Fig. 12b contains ~20% Al2O3, while A. H. Treiman (pers. commun.) found an average of 11.5% Al2O3 in pyroxene that crystallized at invariant point Q, suggesting that virtually all pyroxene crystallizing in this system is highly aluminous. While it is unclear how anorthite supersaturation will affect the Al2O3 content of pyroxene forming along the metastable extension of the pyroxene-forsterite-spinel cotectic, values approaching 17%, like the Al2O3 contents of the pyroxene in chondrules 6-15 and M92SP1, do not seem unreasonable.

We have also observed gradational-type spinel grains in a chondrule (Fig. 2e, upper left), as did Bischoff and Keil (1984) and McCoy et al. (1991) who reported subhedral to euhedral, gradational-type spinel grains ~100 μm across that appear to have nucleated at the margins of Al-rich chondrules in ordinary chondrites. The FeO and Cr2O3 contents of these spinel grains decrease with increasing distance from the crystal edge nearest the chondrule rim. Similarly, in two of the separated spinels with gradational-type zoning, SP27 and SP42, FeO increases with increasing Cr2O3. Both McCoy et al. (1991) and Bischoff and Keil (1984) attributed the observed zoning to fractional crystallization. Based on their
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Coarse, euhedral nature. the spinel crystals in these chondrules formed early, probably nucleating on the edges of the chondrules and growing inward, resulting in their asymmetric zoning. If the gradational-type spinel grains in our suite are representative of complete crystals, then it is likely that they formed in a similar way, i.e., by nucleation on the surface of a chondrule or on another grain in a chondrule, followed by growth away from the attached edge. Bulk compositions of these three gradational spinel-bearing chondrules are given in Table 9 (columns 4-6). It is interesting to note that these chondrules in which spinel crystallizes early, if not first, have higher Al$_2$O$_3$ contents (>20 wt%) than M92SPI and 6-15, in which olivine crystallized first. As suggested by the expansion of the spinel field at the expense of the forsterite field shown in Fig. 12a, increasing Al$_2$O$_3$ contents in chondrules of these compositions increase the probability that spinel crystallizes before forsterite. If our proposed origins for the separated homogeneous and gradational spinels are correct, then it is likely that the gradational spinels came from chondrules that were more Al$_2$O$_3$-rich than the source chondrules of the homogeneous spinels.

An argument against chondrules being a source of the homogeneous and gradational spinels, however, is the difference in size between those in the separates and those in the chondrules. The homogeneous and gradational spinel grains studied here range from 50 to 180 µm in diameter, with an average of 100 µm, while the largest spinel we have seen in a chondrule is 45 µm. The discrepancy in size is greater than this, since most of the separated grains in this study are fragments of even larger crystals. The spinel-bearing chondrules discussed above are themselves ~7-15 times the average spinel size. If the same were true for the putative parent chondrules of the separated spinelles, those chondrules would have to have been in excess of 1.3-2.7 mm in diameter to account for the largest spinel crystals. Chondrules that contain coarse, Al-rich, Cr-bearing spinel grains are exceedingly rare in Murchison, as are chondrules of this size. Olsen and Grossman (1978) found that Murchison chondrules range in diameter from 175 to 1081 µm, with a mean of only 420 µm. The minimum chondrule size necessary to account for the largest homogeneous and gradational spinel grains in our separates is thus 16% larger than the largest chondrule reported from Murchison, and the average separated spinel would probably require a parent chondrule equal in size to the largest chondrule seen in Murchison. If the homogeneous and gradational spinel grains in the separates originated in chondrules, it is clear that those chondrules are from a population that is not only more aluminumic but also much larger on average than that seen in Murchison. Inclusions M1 and 4377-1 do not have round shapes, so it could be argued that they may be remnants of such chondrules.

Core-rim grains

Concentrically zoned spinel grains were reported from Apollo 12 basalts by El Goresy et al. (1976). They are much more Ti- and Cr-rich than those seen here and their cores are either idiomorphic or rounded, in contrast to the irregular, embayed cores in the grains studied here. This type of grain occurs in chondrule M92SPI (Fig. 2e, bottom) and in some plagioclase-olivine inclusions in the C3 chondrites Allende (SHENG et al., 1991) and Ningsiang (A. M. Davis, pers. commun.). The grains in M92SPI have irregularly shaped Mg-poor cores with diffuse core-rim boundaries; those among the separated grains and those described by SHENG et al. (1991) have sharp, corroded core-rim boundaries. SHENG et al. (1991) interpreted the (core-rim) zoned spinels that they observed as relict grains in which the Cr-poor cores survived melting of the host inclusion, and relatively Cr-rich spinel enclosed them during subsequent crystallization. Another way to derive core-rim spinel grains from a liquid, as shown by Fisk and Bence (1980), is for plagioclase to begin to co-crystallize with spinel. This increases the Cr/Al ratio of the residual liquid and of the crystallizing spinel, but this sequence would only give rise to zoned spinels with corroded cores if, prior to plagioclase crystallization, the liquid composition had left the spinel stability field, causing the spinel to begin to react with the liquid.

Among the separated spinelles, we found only two which fall into this group. In one of these, SP5, the core and rim differ sharply not only in Cr$_2$O$_3$, TiO$_2$, and V$_2$O$_5$ contents but also in their TiO$_2$/V$_2$O$_5$ ratios (Fig. 7c). This feature, along with the corroded core (Fig. 1b), requires at least a two-stage history: formation of the Cr-poor core, followed by reaction with the surrounding medium and deposition from it of the Cr-rich layers.

Chevron-type grains

Among the separated spinelles, three grains of this type (SP44, SP50, and SP76) have only two or three zones, like olivine crystals in some chondrules in Semarkona (Jones, 1990). The remaining chevron-type grains and SP5, with their multiple layers of varying Cr$_2$O$_3$ contents, may be analogous to orthopyroxenes with oscillatory FeO zoning found in a Semarkona chondrule by Jones (1993). Because it is unlikely that a molten chondrule would experience repeated additions of material, or repeated changes in physicochemical conditions while still molten, the oscillatory zoning probably reflects formation of boundary layers which were alternately enriched and depleted in FeO because crystal growth was faster than diffusion (e.g., Sibley et al., 1976). The chevron-zoned spinel grains discussed here could have formed this way, although no observations of such spinel grains have ever been reported from chondrules.

Gas-solid condensation is also a possible origin for the chevron-zoned grains, because a crystal could easily be exposed to multiple changes in temperature and oxygen fugacity in a nebular environment. This mode of origin is not straightforward, however, for several reasons. During equilibrium condensation from a gas of solar composition, the first spinel to condense should do so prior to forsterite and should be pure MgAl$_2$O$_4$ (Grossman, 1972). At equilibrium, this spinel reacts with the gas, gradually increasing its Cr content with falling temperature. This process should cause Cr to increase gradually from core to rim, and such a trend is not observed in the concentrically zoned grains considered here.

In addition, new calculations show that this method would not yield spinel with the high Cr and Fe contents that are
characteristic of the separated spinel grains. The same computer program used by Lattimer and Grossman (1978) was employed in this work to calculate the relative proportions and compositions of minerals that would condense at complete chemical equilibrium from a cooling gas of solar composition. For these calculations, the thermodynamic data used by Lattimer and Grossman (1978) were updated with free energy data for most silicate and oxide phases from Robie et al. (1978), anorthite and gehlenite from Robinson et al. (1982), and hibonite and CaAl2O4 from Geiger et al. (1988). For diopside and akermanite, the data of Robie et al. (1978) were corrected for revised enthalpies of formation from Charlou et al. (1978) and Charlou et al. (1981), respectively. Free energy data for MgAl2O4 are taken from Chamberlin et al. (1991 and pers. commun.). Lack of thermodynamic data for FeAl2O4 and FeCr2O4 prevented Lattimer and Grossman (1978) from investigating the composition of condensate spinel. For MgCr2O4, FeCr2O4, and FeAl2O4, the work of Sack and Ghiorso (1991) was used for the enthalpy and entropy of formation from the elements at 298 K and for the heat capacity versus temperature function. Ideal solution was assumed for the spinel. According to R. O. Sack (pers. commun.), this is an excellent assumption for all spinel compositions encountered in this work. When it is assumed that the identity of the divalent ion entering the spinel structure is independent of the identity of the trivalent ion, thermodynamic data are required for only three of the four spinel components discussed here in order to specify the spinel composition completely. Measurement errors in the free energies of each of the spinel endmember components lead, however, to inconsistencies between them, such that the spinel composition predicted here at a given pressure and temperature depends on which three of the four components are used in the computations.

Results of these calculations are very similar to those of Lattimer and Grossman (1978), except that the appearance of spinel in the condensation sequence at a total pressure of 10^-3 atm is delayed by ~5°C, until 1476 K where spinel forms by complete reaction of hibonite with the gas and joins the pre-existing condensates, perovskite and melilite. Depending on which spinel components are used, the atomic Cr/(Cr + Al) ratio of the spinel is 1.6 - 3.5 x 10^-3 at 1470 K and gradually increases to 1.2 - 2.6 x 10^-2 at 1415 K, the temperature at which spinel disappears by reaction with the gas to form anorthite. Over this temperature interval, the atomic Fe/(Fe + Mg) ratio gradually falls from 1.0 - 4.9 x 10^-3 to 4.5 x 10^-4 - 2.1 x 10^-3. At 10^-4 atm total pressure, the maximum Cr/(Cr + Al) is 2.8 x 10^-2 and the maximum Fe/(Fe + Mg) is ~ 1 x 10^-3. According to these calculations, spinel grains that condensed at equilibrium from a gas of solar composition should contain no less than 97 mol% MgAl2O4, with no more than 3.0 wt% Cr2O3 and 0.30 wt% FeO. Regardless of their zoning patterns, the vast majority of the spinel grains described in this paper could not have formed in this way.

Perhaps condensation of spinel was metastably delayed or continued until Cr-rich spinel could condense, and the olivine acted as a nucleus for crystallization. Our calculations suggest that if spinel were to continue to condense, rather than react with the gas to form anorthite, the Cr content of spinel would continue to increase. The high Fe contents in spinel would remain a problem, however, because a solar gas is sufficiently reducing that very little FeO is expected at these high temperatures.

**Patchy grains**

Exsolution is an unlikely explanation for the patchy zoning patterns reported here, although the textures are similar to those described by Muir and Naldrett (1973) and attributed by them to exsolution. Those samples, however, contain very wide ranges of Al2O3 (4-40 wt%) and Fe2O3 (e.g., 7-50 wt%), and the existence of a large miscibility gap below 900°C for spinels of such compositions has been known for some time (e.g., Turnock and Eugster, 1962; Lehmann and Roux, 1986). These gaps are also predicted from thermodynamic data (Lehmann and Roux, 1986; Sack and Ghiorso, 1991). For the compositions observed in the patchy Murchison spinels, no miscibility gaps have been observed experimentally and only very small gaps are predicted between Mg-, Al-rich and Fe-, Cr-rich spinel, but at temperatures below ~500°C. Application of the olivine spinel geothermometer (Engi, 1983) to our samples (where possible), gives temperatures of 600°C or more in all cases. Furthermore, comparison of the data for patchy spinels with the calculated curves of Sack and Ghiorso (1991) shows that, with a maximum Cr# of 0.30 and a minimum Mg# of 0.68, all analyses of the patchy spinels plot on the Mg-rich, Al-rich side of the calculated miscibility gap, not on opposite sides, as would be the case if the patches formed by exsolution of immiscible components.

Because spinels with compositions within the above ranges are miscible, the patchy textures cannot be primary equilibrium textures, because the two different compositions of spinel cannot crystallize from the same liquid or gas. Plagioclase with patchy zoning has been observed in terrestrial igneous rocks (Vance, 1965; Anderson, 1984). The patches are more sodic (i.e., more fractionated) than, and have sharp boundaries with, the host crystals, and in some cases individual patches are concentrically zoned (Vance, 1965). These patchy feldspars probably formed by igneous crystallization followed by partial resorption, and then deposition of relatively fractionated feldspar in the cavities created by resorption (Vance, 1965; Anderson, 1984). This model does not work for the patchy Murchison spinels, however. Some grains have Cr-poor patches and others have Cr-rich ones, so the patches and hosts do not have a consistent composition relationship, as in the patchy feldspars described above. Hutchison et al. (1978) reported patchy zoning of Na and Mg in anorthite in several Allende CAls, which may be the result of metasomatism (I. D. Hutchison, pers. commun.). If correct, metasomatism would be a possible explanation for the patchy zoning in spinel, but no convincing models have been presented.

Because it therefore seems unlikely that the patchy spinel grains were ever molten, we conclude that these grains most likely formed by sintering of aggregates of smaller, probably condensate, spinel grains which were enriched in Cr and probably FeO, to varying degrees. Although most contacts between Cr-rich and Cr-poor patches are blurred, the hetero-
geneities in Cr (and Al) have been largely preserved while those in Fe (and Mg) have been mostly erased by diffusion during heating (see, e.g., Fig. 5). Although not proven experimentally, there have been previous suggestions that Cr-Al diffusion is slower than that of Mg and Fe in Cr-spinel. FUDALI and NOONAN (1975) suggested this based on much wider ranges in Cr/Al ratios than in Mg/Fe ratios in spinels in Gobabeh and Mezé-Madaras. McCoy et al. (1991) found this consistent with their observations as well. They concluded that the Al-Cr zoning in the spinels they analyzed was primary, whereas the Fe-Mg-Zn zoning could have been altered during metamorphism.

The sintering model can explain several features of the patchy spinel grains. Derivation of the patches from individual grains with square-to-rectangular cross-sections and different compositions would explain why some grains, such as SP60 (Fig. 1b), have square- to rectangular-shaped patches. In some cases, as in SP4, 7-20, and M1, coarse olivine was present at the time of heating, and it became rounded, with spinel tending to enclose it. The concave indentations in the spinel give it an elongated, scalloped outline against adjacent silicates, reminiscent of “holly-leaf”-shaped spinels, which are observed in some partially recrystallized ultramafic xenoliths and are thought to form by clumping of smaller, interstitial spinels during recrystallization (e.g., Pike and Schwarczman, 1977). Compare, for example, the rounded olivine partially enclosed by spinel in M1 (Fig. 2a), 4377-1 (Fig. 2b), and 7-20 (Fig. 2c,d) with the angular, subhedral olivine partially enclosed by spinel in chondrules with probable primary textures (Fig. 11a,b) and in SP73 (Fig. 11c). Evolution of high- and low-Ca pyroxene from each other and of Fe from olivine in 4377-1 are also indicative of reequilibration in that inclusion. Thus, recrystallization of an olivine-bearing assemblage is consistent with the observed textures and does not require formation of olivine before spinel. In two places where patchy spinel is in contact with the meteorite matrix (Fig. 2a,c), there is no relationship between the distribution of the patches and the matrix, indicating that the patchiness formed prior to accretion of Murchison, which is also consistent with this model.

Patchy spinel grains may be the end products of sintering of spinel-rich aggregates which originally consisted of many small grains with different compositions. We found several, unsintered, apparently loosely packed, spinel-rich aggregates in Murchison, including one (Fig. 13a) that is 130 × 100 μm and consists of ~90% spinel and ~10% olivine, with minor amounts of aluminous diopside. Most of the spinel and olivine grains are 5–10 μm across. As shown in Fig. 13b, some of the spinel grains in the inclusion, like those in the separates, have round cavities and, like the one at the bottom of Fig. 13b, inclusions of Ca-silicate (too small to analyze in this case). There are gaps between most of the grains but, at the center of Fig. 13b, there are several grains connected to others. Individual spinel grains are homogeneous but, for the whole inclusion, Cr2O3 contents in the spinel grains range from 1.0 to 1.6 wt%, FeO ranges from 0.8 to 7.9 wt%, and the olivine composition is Fo07—Fo01. It seems reasonable to suggest that, if such an aggregate were heated sufficiently, the individual grains would grow together into a single, heterogeneous one with some of the gaps between precursor grains and some of the holes in them partially to completely filled with aluminous diopside or other material. Samples SP58 (Fig. 13c) and SP60 (Fig. 1b) may represent an intermediate step in the sequence from a loose aggregate (Fig. 13a,b) to a patchy grain with some gaps and former grain boundaries to a less porous patchy grain, e.g., SP51 (Fig. 1c) and SP64 (Fig. 1d).

Electron probe data show that the patches do not appear to represent two distinct populations of precursor grains. The high-Cr and low-Cr patches vary in composition from grain to grain (Table 1), and, within individual grains, composition gaps are small to nonexistent whether the contacts between the grains are sharp or diffuse (Fig. 5). Their minor element contents may overlap (Fig. 5b), or they can be suggestive of mixing between Cr-, Ti-, V-rich and Cr-, Ti-, V-poor spinel (Fig. 5d). Patch probably represent a wide variety of precursor grains that have been modified to varying degrees by diffusion.

Thermal Histories

The presence of zoned and unzoned spinels in Murchison suggests that either heating occurred prior to accretion of the meteorite or unzoned grains were never zoned. The zoned grains that are the most likely to have been modified are those with both types of contacts. the sharp patches may have formed by mild heating following addition of more spinel to an earlier-formed aggregate. The effects of diffusion can be seen in the data for SP51 (Fig. 5c,d). The Fe/Mg ratios of the patches are uniform but the minor element zoning is preserved. Unfortunately, not enough is known about diffusion rates in spinels of these compositions to allow us to estimate temperatures or cooling rates.

It is unlikely that spinel would be melted upon reheating because of its high melting point, but included silicate might and, if cooled quickly enough, could give rise to the glass inclusions observed in several patchy grains. These glasses are SiO2-, CaO-, and Al2O3-rich and MgO-poor (Table 5). Liquids with these compositions would be in equilibrium with anorthite, not spinel. They probably do not represent trapped parental melts, but could be quenched melts of silicate inclusions that were present at the time of heating.
Isotopic Histories

According to their oxygen isotopic compositions, there exist at least two types of spinel in Murchison. The oxygen isotopic composition of the Cr-rich spinel grains of this study is close to normal with an average composition of $\delta^{18}O = -0.9 \pm 1.4\%$ and $\delta^{16}O = 1.9 \pm 2.4\%$. In contrast, the average oxygen isotopic composition of nine pure Mg-, Al-spinel grains from Murchison acid residue CF0c is $\delta^{18}O = -49.4 \pm 1.5\%$ and $\delta^{16}O = -49.9 \pm 3.1\%$ (Virag et al., 1991). The latter spinels probably originate in refractory inclusions, as Ireland et al. (1992) found oxygen isotopic compositions comparable to theirs in spinels from spinel-hibonite and spinel-perovskite inclusions from Murchison.

The composition of the spinel-rich residue 2C10c ($\delta^{18}O = -41.1\%$; $\delta^{16}O = -39.8\%$) measured by Clayton and Mayeda (1984) would thus represent a mixture of these two components. If we assume that only these two classes of spinel contribute to the oxygen analyzed by Clayton and Mayeda (1984), we calculate a ratio of $^{16}O$-rich, Mg-, Al-spinel to isotopically normal, Cr-rich spinel of $4.6 \pm 0.7$. This ratio would decrease if hibonite and corundum grains, which are at least as $^{16}O$-rich as the Mg-, Al-spinels (Fahey et al., 1987; Virag et al., 1991; Ireland et al., 1992) and are known to exist in the residues, are taken into consideration. On the other hand, residue 2C10c may also contain other phases with oxygen that is less $^{16}O$-rich than the average measured by Clayton and Mayeda (1984). Fe-chromite and Fe-oxide grains have been seen in CF0c (Zinner and Epstein, 1987; Virag et al., 1991) but their abundances in the residue are not known.

A fundamental question is whether or not the oxygen isotopic composition of the Cr-bearing spinel grains of the present study can provide any information regarding the time of their formation. In order to explain the isotopic variations among different mineral phases from Allende Ca-, Al-rich inclusions, Clayton et al. (1977) proposed the diffusion exchange model. According to this model, these inclusions were formed with $^{16}O$ enrichments of approximately 5% but subsequently some phases, such as melilite, equilibrated with a gas reservoir containing isotopically normal oxygen while spinel retained its original oxygen isotopic composition. Unfortunately, the conclusions that can be drawn from the essentially normal oxygen isotopic composition of the Cr bear-
ing spinel grains are limited by the lack of knowledge of their thermal history and of the oxygen diffusion rate in spinel as a function of Fe and Cr content. If the time-temperature history of the spinels was similar to those of Allende CAIs it does not seem reasonable to propose that the relatively large (~100 μm), patchy spinel grains studied here were able to exchange their oxygen completely, converting original 16O-rich compositions to normal oxygen isotopic compositions, while the much smaller (~30 μm) spinel grains in the Allende refractions were unable to do so. However, large Murchison spinels could have cooled much more slowly than Allende CAIs. The model suggested above, for the origin of patchy spinels, envisions their formation by sintering of a pre-existing generation of spinel grains which may have been approximately the same size as those in the Allende inclusions. If the precursor grains were originally 16O-rich, their higher Cr contents could have led to significantly enhanced rates of oxygen diffusion compared to spinel in Allende inclusions, allowing them to exchange with a reservoir of normal oxygen isotopic composition. Although diffusion in chromite spinels is generally faster than in aluminous spinels (BIRCHENAIL, 1968), we do not know whether the substitution of Fe for Mg and Cr for Al in Mg-, Al-spinel to the extent observed here has any effect on the diffusion coefficient of oxygen. We note that, while SP36 is among the spinel grains with normal oxygen isotopic composition, it has only 1.5-2.0% Cr2O3 and 0.5% FeO (Table 1).

At this point we cannot determine whether the precursor grains formed initially in a reservoir with isotopically normal oxygen or whether the spinel grains exchanged their originally 16O-rich oxygen either because they experienced slow cooling or because the oxygen diffusion in Cr-bearing spinel is significantly faster than in pure Mg-, Al-spinel.

A more promising approach is to compare oxygen diffusion with cation diffusion rates. Generally, cation diffusion in oxides is faster than diffusion of oxygen. For example, Al diffuses faster than O in Al2O3 (PALADINO and KINGERY, 1962; REDDY and COOPER, 1982) and in MgO (LINDNER and PARFIT, 1975; REDDY and COOPER, 1983). This is in marked contrast to gehlenite, where oxygen diffusion is faster than cation diffusion (MORIOKA et al., 1987), consistent with the CLAYTON et al. (1977) oxygen exchange model for chemically zoned melilitite in Allende CAIs. If Al and Cr diffuse faster than oxygen also in Cr-bearing spinels, the obvious lack of extensive Al-Cr interdiffusion in the patchy spinel grains would indicate that their oxygen isotopic compositions did not change since their chemical heterogeneities were established.

The argument is even stronger if we consider the diffusion of Fe and Mg. One patchy spinel analyzed for oxygen isotopes (SP31) shows a large range in FeO (Table 1). In pure Mg-, Al-spinel, the self-diffusion coefficient of O is 6.4 × 10^-13 cm^2 s^-1 at 1553°C (REDDY and COOPER, 1981; RYERSON and MCKEEGAN, 1993), much lower than that of Mg, 8.6 × 10^-10 cm^2 s^-1, at the same temperature (SHENG et al., 1992). It is likely that oxygen in these spinel crystals has not re-equilibrated since their Fe-Mg distributions were established. While we can tentatively use these relative diffusion rate considerations to rule out oxygen isotopic exchange of these spinel grains after they became chemically heterogeneous, we cannot rule out the possibility that precursor materials condensed from an 16O-rich reservoir and then exchanged their oxygen with an isotopically normal reservoir prior to the formation of the observed spinel grains.

The chromium isotopic data support formation of homogeneous, gradational, core-rim and patchy spinel from an isotopically normal reservoir within less than one %. This conclusion is quite different from that reached by ESAT and IRVINE (1989) who concluded that chromium in their samples was variably anomalous to a greater extent than observed in any other samples, even FUN (mass Fractionation with Unknown nuclear components) inclusions (Fig. 10b). Anomalies of this magnitude are clearly not present in our data, so our results do not support those of ESAT and IRVINE (1989), even though, on the basis of sample preparation technique, size, color, and mineral chemistry, the spinels studied by ESAT and IRVINE (1989) appear to represent a population indistinguishable from the samples studied here. It should be noted that the absence of chromium anomalies in our data is compatible with the lack of oxygen isotopic anomalies in these spinels (Fig. 9).

A more subtle question is whether the spinels studied here have chromium isotopic anomalies comparable to those endemic in CAI-hosted spinels (PAPANASTASSIOU, 1986; BIRCK and ALLEGRE, 1988), indicated by the box in Fig. 10a. Because of the larger errors involved in single-grain measurements, it is difficult to address the question on a grain-by-grain basis. Taken in aggregate, our data suggest that the large chromian spinels do not have the endemic chromium anomalies of CAI spinels but, in view of the analytical errors, this is only a suggestion which deserves further study. The endemic 54Cr excess is generally regarded as a nucleosynthetic anomaly, i.e., as a manifestation that these samples formed from a reservoir in which different types of presolar materials, bearing different nucleosynthetic products, were not well mixed. In view of the oxygen isotopic evidence that the chromian spinels could have formed from a more nearly normal (well-mixed) reservoir than spinels from CAIs, absence of 54Cr anomalies is not surprising.

The endemic deficit of 53Cr in spinels from CAIs, however, is not generally considered to be a nucleosynthetic anomaly, but a “ghost” of 53Mn; some 1-2 ± of present normal 53Cr was evidently formed by decay of 53Mn (half-life = 10 Ma) which was extant in the early solar system, and early formed materials with low Mn/Cr ratios, such as meteoritic spinel, should lack this much 53Cr.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Unlike the spinels from refractory inclusions, those studied here are coarse and contain weight percent levels of FeO and Cr2O3, but have higher Mg/Fe and Al/Cr ratios than spinel (chromites) from ferromagnesian chondrules. Five zoning types (with respect to their Cr/Al ratios) are recognized: patchy, homogeneous, gradational, chevron, and core-rim. Many have inclusions of aluminous diopside and/or partially enclosed forsteritic olivine. Neither the high Cr and Fe contents of the spinels nor the crystallization sequence of spinel after olivine indicated by the textures, if they are primary, are consistent with equilibrium condensation from a gas of solar composition. This sequence is observed, however, in some
Al-rich chondrules in Murchison, and such chondrules may have been the sources of some of the spinel grains described here. Homogeneous, gradational-zoned, and core-rim spinels have been found in such chondrules, demonstrating that these types of grains can crystallize from liquids. The chervon-zoned grains could have formed in chondrules, although no such spinels have ever been reported in a chondrule. An origin by gas-solid condensation is also possible for these grains, but a gas more oxidizing than a solar gas would be required. Patchy spinel grains probably formed from spinel-rich aggregates by solid-state recrystallization during heating, which caused pre-existing spinel grains to grow together and homogenized Mg/Fe ratios but caused only minor interdiffusion of Cr and Al. The spinel grains are isotopically uniform and lack the oxygen and possibly the chromium isotopic anomalies observed in spinels from CAIs, indicating formation from a normal, well-mixed reservoir.
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