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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Deciphering Mars’ Ancient Climate From The Rock Record at Jezero</td>
<td>To deconvolve Martian climate history from the rock record at Jezero</td>
<td>Challenging questions in Martian climate history</td>
<td>1a. (For gravel-bed paleochannels) Measure grain size distribution within channel deposits</td>
<td>Grain-size distributions</td>
<td>Any</td>
<td>Convert from Q. (m^3/s) to runoff production (mm/hr) using drainage area and basin runoff coefficients (e.g., Iwahori et al., 2005).</td>
<td>Incorporation runout area information (e.g., from eng.</td>
<td>CHIM-XPT (Palandri et al., 1998, Kite &amp; Ford, 2013, Kite &amp; Molanai and others)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use Mars 2020 Payload to Address Key Knowledge Gaps in Ancient Climate (How Warm Was Mars, How High was pCO2, and For How Long Did Climate Support Habitability?)</td>
<td>Timescales of sedimentary craver fill at Jezero</td>
<td>Martian climate history</td>
<td>2a. Survey for craters that formed during sedimentary craver fill (i.e., interbedded craters)</td>
<td>Depth and width of craters in the unconformity, exposure surface</td>
<td>Average layer thickness (of regularly spaced layers) to ±10%</td>
<td>Irradiation rate database of Kite &amp; Mayr (2017)</td>
<td>Power spectral density of the average thicknesses. Deviations from average thickness vs. stratigraphy, elevation.</td>
<td>Paleostatigraphic pressure pipeline of Kite et al. (2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crater Chronology (e.g., pCO2)</td>
<td>Atmospheric composition</td>
<td>Martian climate history</td>
<td>3. Survey for detrital grains of olivine, pyroxene, carbonate minerals, and (if present) Fe sulfide minerals</td>
<td>Presence/absence of detrital grains, and primary cation in carbonate grains</td>
<td>PS (all instruments), RS (SuperCam), or Approach (SuperCam) sol types</td>
<td>Charts of allowable combinations of pCO2, T, and pO2 on ancient Mars.</td>
<td></td>
<td>SEE-Mars (Kite &amp; Watson, 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weathering Intensity</td>
<td>Weathering intensity</td>
<td>Martian climate history</td>
<td>4a. Measure weathering indices (CaO, P2O5, MWA) as a function of stratigraphic elevation</td>
<td>Elemental abundances of Al, Ca, H, K (for CaO, P2O5), Mg (for MWA), Mn (for MnW) to ±10%</td>
<td>Any, although most likely for the following sol types: RS, FS, or Approach (any subtype)</td>
<td>CA, P2O5, MWA as a function of stratigraphic elevation.</td>
<td>Compare to weathering intensity data for terrestrial materials (e.g., Nesbitt &amp; Young 1982)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Martian climate history</td>
<td>4b. Log vertical element redistribution (e.g., unconformity, exposure surface)</td>
<td>Textural contrast for vertical element redistribution</td>
<td>Elemental abundances for 4a, plus Ti</td>
<td>Elemental abundances + redistribution of elements as calculated via Ti-normalization.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: If no sand-bed deposits are encountered, Task 4c is deleted. If no regularly spaced layers are seen, Task 4d is deleted. RS = Remote Sensing, PS = Proximity Science.
2.1. Summary, Relevance to the Mars 2020 Objectives, and Expected Significance.

The climate that allowed the Jezero deltas to form was different from the climate on Mars today. Physical and chemical traces left by that climate are used to test hypotheses about past climate on Mars. Some of these traces are best seen at the wide spatial scale allowed by orbiters, some will require the precision enabled by sample return, and many are best studied using the Mars 2020 instruments. Motivated by the potential of the rock record at Jezero to improve understanding of Mars’ ancient climate, the broad goals of the proposed investigation are to constrain the warmth of the ancient climate at Jezero; determine how long was Jezero a habitable environment; and to place constraints on atmospheric composition and weathering during Jezero’s crater-filling era. The proposed investigation is directly responsive to the following Mars 2020 Mission-level Science Objectives:

- Characterize the processes that formed and modified the geologic record within a field exploration area on Mars selected for evidence of an astrobiologically-relevant ancient environment and geologic diversity (Mission Objective A).
- Determine the habitability of an ancient environment (Mission Objective B1).

The Mars 2020 PSP AO “especially encourages” investigations on “deciphering Mars' ancient climate from the rock record”. The scope of the proposed investigation is to acquire and analyze paleoclimate-relevant data (e.g. grain sizes, compositional data, and fluvial deposit data) using the Mars 2020 payload. The proposed investigation would add to the case for sample return by placing candidate coring sites in the context of past habitability, climate, and climate evolution, thus supporting Mission Objective C1. The relevant Mars 2020 mission-level science objectives, the objectives of the proposed investigation, the tasks to be performed, and the relationships between tasks and objectives, are shown in Table 1. The proposed tasks are selected to provide synergy with regional-scale (e.g., upstream catchment) data and with global-scale paleoclimate-relevant datasets and numerical models (Fig. 2), thus increasing the science return from the proposed investigation.

2.2. Scientific Background.

Jezero crater records an ancient climate that permitted rivers and lakes on Mars. However, basic unknowns remain (Vasavada 2017, Haberle et al. 2017). For example, did Jezero’s fluvioaustrine deposits form in a single brief pulse (Salese et al. 2019, Lapotre & Ielpi 2019) or in multiple, widely-separated phases (Mangold et al. 2018, Horgan et al. 2020)? Were the ancient rivers fed by rainfall, or by snowmelt (Wordsworth 2016, Kite 2019)? Were CO₂ levels hundreds of times greater than on modern Mars necessary for river-forming climates (Wordsworth et al. 2017)? Mars 2020 will be well-placed to address these questions. Uniquely among Mars landing
sites, Jezero’s Western Delta (JWD) encapsulates river channel deposits that drain a catchment of known drainage area (p. 5) – enabling paleo-runoff-production calculations. Moreover, Mars 2020 is targeted at the wettest climate episodes in Mars history, which offer the strongest (= best) constraints for climate models. Through integration as part of the Mars 2020 team, the proposed investigation will generate improved understanding of Jezero’s habitability on timescales relevant to selection of samples for return (days to weeks), thus providing contextual information to aid in selection of samples for possible return to Earth. The proposed investigation will use the Mars 2020 payload to obtain paleoclimate-relevant data and to analyze that data to yield summary paleoclimate parameters, with the ultimate goal of understanding the warmth of Early Mars river-forming climates and for how long was the climate at Jezero suitable for life.

2.3. Perceived impact and relevance to other NASA programs. A lot of the runoff on Early Mars came from precipitation (rain and/or melting of ice/snow) (Kite 2019, and references therein). A wetter climate, with $T > 0 \, ^\circ\text{C}$ at least seasonally, is required to explain these observations. Explaining rivers and lakes on Early Mars is difficult because Mars 3.5 Ga received

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Parameter</th>
<th>Example of how this parameter can test models/hypotheses for ancient climate</th>
<th>Current Uncertainty in Parameter</th>
<th>Constraint Enabled by the Proposed Investigation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>River paleodischarge (Q)</td>
<td>High paleodischarge would rule out seasonal snowmelt models and would indicate rainfall on ancient Mars (Malin et al. 2010, Kite 2019)</td>
<td>300$\times$</td>
<td>$&lt;3\times$ (task 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variability of River Paleodischarge</td>
<td>Seasonality and/or possible orbital variability (Metz et al. 2009) would offer new targets for climate models.</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>$&lt;3\times$ (task 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of time over which Crater Filling Occurred at Jezero</td>
<td>Post-impact rainout (direct conversion of bolide impact energy to water vapor) would last $&lt; 3 , \text{yr}$ (Steakley et al. 2019, Turbet et al. 2020a).</td>
<td>$10^\times$ uncertainty (20 yr – 2 Gyr); Best estimate based on untested assumptions about sedimentation rates: $&gt; (10^6-10^7) , \text{yr}$ (e.g. Fassett &amp; Head 2005, Schon 2012, Mangold et al 2018)</td>
<td>$&lt;5\times$ uncertainty if embedded craters found, otherwise upper limit on timescale (task 2a); $&gt;5 , \text{Kyr}$ if deep paleoyardangs found (task 2b); lower limit on regular-layer accumulation timescale (task 2c); delta build-out time constraints (task 2d)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower Limit on the cumulative duration of H$_2$O</td>
<td>Warming from single, isolated volcanic eruptions would last $&lt;30 , \text{yr}$ (Haley &amp; Head 2014), probably less (Kerber et al. 2015). H$_2$/CH$_4$ pulses would last $&lt;10^5 , \text{yr}$ (Tosca et al. 2018).</td>
<td>$&lt;5\times$ uncertainty if embedded craters found, otherwise upper limit on timescale (task 2a); $&gt;5 , \text{Kyr}$ if deep paleoyardangs found (task 2b); lower limit on regular-layer accumulation timescale (task 2c); delta build-out time constraints (task 2d)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermittency</td>
<td></td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Strong constraints (p. 6-9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pCO$_2$</td>
<td>$-2 , \text{bar needed for CO}_2$-H$_2$ Collision-Induced Absorption (CIA) to explain rivers and lakes on Early Mars (Turbet et al. 2019, 2020b).</td>
<td>$200\times$ ($&lt;0.01 , \text{bar to} \sim 2 , \text{bar}$); models prefer $&gt;0.1 , \text{bar}$</td>
<td>Test of $\sim 2 , \text{bar}$ hypothesis (task 3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weathering intensity</td>
<td>High weathering intensity strongly suggests high T (Bishop et al. 2018), or long periods with no fluvial erosion / sediment transport.</td>
<td>Olivine persists, but local excursions poorly constrained.</td>
<td>Variations in weathering intensity. (task 4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Examples of how geologic constraints from the proposed investigations probe hypotheses for ancient climate on Mars (Kite 2019).
just \( \frac{1}{3} \) of the modern Earth’s insolation, which is insufficient for snowmelt and/or rain according to basic CO\(_2\) + H\(_2\)O\(_v\) greenhouse-gas models (Haberle et al. 1998, Haberle et al. 2017). Thus, the existence of rivers and lakes on Early Mars – which is the only geologic record that can give an independent test of Earth-derived models of climates that permit planetary surface habitability – shows that those basic models do not work (Ehlmann et al. 2016). Because basic CO\(_2\) + H\(_2\)O\(_v\) models do not work, recent explanations for rivers and lakes on Early Mars span a wide range of trigger mechanisms, timescales, and temperatures (Wordsworth 2016, Kite 2019, and references therein). In order to move forward in understanding Mars’ ancient climate we need to test these hypotheses. (This is relevant to three of the four goals of the Mars Exploration Program, specifically the geology, climate, and search for life goals; e.g., MEPAG 2018). This requires geologists and climate modelers to coordinate. Coordination is easier if surface missions acquire data that can be integrated with global datasets and analyzed to yield quantitative summary parameters for use as input or test data for numerical models of ancient climate (Fig. 2). The proposed investigation will gather data and carry out analyses that will be maximally useful to modelers of ancient climate (Table 2).

2.4. Technical Approach and Methodology.

Our approach emphasizes measurements that can be done during the widest possible range of science operations contexts (“sol types”) (Fig. 3), and with multiple instruments (Table 1). This provides operational resiliency (no one Participating Scientist investigation will drive the mission), and the flexibility to respond to unanticipated discoveries. The tasks reinforce one another and provide multiple paths to deciphering Mars’ ancient climate.

2.4.1. Task 1. Constrain river paleodischarge (Q) using channel deposit grain size, bedset thicknesses, and channel-deposit dimensions.

Runoff production can be used to discriminate between snow/ice melt and rainfall on Early Mars: runoff production >3 mm hr\(^{-1}\) (adjusted for catchment area; Kite et al. 2019) would rule out seasonal snowmelt models, and imply warm planet-average temperatures and rainfall on ancient Mars (Malin et al. 2010, Palumbo & Head 2019). For channels draining topographic catchments of known area (km\(^2\)), estimates of river paleodischarge (m\(^3\) s\(^{-1}\)) yield past runoff production (mm hr\(^{-1}\)) (Irwin et al. 2005, Dietrich et al. 2017). River paleodischarge, Q, can be obtained using data for paleochannel width, depth, slope (steeper slopes lead to faster flow), and bed grainsize (bed friction increases with grain size, slowing flow) (Hajek & Wolinsky 2012, Dietrich et al. 2017). Despite its potential, the river paleodischarge proxy has not yet yielded strong constraints on the nature of the ancient river-forming climate on Mars. MSL found fluvial gravels, but their channel width is unknown (Williams et al. 2013). Orbiter measurements of channel widths, wavelengths, and slopes have
been used to estimate runoff production at hundreds of sites including Jezero, but bed grainsize cannot be measured from orbit (e.g. Irwin et al. 2005, Burr et al. 2010, Kite et al. 2015a, Kite et al. 2019, Hayward et al. 2019). This leads to estimates that have very large uncertainties (a factor of ~300, e.g. Fig. 3 in Kite et al. 2019), mainly because they are based on Earth-derived scalings for the relations between channel width, channel depth, and bed grainsize, that have not yet been validated on Mars’ surface. These uncertainties are strongly correlated: channel depth can be estimated for self-formed channels using rover data for the grainsize of river-bed material. That is because (for gravel bed rivers) channel depth adjusts to move river-bed material downstream: the coarser the bed grainsize, the deeper (and steeper) is the channel (e.g. Parker et al. 2007, Marcelo Garcia 2008). For sand-bed rivers, similar relationships link preserved-dune-bedform (bedset) height to total river depth (e.g. Bradley & Venditti 2017). The combination of grainsize and depth information gives the best discharge estimates, with uncertainty of a factor of 3 or even better (Eaton et al. 2013). Mars 2020’s payload can measure paleochannel deposit dimensions (a proxy for paleochannel dimensions) and grainsize at Jezero. JWD drained a topographic catchment area of $1.2 \pm 0.2 \times 10^4 \text{ km}^2$ (Goudge et al. 2015, error due to Hargraves ejecta). Moreover, JWD preserves river channel deposits at multiple stratigraphic levels including near the topographic base of JWD (e.g. Goudge et al. 2018). Thus, through Mars 2020’s payload, we can constrain $Q$ at JWD. Bed grainsize (and channel width) measurements at JWD can be used to benchmark and calibrate global databases of paleohydrologic parameters (e.g., channel width), increasing the science payoff from the proposed investigation.

**Task 1a.** River channels can be gravel-bedded or sand-bedded (Task 1c). We expect JWD channel deposits to contain gravel, by analogy to Gale, and by analogy to Earth rivers of similar slope (1%) and length (50-200 km). We will measure coarse channel deposit grain size using Mastcam-Z images. At Bradbury Landing, MSL Mastcam (spatial resolution ≈ that of Mastcam-Z) was used to measure gravel grain size ranges from 4 mm to 8 mm (Dietrich et al. 2017). For gravel-sized bed particles, ≥100 clasts must be measured from the channel deposit of interest (Kondolf 1997). Grains down to 1 mm in size will be well-resolved by Mastcam-Z (~0.13 mm/px iFOV at 2 m for narrow zoom) and/or SuperCam RMI, which has similar effective resolution. The outline of each clast shall be traced to form a polygon with area A, and clast diameter (D) reported as $(4A / \pi)^{0.5}$. For clasts whose outline is partly occluded, a circle will be fit using least-squares to points traced along the visible part of the arc and the D for that circle reported. Results for analysis using automatic procedures (e.g Buscombe 2008) will also be reported, however the automatic procedures will not be used as a substitute for manual tracing and the manual tracing methods will be used as the gold standard for subsequent analysis. The average range to the outcrop, needed to convert D from pixels to physical units, can be obtained from stereo imagery with Mastcam-Z or from engineering camera data. Uncertainty of ±10% in clast diameter is acceptable because of ±50% uncertainty in conversion from channel dimensions / grainsize to paleodischarge. Therefore, it will usually not be necessary to track small within-image variations in range to target. Finally, grain size will be used to estimate $Q$ following the scalings of Parker et al. (2007) as applied to Mars by Dietrich et al. (2017). Grain size measurements to constrain paleodischarge $Q$ (addressing Investigation Objectives A/B) also support Investigation Objective
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C (by constraining sediment discharge $Q_s$). Grainsize information can be used to estimate $Q$ as was done at Gale (Dietrich et al. 2017), and constraints on $Q$ are stronger when grainsize information is combined with paleochannel deposit dimensions (Task 1b).

Task 1b. The thicknesses, and where visible the widths, of paleochannel deposits will be logged using stereo Mastcam-Z images (Fig. 4). This will, for the first time, allow from-orbit paleochannel width estimates (which might be overestimates due to possible amalgamation of channel deposits) to be checked. River depth estimated using thickness of channel deposits / clinoforms (a proxy for channel depth; Mohrig et al. 2000) can be compared to river depth estimated using the threshold channel approach in Task 1a (Pfeiffer et al. 2017). Another cross-check on width-derived paleodischarge is paleodischarge estimated from the plan-form correlate between meander wavelength and discharge (e.g. Irwin et al. 2015) (Fig. 5). Sinuous river deposits have been identified from orbit at Jezero (Goudge et al. 2018) and so river-deposit curvature can be ground-truthed (and extended to additional meander deposits not seen from orbit) with Mastcam-Z stereo observations. Paleochannel deposit dimensions from rover data give a more precise estimate of $Q$ than does plan-view paleochannel morphometry as seen from orbit, because of the added dimension of deposit thickness. The best constraints come from combining paleochannel deposit dimensions with grainsize information (Task 1a) (Hajek & Wolinsky 2012).

Task 1c. If sand-bedded river deposits are encountered, then an alternative method of channel depth estimation can be used. The height of the deposits of dune bed-sets is a proxy for the depth of the river channel (e.g. Hajek & Heller 2012, Hayden et al. 2019), multiplied by 3.5 (95% confidence interval: 2.2 – 9.9) to correct for incomplete preservation (Leclair & Bridge 2001, Bradley & Venditti 2017). We will supplement this by direct measurement of paleochannel fluvial bar clinoforms in outcrops where both the top and bottom of such clinoforms can be seen (Mohrig et al. 2000). If no sand-bedded river deposits are seen, Task 1c is deleted.

Stratigraphic Approach to Task 1 (Fig. 5). Climate events in Earth history are recorded in fluvial sediments through changes in channel dimensions and bed grainsize (e.g. Foreman et al. 2012). Paleodischarge estimates for different stratigraphic levels on Mars can be combined to obtain changes in runoff production over time. HiRISE data show channels at JWD at multiple stratigraphic levels. Therefore, logs of data gathered via Tasks 1a-1c can answer the question “What does the Jezero stratigraphy tell us about early Mars valley network hydrology and erosion?” Did runoff wane over time, was it periodic, or was it pulsed? Data from the proposed investigation will be referenced to a stratigraphic elevation interpolated from marker surfaces (following Kite et al. 2015a) (Fig. 5). In order to construct reference stratigraphic surfaces, we will correct absolute

PRELIMINARY WORK – ORBITER DATA

Fig. 5. (From Kite et al. 2015a). Logs of paleohydrologic parameters using orbiter data at Aeolis Dorsa, Mars. Error bars show 1σ spread of measurements (averaged in 40 m $z$ bins). Numbers next to error bars correspond to the number of measurements. Estimates of $Q$ from width ($w$) and wavelength ($\lambda$) methods agree within error. Mars 2020’s payload enables higher stratigraphic resolution, and greater confidence in $Q$ estimates.
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elevations for layer tilts (0-8° at JWD, Goudge et al. 2017; we will use tilts >3° as a screening tool to exclude foresets) as we have done previously (e.g. Kite et al. 2015a, Kite et al. 2016).

Task 1 Uncertainties and Limitations. The largest pre-landing unknown is whether channels are gravel-bedded or sand-bedded. This uncertainty is fully mitigated by the complementarity of Task 1a (gravel) and Task 1c (sand). River deposits, especially in small catchments, record infrequent floods. This limitation is acceptable, because maximum runoff production, corresponding to floods, is the most useful test for climate models.

2.4.2. Task 2. Constrain the time span(s) of crater fill at Jezero using observations of interbedded impact craters, disconformities / unconformities, layer counts, and other methods.

For how long was surface liquid water present at Jezero? Estimates range from 20 yr up to a total time span of ~10⁹ yr (Fassett & Head 2005, Mangold et al. 2018, Ramirez 2017, Horgan et al. 2020). It is thought that the mafic (possibly volcanic) floor unit (Shahrazad et al. 2019) is much younger than the other units, but this hypothesis is untested. Refining estimates for the duration of surface water availability at Jezero crater will inform where Mars 2020 should preferentially search for biosignatures (Mission Objective 2B). For example, if liquid water was only briefly available (e.g., 10² years), Jezero’s lacustrine deposits would be less likely to record potential paleohabitats than more ancient materials from the upstream catchment. In this scenario, the fluvially processed ancient material would be the preferred target for seeking Martian biosignatures, rather than the lake deposits. Timescales of interest at Jezero include the total time span of surface liquid water, (τ₁); time gaps at unconformities (τ₂); the cumulative duration of surface liquid water (τ₃); and the duration of an individual wet event (i.e., the time elapsed without a dry spell lasting decades or longer) (τ₄). The sedimentary rock record constrains these timescales, in part because at least some liquid water is involved in induration via aqueous cementation. Together, these timescales define the intermittency of surface liquid water at Jezero (Fig. 6) (we hypothesize that a record of multiple wet-dry cycles will be found preserved at Jezero). All of these sedimentary-rock-record constraints are essentially lower limits; stratigraphy is an incomplete record, at any one site. Mars 2020’s payload can constrain these habitability-relevant parameters through the tasks described below.

Task 2a. Survey of interbedded craters. Craters embedded within ancient sedimentary deposits are common on Mars (e.g. Edgett & Malin 2002) and have been used to constrain sedimentation timescales (e.g. Kite et al. 2013) – the longer the duration of sediment accumulation, the more craters will be found embedded within the ancient sediments. Our existing pipeline for converting embedded-crater survey data into sedimentation duration (Kite et al. 2017) takes account of uncertainty in crater flux during sedimentation (e.g. Hartmann, 2005); target strength (e.g. Dundas et al. 2010); paleo-pCO₂ (Kite et al., 2014); and Poisson error, among other factors. Combined uncertainty in duration is about a factor of five (Kite et al. 2017). As an example without uncertainty propagation, suppose intermittent fluvial activity at Jezero started at 3.6 Ga and that the youngest exposed fluvial/lake deposits at Jezero date to 3.4 Ga. Using the chronology function of Michael (2013), approximately 4,000 craters with diameter >16 m would then be interbedded within a ~20 km² area of Jezero Western Delta (JWD). Some of these craters will be exposed at the surface.
Deciphering Mars' ancient climate from the rock record at Jezero. Today. Rover data are needed because it is easy to overlook small interbedded craters in orbiter images (Kite et al. 2013, Grotzinger et al. 2011). Crater fill at Jezero began at a time when the crater flux at Mars was much higher than the flux today, and may have extended over 2 Ga (Fassett & Head 2008, Sharhzad et al. 2019), increasing the density of interbedded craters. The data needed to carry out this survey are Mastcam-Z and/or SuperCam images capable of resolving 10 m diameter craters embedded in sedimentary strata. We require 10 cm resolution, giving ≥100 pixels across target. This is sufficient for identification of features as definitely impact craters that are definitely embedded within the stratigraphy (Warren et al. 2019). The 10 m threshold is set assuming that the Mars atmosphere was capable of screening smaller craters (the smallest hypervelocity crater on Earth, the 2007 Carancas impact in Peru, is 13.5 m diameter). If still smaller impact craters are found at Jezero, then we will use our existing pipeline to find an upper limit on paleopressure (Warren et al. 2019). We will plan/advocate for imaging of horizons at which we expect a high probability of interbedded craters, for example: 1. the contact between the delta and the floor unit; 2. the contact between the lower point bars and the upper channels; and 3. the contact between the mafic floor unit and the light-toned floor. In addition, we will plan/advocate for additional imaging of any other stratigraphic levels at which embedded craters are found. The following criteria (among others), modified from our orbital analysis workflow, will be used to identify embedded craters. 1. Bowl shape, truncation of pre-existing strata. 2. Preservation of a rim. 3. Fracturing below and surrounding the impact crater. 4. Onlap of subsequent sediments. Taking account of geometric and other corrections, we will convert our survey results into constraints on the time over which the delta built up, using our existing pipeline (Kite et al. 2017). As with all Mars crater chronology methods, the interbedded crater method uses a Moon-to-Mars correction factor (Michael 2013) that is uncertain by a factor of two or more (Cohen et al. 2019). This limitation is acceptable for Task 2a because uncertainty in the duration of fluvial-lacustrine activity greatly exceeds the uncertainty in crater flux. If, unexpectedly, no interbedded craters are found at Jezero, that would imply (a) that fluvial-lacustrine activity at Jezero was brief relative to the history of ancient Mars, an important constraint on habitability; and (b) that radiometric ages for any igneous materials in the mafic floor unit are close in age to that of the delta. Three other tasks (2b-2d) provide alternate routes to closure on investigation objective C (Table 1).

Task 2b. Paleotopography analysis. We will measure the paleotopography on unconformities whose outcrop expression is consistent with >km horizontal extent (e.g. the sub-Grasberg surface at Meridiani; and the sub-Stimson surface at Gale, Banham et al. 2017).
al. 2018). We will first trace the contact on Mastcam-Z mosaics. We will also report qualitative constraints on the direction of any preferred alignment of erosion features. We will check for strata distortion above the unconformity, which might indicate differential compaction (Lefort et al. 2012, Gabasova & Kite 2018). Paleotopographic data can be used to identify processes in Jezero’s geologic evolution, which in turn can be used to infer paleoclimate conditions. For example, deep <300m-wavelength corrugations (Banham et al. 2018), e.g. paleoyardangs, indicate wind scour by saltation abrasion of indurated materials (Grotzinger 2014, Farley et al. 2014). Saltation abrasion implies an arid to hyperarid climate interval (little or no liquid water at surface). Alternatively, a smooth surface truncating strata at a low angle with >km horizontal extent indicates aeolian deflation of water-altered surface materials (Shao 2008) or pedimentation involving overland flow. Both processes imply a semiarid to arid climate (occasional liquid water at surface). Fluvial incision at an unconformity surface indicates paleolake level fall (increase in ratio of evaporation to precipitation), or lake breaching. Additional methods of determining geologic processes at unconformities includes the presence/absence and size/angularity of any rip-up clasts (fluvial vs. aeolian erosion); and the draping vs. onlapping relationship of overlying strata (fluvial vs. aeolian infill). Paleotopography analysis can also suggest erosion timescales. Saltation abrasion rates on Mars are <0.1-1 µm/yr, and on Earth are <1 mm/yr (e.g. Grindrod et al. 2014, Kite & Mayer 2017, Golombek et al. 2014). Therefore, a 5 m deep paleoyardang would suggest an arid interval lasting >5 Kyr, and more likely 5-50 Myr. Finally, because unconformities at Jezero record times of relative dryness, their analysis may constrain the number of lacustrine sequences.

Task 2c. Layer thickness analysis. At Gale, MSL discovered regularly-spaced, mm-scale layers, etched out by wind erosion, and interpreted by the MSL team as varves (annual layers) (Rubin et al. 2015, Grotzinger et al. 2015). At Gale, this indicates a total duration of surface liquid water of >2 × 10^4 yr, perhaps >300 Kyr (possibly including intermittent drying-out; Fedo et al. 2017, Stein et al. 2018). At Jezero, we expect to encounter regularly-spaced layers in fine-grained distal deltaic or lacustrine sediments (e.g., light-toned floor unit, JWD outliers, JWD bottomsets). If Mars 2020 finds regularly-spaced layers at 10^3 – 10^6 m scale at Jezero, then we shall analyze the thickness of such layers. The MSL team used visual inspection of layers at Gale to document stratigraphic variations in average layer thickness (Hurowitz et al. 2017). Our approach is similar. We will make measurements on images (e.g. Mastcam-Z) with resolution sufficient to resolve individual layers, with the distance between layers counted over ~10 layers, such that the mean thickness of layers within that set is constrained to ±10%. Viewing-angle and stratigraphic-tilt corrections will be made using stereo data from Mastcam-Z or engineering cameras. The PI has previously led a large study measuring Mars stratigraphic-tilts using stereo data (~300 layers) (Kite et al. 2016). We will use geologic context (e.g. grain-size, onlap vs. draping relationships at geologic contacts, composition, and the presence/absence of grading), to distinguish between the orbital and annual hypotheses for the pacemaker of regular layering (Lewis & Aharonson 2014). Outcrop thickness divided by mean regular-layer thickness is a lower limit on the time span of liquid water, because more-than-annual spacing of layers of regular thickness is plausible, but processes that produce layers more than once per warm season tend not to produce regular layers (Stack et al. 2013). Quasi-periodicity will be validated using the specific tests of Lewis & Aharonson (2014). For the purposes of this investigation, more complicated approaches (e.g. wavelets) are unnecessary. In zones where regular layering is found, we will advocate for spot-checks for unresolved (very close-spaced) layers using WATSON. If such spot-checks are not possible, our results will still serve as a lower limit and thus close objective C2. Because layer thickness variations have proven to be proxies for paleoclimate variations for regularly-spaced layers on Earth (e.g. lake varves, e.g. Moore et al. 2001, Olsen et al. 2019), the proposed layer
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thickness dataset would also be used to calculate the power spectral density of layer thickness variations. The power spectrum of Earth’s long-term climate is constrained by the power spectral density of paleoclimate proxies including layer thickness distributions. For Earth, at-a-site variability on timescales of decades to many Kyr is well fit by $P(f) \propto f^{-b}$, where $P$ is spectral energy, $f$ is frequency, and $b = 0.6-1.3$ in the tropics (Huybers & Curry 2006). This implies a capacitor in Earth’s climate system with $>\text{Kyr}$ thermal “memory”. On Earth, this capacitor is an ocean. Thus, local fluctuations in the thickness of regularly-spaced layers can probe regional-to-global climate. We will plan/advocate for image mosaics and images at a range of spacings (e.g. meters of stratigraphic separation, versus tens of meters) in order to compute the power spectral density of deviations from the mean layer thickness. Constraints on the power spectrum of deviations from regularity in regularly-spaced layers on Mars would be valuable for ancient Mars climate research.

Task 2d. Sediment flux estimate. Some Hesperian deltas in closed-basin lakes have been proposed to have lasted $>(10^2 - 10^3)$ yr, based on delta volume and assuming water:sediment ratio $>100:1$ (Irwin et al. 2015, Palucis et al. 2016, Williams & Weitz 2014). However, this water:sediment ratio assumption has never been checked on Mars. Low water:sediment ratio flows (sediment concentration $>$200 kg m$^{-3}$ has been measured during floods for the Yellow River; Ren & Shi 1986), could build out deltas in $<10^2$ yr (e.g. Kleinhans et al. 2010, Mangold et al. 2012). The grain size and paleohydraulic analysis to be carried out for Task 1 also constrains peak fluvial sediment flux ($Q_s$, m$^3$/s). The measurements to be obtained for this task are the same as for the paleodischarge task. We will use standard bedload and suspended load relations (as compiled in Kleinhans 2005), for example the Meyer-Peter Müller equation and the Van Rijn equations (substituting the high-efficiency-regime equations of Ma et al. 2020 if grain size is $<80$ μm), to obtain peak $Q_s$ from the grain size measurements and paleohydraulic analysis from Task 1a. JWD may record a closed or open basin lake. In either case, division of $Q$ (from Task 1a) by $Q_s$ would provide new constraints on water:sediment ratio and thus delta build-up time.

Box 1. Modeling Tools Available to Enhance Investigation & Add Value to the Science Mission.

The PI is an experienced user of a number of modeling tools that are available to inform and supplement the investigation, e.g. *ISEE-Mars (a meltwater production model; Kite et al. 2013b), *embedded crater analysis pipeline (Kite et al. 2014/2017), *erosion rate pipeline (Kite & Mayer 2017), and the reaction-transport code CHIM-XPT (Reed 1998, Kite & Ford 2018), among other tools. Tools marked “*” were built by the PI, and will be made open-source as part of the proposed investigation (Data Management Plan). Each tool can reliably generate results on operational timescales (hours or overnight, including setup time). These tools will be available both to augment our own investigation, and to assist with mission/science operations. Scenario #1: Analysis of a weathering profile (Task 4b) leads to a snowmelt rate estimate. Convolution of this estimate with standard fluvial sediment transport equations (Task 2d) leads to prediction of debris flow deposits at this stratigraphic level. PI plans/advocates for imaging to test prediction. Scenario #2: STG requests analysis of likelihood of preservation of complex organic matter at a proposed drill site. PI uses abundance of small craters to assess likelihood for preservation of complex organic matter using Kite & Mayer (2017) pipeline, thus addressing Mission Objective B2.

2.4.3. Task 3. Analyze detrital clast composition, shape, and size.

Background. Jezero’s deltas, which formed by detrital sedimentation, still contain a large fraction of detrital phases (Horgan et al. 2020, Goudge et al. 2017). Olivine is abundant in the delta, and because olivine does not survive pervasive and long-lived aqueous alteration, authigenic resetting of the initially detrital composition of JWD cannot be complete. Consistent with detrital
rereoking, the mineralogy of the JWD, including alteration phases, is generally similar to that of the JWD source region based on CRISM analysis (Gouge et al. 2015), although small outcrops of likely-authigenic phases also exist (Tarnas et al. 2019). Carbonates at JWD are concentrated in point bar strata, consistent with a detrital origin. Upstream of JWD, olivine+carbonate bearing materials overlie pyroxene+smectite materials (Mandon et al. 2020). However in the JWD, pyroxene/smectite bearing materials near the top of JWD are underlain by olivine+carbonate bearing strata. Tectonic faulting is not a reasonable explanation for this observation, so this stratigraphic inversion at JWD can be explained only if high-standing units in the catchment were eroded earlier and so were deposited lower in the delta. In turn, this implies that most of these specific mineral phases in JWD are detrital (Gouge et al. 2015, Horgan et al. 2020).

Detrital material in JWD has been weathered, eroded from the watershed to the west of Jezero, and transported by rivers. Thus, clasts were subject to abrasion and dissolution. (Once deposited and buried, clasts enter more rock-buffered conditions where dissolution may be much slower). The rate of dissolution for a given mineral depends on environmental conditions, for example pH and pO2 (Johnson et al. 2014). For example, olivine persistence is frequently used to set upper limits on the duration of Mars aqueous alteration (e.g. Haurath et al. 2008). This dependence of dissolution rate on environmental conditions is especially strong for minerals such as pyrite, and siderite (among other minerals) that are unstable at low pH and/or high pO2. Therefore, the composition and size of detrital grains has been used for >30 years as a basic probe of ancient river water chemistry and of atmospheric composition (Holland 1984, Rasmussen & Buick 1999, Johnson et al. 2014, Catling & Kasting 2017). On Mars, burial of carbonates could be an important sink for CO2 (Franz et al. 2020). The link between river water chemistry and atmospheric composition is that (in contrast to lake water), river water is fresh. The implications for Early Mars river water chemistry can be illustrated as follows. Suppose the Early Mars atmosphere had pCO2 = 2 bar. This is the pCO2 needed for strong greenhouse warming according to the H2-CO2 Collision-Induced Absorption hypothesis (Turbet et al. 2020a). We adopt the modern Earth river rock:water ratio, which is 10−4.4 to 10−3.0 mol kg−1 H2O (Berner and Berner 2012, Hao et al. 2017). Then, the water’s pH ≈ 4 with a 0.13 M concentration of C species (Drever 1997, Kite & Melwani Daswani 2019). Under these high-pCO2 conditions, the pH of precipitation-fed rivers on ancient Mars is essentially atmosphere-buffered, set by atmospheric pCO2 and pSO2 (Bullock & Moore 2007). By assuming pSO2 = 0, we obtain an upper limit on atmospheric pCO2. Similar reasoning allows constraints on pO2, pSO2, and (less stringently) T.

Measurement plan. We will (i) determine size of detrital grains; (ii) confirm (or reject) the hypothesis that the phases are in fact detrital, (iii) measure composition of detrital grains. (i) Size. Dissolution is proportional to the ratio of effective surface area to volume. Diameter precision of ±30% is sufficient, because of greater uncertainties elsewhere in the calculation. This will be measured with Mastcam-Z and/or SuperCam RMI using a workflow similar to that for Task 1a. If grains are very small, we will use upper limits on grainsize, and/or advocate for a PIXL or WATSON spot-check. As a supplemental check, where appropriate LIBS data will be used to calculate the point-to-point Gini index of major element oxides (GMEAN) using the Gale-tested protocol of Rivera-Hernández et al. (2019). (ii) Detrital origin. Criteria for assessing whether or not grains are detrital include (a) Grain shape, e.g. circularity and convexity. (b) Grain boundaries correspond to a change in outcrop color or composition (as a check for mineral replacement). (c) Strong sedimentological control of grain distribution (e.g. concentrated on bedding planes). Additional criteria applied to Archean detrital grains by Rasmussen & Buick (1999) will also be used. (iii) Composition. Most minerals of interest for this task (olivine, pyroxene, pyrite) can be identified using Mastcam-Z and/or SuperCam data (Maurice et al.
2020), either targeting aggregates of grains, or individual clasts. For carbonates, it is difficult to deconvolve the atmospheric CO₂ signal from mineral C in LIBS data. Therefore, identification of magnesite, hydromagnesite, siderite and dolomite might require time-resolved Raman (TRR) mode on SuperCam (which works best with coarse-grained materials), VISIR, or both. Relative to the other sub-tasks in the proposed investigation, these carbonate measurements are relatively time-consuming (e.g. 16 min for a 48-point, 3-spectral VISIR); however, carbonates are a major focus of interest for the Mars geoscience community (e.g. Horgan et al. 2020, and references therein). SuperCam VISIR has dedicated tables for 2.5-µm, 2.3-µm, 1.9- µm and 1.4-µm rapid sampling (Maurice et al. 2020). The spot size of TRR/VISIR is larger than for LIBS, so on/off sampling may be needed to check for carbonate spectral features. The mineral diagnosis capabilities of SuperCam are significantly greater than those of ChemCam. The Fo/Fa ratio in detrital olivine is of particular interest, because Fa dissolves ~6× faster than Fo (Olson & Rimstidt 2007). Textural and mineralogic context will be used to distinguish detrital carbonate from authigenic (or “reworked”, i.e. dissolved and reprecipitated) carbonate. For each site where detrital grains can be resolved (either as individual clasts or as aggregates of unresolved clasts), we will first document size, detrital origin, and mineralogy for olivine; pyroxene; and carbonates, if these turn out to be detrital. We will also use Mastcam-Z images to search for other candidate detrital minerals, and plan/advocate for SuperCam confirmation. For example, reports of akaganeite at JWD (Dundar et al. 2019) suggest that pyrite/pyrrhotite was present in the Jezero catchment (Carter et al. 2015). Candidate detrital pyrite/pyrrhotite would show up as dark-toned grains of a locally smaller grain-size. Redox-sensitive detrital minerals, if present, would enable tests of hypotheses, e.g. (1) that O₂ levels were high early in Mars history (Lanza et al. 2016), or (2) that pH₂ >50 mbar for warm climates on ancient Mars (Wordsworth et al. 2017). If detrital grains are found in Mastcam-Z images to have color variations suggestive of ancient weathering rinds, then we will also advocate for PIXL transects across candidate rinds. Weathering rinds may constrain ancient paleoatmospheric composition (e.g. Hessler et al. 2004).

Analysis plan. During fluvial transport on Mars, grains are tumbled in fresh water, and pervasive organic-matter coatings are unlikely because of low organic-matter abundances (Eigenbrode et al. 2018); thus, laboratory-measured dissolution rates are relevant to dissolution during fluvial transport on Mars. To get from observations of detrital grains to constraints on river chemistry (e.g. pH and/or river dissolved pO₂), we will use standard compilations of the dependence of dissolution rate on pH, pO₂, DIC, and other parameters (e.g. Palandri & Karhara 2004, Morse & Arvidson 2002). To link dissolution-rate data to grain survival, we will consider a span of net sediment transport rates from 0.5 m/yr (corresponding to long residence time in floodplains; Carreter et al 2019) up to 300 m/yr (corresponding to directly-downstream sediment transport with no intermediate floodplain storage; Sinclair et al. 2019). As a cross-check of transport distances inferred from orbiter data (e.g. Goudge et al. 2015), the circularity and convexity of pebbles will also be measured using the Szabol et al. (2015) convex-hull protocol, and analyzed to obtain transport distance using the procedure applied to Bradbury Landing by Szabol et al. (2015). Finally, to link pH to atmospheric conditions (e.g. pCO₂), we will use look-up tables for ancient Mars CO₂-H₂O-basalt and CO₂-H₂O-olivine reaction from our previous work (Kite & Melwani Daswani 2019). These tables span pCO₂ = 0.006-6 bars and were computed with reaction-transport / thermodynamic equilibrium program CHIM-XPT (Reed 1998). Additional CHIM-XPT runs (e.g., varying pO₂) will be carried out as motivated by Mars 2020 observations. We do not propose to use the absence of any specific detrital mineral as a paleoenvironmental constraint. This is because such absence could be due to burial diagenesis instead of (or in addition to) surface weathering. Example: The Jezero delta contains magnesite based on CRISM analysis (Horgan et al. 2020, Brown et al. 2020). For the purpose of giving an example of our
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analysis procedure, we suppose that 4 mm diameter grains of detrital magnesite (84.3 g/mol) are observed in the Jezero delta. Surface area is 0.50 cm². The corresponding lifetime against dissolution is 210 years at pH = 4.5 (Pokrovsky et al. 2009), including the stabilizing effect of high DIC. This is short compared to pre-landing best-estimate sediment transport timescales (Schon et al. 2012). We will constrain these timescales independently via tasks 2a-d, but if pre-landing estimates hold up, then detrital magnesite would place an upper limit on pCO₂ on ancient Mars. Such a constraint would disfavor the H₂-CO₂ CIA warm-climate hypothesis (Table 2). Results obtained from analysis of different mineral types can be used as an internal cross-check on inferences about ancient water chemistry.

2.4.4. Task 4. Collect and analyze data to make stratigraphic logs of open-system alteration. The contrast between the evidence for paleolakes on Mars, versus geochemical evidence for generally low water-rock ratios, is “[a] fundamental paradox” (McLennan 2012). Ideas suggested to resolve this apparent paradox include (i) that ancient Mars was cold (Fairen et al. 2011), and (ii) that climate alternated between arid and river-forming states (Sagan et al. 1973, Ehlmann et al. 2016). At Jezero, Mars 2020’s payload can provide ground truth for whether or not Jezero records high water-rock ratio alteration, using the methods described below.

Task 4a. Measure weathering indices (CIA, WIA, MIA, WIS) as a function of stratigraphic elevation in sediments. What does the chemistry of rocks/regolith at Jezero tell us about near-surface chemical weathering on Mars? River sediments on Earth have a composition that responds to the climate, bedrock composition, and tectonic setting of the watersheds that those rivers drain (e.g. Nesbitt & Young 1982). For example, the Chemical Index of Alteration, CIA (= Al₂O₃ / (Al₂O₃ + CaO* + Na₂O + K₂O) ×100; excluding Ca from carbonates and sulfates; essentially a feldspar weathering scale) varies from 50 for the St. Lawrence River, to 90 for the Congo River. The extent of alteration is a measure of the relative intensity of physical erosion and open-system chemical weathering. Alteration indices can be used to estimate the extent of alteration (although all alteration indices have limitations, as discussed below). If physical erosion is fast relative to open-system chemical weathering, then CIA reflects protolith composition. If the long-term average rate of physical erosion is slow relative to open-system chemical weathering, then CIA rises. At Gale, rocks sampled early in MSL’s mission show low CIA consistent with no open-system alteration (McLennan et al. 2014). Mudstones sampled later in MSL’s mission show modestly elevated CIA, consistent with some open-system alteration (Mangold et al. 2019; qv. Bedford et al. 2019). Measurement plan. We will collect, analyze, and interpret data for four different alteration indices (CIA, MIA, PIA, WIS) using elemental information, primarily from SuperCam LIBS. We will initially follow the procedure laid down for ChemCam analysis by Mangold et al. (2019), i.e. excluding shots on obvious diagenetic features (based on inspection of RMI images, which are co-acquired by default for each LIBS target; Maurice et al. 2020), as well as shots for which the sum of oxide abundances is <90% (suggesting significant contamination by volatile species such as C, Cl and P to which LIBS is less sensitive). We will update these screening criteria as the mission progresses (for example, we will consider adding a carbonate correction through interpolation from PIXL/TRR/VISIR measurements of similar sites). CIA is very frequently reported and is useful for comparison purposes. The closely related Plagioclase Index of Alteration (PIA, Fedo et al. 1995) is calculated as follows: PIA = (Al₂O₃-K₂O)/(Al₂O₃+CaO*+Na₂O-K₂O). The Mafic Index of Alteration (MIA) (Babechuk et al. 2014): is calculated as follows: MIA = Al₂O₃ / (Al₂O₃ + Fe₂O₃(T) + MgO + CaO* + Na₂O + K₂O) ×100. The MIA was developed for mafic terrains; however, the MIA has the limitation that mixed-Fe-valence minerals like magnetite cannot be accurately represented. The Weathering Index Scale (WIS) shall be calculated following the four-step protocol of
Meunier et al. 2013, assuming Fe$^{2+} \gg$ Fe$^{3+}$ in the watershed draining into Jezero (prior to any within-the-lake oxidation). LIBS measures each of the components of the weathering indices to ±10% precision at 5 m with expected precision no worse than ChemCam (<2.1%, and typically <1%, for all these components; Maurice et al. 2020). Indeed, stratigraphic logging of the CIA has been accomplished before using ChemCam on MSL (Mangold et al. 2019). Therefore, SuperCam is a suitable tool for stratigraphic logging of CIA. According to the SuperCam team, “Shortly after landing […] compositions for SiO$_2$, Al$_2$O$_3$, FeO$_{TOT}$, TiO$_2$, CaO, MgO, Na$_2$O, and K$_2$O […] will be made available” (Maurice et al. 2020). Reported CIA errors with ChemCam at Gale are small (3-5 CIA units), and ChemCam data tracks APXS data (e.g. Mangold et al. 2019). PIXL data can be used to spot-check LIBS precision. Analysis plan. Just as on MSL (e.g. McLennan et al. 2014, Mangold et al. 2019), CIA and its variations can be interpreted in terms of changes in provenance, climate, grainsize (Siebach et al. 2017) or the extent of post depositional open-system alteration. Just as at Gale, mineralogical information (on 2020, from SuperCam TRR/VISIR) can help to discriminate between these possibilities. For example, loss of more easily weathered minerals (e.g. olivine, pyroxenes) for constant elemental abundance would suggest that changes are not due to provenance. The protolith is largely basaltic and has been spectroscopically characterized (e.g. Goudge et al. 2015). We will consider a range of protolith compositions, using measured Mars basalt compositions (Bell et al. 2008) as well as compositions estimated from VNIR/TIR spectra of the Jezero watershed. Siliciclastic rocks on Earth have CIA values that decrease over geologic time, from ~90 in the Mesoarchean to ~72 in the Phanerozoic, and this might be the result of decreases in pCO$_2$ or temperature on Earth over time (González-Álvarez & Kerrich 2011). Modeling tools, for example CHIM-XPT simulations (Reed 1998, Kite & Melwani Daswani 2019), will be used to enhance the investigation by supporting interpretation of the CIA analyses (Box 1). Textural information (e.g. from PIXL) can help to diagnose source-region open system weathering versus in-place (e.g., meteoric) open system weathering (Mangold et al. 2019). Alteration index logging can also support identification of sites for sample acquisition for possible future return to Earth; isotopic data has proven decisive in tracing the timing/location of weathering during hyperthermals associated with CIA anomalies in Earth’s rock record (e.g. John et al. 2012). Overall, sediment alteration index logging, in the context of the paleohydrology information from Task 1, will improve our knowledge of the relative intensity of erosion and chemical weathering (upstream and/or in-place) at Jezero, and the variations of this ratio with stratigraphic elevation. Thus it will improve our knowledge of past climate in Jezero’s watershed, especially in combination with physical erosion constraints that we will obtain via Task 2.

Task 4b. Analyze element mobility in vertical profiles, e.g. late-stage wetting: Fluids for near-surface aqueous alteration on Mars were obtained from both top-down (e.g. snowmelt, lake-water infiltration), and bottom-up (groundwater) sources. Evidence for top-down alteration, forming compositionally distinct crusts, rinds, and alteration profiles is widespread on the Martian surface (e.g. Knoll et al. 2008, Arvidson et al. 2010, Ruff et al. 2014). One possible interpretation of the olivine+carbonate floor unit at Jezero is that it results from rainwater alteration of olivine-rich ash (Horgan et al. 2020). (Carbonate cementation is of particular interest because sequestration as carbonate is one possible sink for a hypothetical thick Early Mars CO$_2$ atmosphere). Alteration diminished over time: surface wetting $\lesssim$10$^8$ yr at other landing sites is limited to surface salt precipitation and near-surface vertical transport of salts (Arvidson et al. 2010, Amundson 2018). Vertical profiles recording the length scale and magnitude of aqueous alteration can be exposed by late-stage wind erosion (Squyres et al. 2009, Williams et al. 2020), impact cratering, wheel trenching, etc. Where vertical alteration profiles are suggested by color, texture (e.g. exposure surface; Stein et al. 2018), or reconnaissance elemental variations, we will plan/advocate for
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LIBS profiling to constrain vertical mobilization of K, Mg, Na, and potentially other soluble elements, relative to the relatively immobile element Ti. Mobilization requires transport of ions by some aqueous fluid (McLennan & Grotzinger 2008, Hurowitz & Fischer 2014). Each of these elements are sensitive probes of past water supply and each has been previously used for this purpose at Mars (Amundson 2018, McCollom et al. 2018, Kite & Melwani Daswani 2019). The key scientific questions to be answered from analysis of these data are: which elements were most mobile, to what vertical extent where they mobile, and to what extent was their concentration enhanced or depleted? Ti normalization (e.g. Brimhall & Dietrich 1987) enables these questions to be answered using LIBS data. The paleoclimate-relevant key parameters that can be obtained from answers to these questions are: what water/rock ratio best describes the water-rock reaction, what was the direction of water flow, and (in the case of top-down alteration) what was the penetration depth of alteration (which is connected to the duration of the warm interval – seasonal or longer)? In order to relate the analyses of LIBS data to the paleoclimate-relevant key parameters, we will carry out forward models using CHIM-XPT, varying the water/rock ratio, and the paleo-pCO₂. Following Milliken et al. (2009) and Kite & Melwani Daswani (2019), we shall consider average Mars basalt and pure-olivine protolith compositions. We shall report the input parameters for each of the forward model run(s) that provide a satisfactory match to the analysis of LIBS profile data.

2.5. Work plan.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Projected Milestones &amp; Accomplishments.</th>
<th>Key Projected Deliverables*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Year 1</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Participate in training activities, ORT (all 3 participants).</td>
<td>✓ Trained personnel.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Participate in at least 60/90 days of post-landing activities.</td>
<td>✓ Contribution to daily operational roles during 60/90 days of post-landing activities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Document and upload all modeling tools (open-source software).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Service in daily operational roles. Attendance of Team meetings.</td>
<td>✓ LPSC presentations on Tasks 1 &amp; 2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Construct detailed plans for, target, &amp; analyze measurements.</td>
<td>✓ GRL-length paper on Task 1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Detailed data analysis.</td>
<td>✓ GRL-length paper on Task 2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Year 2</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Service in daily operational roles. Attendance of Team meetings.</td>
<td>✓ LPSC presentations on Tasks 3 &amp; 4.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Construct detailed plans for, target, and analyze measurements.</td>
<td>✓ GRL-length paper on Task 3.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Detailed data analysis.</td>
<td>✓ JGR-length paper on Task 4.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Year 3</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Close-out period. Emphasize data management and write-up.</td>
<td>✓ JGR-length paper on Task 1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Service in daily operational roles. Attendance of Team meetings.</td>
<td>✓ JGR-length paper on Task 2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Construct detailed plans for, target, and analyze measurements.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*We will lead-author papers as approved by the PSG, as well as provide contributions to larger Team papers as directed. Budget analysis to ensure that participation in daily operations is sufficiently supported is included in §9.

2.6. Personnel and Qualifications. (For FTE information, see §8, Budget Justification). The Principal Investigator (Edwin Kite) is an Assistant Professor at the University of Chicago, Kite has 14 years of experience in Early Mars research having led ~3 papers/year over the past decade on the ancient climate of Mars (both geoscience data analysis and climate / climate evolution modeling). Thus the PI is qualified to contribute to science working groups (if directed to do so by the Mars 2020 PSG), for example landing site analysis, geology and/or habitability. The PI will participate to some degree in all aspects of the proposed work and oversee its implementation. All three investigators are expected to participate in all tasks, having larger or smaller roles in each. The PI will supervise a Graduate Student Researcher at UChicago (Alexandra Warren), who will carry out part of the analysis, with emphasis on Tasks 1-2. The PI will also supervise a Postdoctoral Researcher at UChicago, who will carry out part of the analysis, with emphasis on Tasks 3-4. The PI, the postdoc, and the graduate student will each be trained to take part in daily operations and will all be available to actively and regularly take part in daily operational tasks. A letter confirming that the PI’s 2.5-month FTE commitment is consistent with the PI’s teaching responsibilities is included in §8 of this proposal.
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following the NASA Planetary Science Division ROSES Data Management Plan (DMP) Template

1. Overview of the data that will be produced by the proposed project:
   We propose to be involved in improving planned data products via generating the following additional science products: Everything in the “Science Products” column of Table 1 in this proposal, specifically Grain-size distributions; River-deposit widths, thicknesses, and radii of curvature (where visible); Bedset-thickness data; Catalog of interbedded craters. Results of analysis of interbedded-crater density; Depth and width of corrugations in the unconformity outcrop; Locally-averaged layer thickness at multiple stratigraphic levels. The chain of reasoning used to generate summary stratigraphic logs (e.g. list of image IDs examined, and specific interpretations made) will also be shared. We will also generate protocols (i.e., detailed self-contained descriptions) for the methods by which the science products of the proposed investigation were obtained from Mars 2020 instrument data products; Source code and instructions for use of all PI-owned/produced software listed in Box 1, plus any additional Jezero-relevant/Mars-2020-relevant code generated by the PI, the Graduate Student Researcher, or the Postdoctoral Researcher that is used in a peer-reviewed scientific publication; and “Figure reproducibility” scripts (a one-click pipeline that output the graphs for published figures in a publication; at least one such script per publication).

2. Data types, volume, formats, and (where relevant) standards:
   Data: Comma separated variable (.csv) files (machine-readable format), negligible (i.e., < 0.1 GB) total volume. Scripts: Negligible (< 0.01 GB) total volume; in a format readable by a widely used programming language (the PI does not require a specific scientific programming language for people working under his supervision), such as MATLAB, Python, or IDL; plus any plain-text README files needed to understand the relationships between the scripts and any needed input files.

3. Schedule for data archiving and sharing:
   Simultaneous with publication of results. Intended publication schedule is given in Section 2.5, Work Plan. Publication of results will be at a schedule governed by the Mars 2020 Rules of the Road document which takes precedence over the Work Plan in this proposal. The data behind figures and tables will be available electronically at the time of publication, as supplementary material uploaded with the article.

4. Intended repositories for archived data and mechanisms for public access and distribution:
   The Announcement of Opportunity for this call, Appendix C.26 of ROSES, states, “if peer reviewed publications result from these awards, the data behind figures and tables must be available electronically at the time of publication, ideally in supplementary material with the article.” The data that will be generated by the proposed investigation is of modest size and of suitable format for release as Supplementary Information in peer-reviewed scientific journals. This plan is specifically endorsed by the Frequently Asked Questions (FAQ) page for ROSES about Data Management Plans (DMPs) as updated for ROSES-2020 (https://science.nasa.gov/researchers/sara/faqs/dmp-faq-roses). Our intent is to publish in AGU
journals (specifically JGR-Planets or GRL). AGU journals do not have a paywall for Supplementary Information.

5. Plan for enabling long-term preservation of the data:
Our plan is to supplementary material in peer-reviewed publications. It is unlikely that a major planetary science journal suitable for publication of Mars 2020 results will become defunct. Nevertheless, as a backup we will also upload the data to the Box repository (https://its.uchicago.edu/uchicago-box/) of the PI’s home institution, University of Chicago. The University of Chicago archive complies with NASA’s requirement for a “stable and long-term supported data repository.” Through membership of the Mars 2020 Team, we will engage in discussion with PDS representatives about possible PDS archiving (likely at the Geoscience Node) as a second backup.

6. Software archiving plan:
The PI-developed source code, specifically ISEE-Mars (Kite et al. 2013b), embedded crater analysis/paleopressure pipeline (Kite et al. 2014/2017), and erosion-rate analysis pipeline (Kite & Mayer 2017), with associated documentation sufficient to enable use of the code, will be made publicly available via NASA GitHub (https://github.com/NASAPlanetary-Science), as encouraged in Section 3.6.1 of Appendix C.1 of the ROSES call. NASA Github is the appropriate repository, following the Section 3.6.1 guidelines, because none of the PI-developed source code is mission-specific. The PI has a copy of the source code of CHIM-XPT (which is used to combine inputs with SOLTHERM), however CHIM-XPT was not generated for a Mars 2020 purpose, nor is the PI free to redistribute the code (which was developed by Professor Mark Reed / University of Oregon), so therefore it is neither practical nor feasible to share the source code. Roughly the same results should be obtained using any commercial or academic reaction transport code (e.g. Toughreact, GWB Pro) given the same thermodynamic database. The SOLTHERM thermodynamic database used for the reaction-transport modeling is already public and widely available.

7. Astromaterials archiving plan:
Not applicable.

8. Roles and responsibilities of team members for data management:
All personnel will carry out data archiving in Years 1-3, primarily via the graduate student and the postdoc working under the PI’s direction. The PI will be responsible for archiving his software code. The graduate student and the PI will carry out data archiving in Year 4. If people working under the PI’s direction generate software code as part of this investigation (which is not part of the plan, but might reasonably be expected to occur), then they will be responsible for archiving that code via NASA Github under the PI’s supervision. There is no charge to the PI for UChicago-specific archiving given the small total volume of the data (<0.1 GB) to be generated as a result of the proposed investigation.
5. Biographical Sketches.

Edwin S. Kite (Principal Investigator).
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Selected additional papers on Mars or planetary habitability:


Field experience: Greece, Spain, England, Scotland, California, Hawaii (volcanism), Pilbara (Archean and Proterozoic environments), Central India (Proterozoic paleobiology), Utah (Mars analogs).